	Page 1
THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CON	NTROL BOARD
IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE)
PART 214, SULFUR LIMITATIONS, PART) R 2015-021
217, NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSIONS,)(Rulemaking-Air)
AND PART 225, CONTROL OF EMISSION)
FROM LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES.)

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS

taken before HEARING OFFICER DANIEL L. ROBERTSON

by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public

within and for the County of Cook and State of

Illinois, at the Illinois Pollution Control Board,

302 North Chicago Street, Joliet, Illinois, on the

4th day of August 2015, A.D., at 10:40 a.m.

```
Page 2
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
     100 West Randolph Street
     Suite 11-500
     Chicago, Illinois
                        60601
     (312) 814-6983
4
     BY: MR. DANIEL L. ROBERTSON, HEARING OFFICER,
5
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
6
     Chairman Deanna Glosser, Ph.D.
7
     Board Member Jennifer Burke
     Board Member Jerome O'Leary,
     Board Member Carrie Zalewski,
8
     Board Member Gerald Keenan
9
    Ms. Marie Tipsord, Legal Department
     Mr. Anad Rao, Technical Unit
    Ms. Lisa Liu, Technical Unit
10
11
     ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AGENCY,
     1021 North Grand Avenue East
12
     P.O. Box 19276
     Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
     (217) 782-5544
13
     BY: MS. DANA VETTERHOFFER,
14
              Appeared on behalf of the Illinois
              Environmental Protection Agency;
15
16
     ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP,
     215 East Adams Street
     Springfield, Illinois
17
                            62701
     (217) 522-5512
     BY: MS. ABBY ALLGIRE,
18
19
              Appeared on behalf of the Illinois
              Environmental Regulatory Group;
20
     SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP,
21
     233 South Wacker Drive
     Suite 6600
2.2
     Chicago, Illinois
                        60606
     (312) 258-5646
2.3
     BY: MR. STEPHEN J. BONEBRAKE,
24
              Appeared on behalf of the Midwest
```

Ţ	Page 3
1	INDEX
0	Pages
2	
3	Opening Remarks by the Hearing Officer 4 - 8 Agency Presents Exhibits F and G 9 - 10
4	Sierra Club Presents Exhibit A
5	Public Comment 95 - 103 Questions and Responses of Mr. Sahu104 - 132
6	Sierra Club Presents Exhibit B134 - 134
7	Questions and Responses of Dr. Gray133 - 173 Public Comments
8	Agency Testimony and Questions
9	Public Comments
10	Closing Remarks by Hearing Officer268 - 269 Court Reporter's Certificate270 - 270
11	
12	
13	EXHIBITS
14	Marked Admitted
15	Agency Exhibit F 9
16	Agency Exhibit G 9
17	Agency Exhibit H 205
18	Agency Exhibit I 205
19	Sierra Club Exhibit A 13
20	Sierra Club Exhibit B 134
21	
22	
23	
24	

August 4, 2015

Page 4 1 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okav. 2 We're going to get started now. Thank you all 3 for waiting. I apologize I can't see everybody. I'll talk loud and if you have any issues, just 4 5 put up your hand if you need me to speak loud 6 or repeat anything. 7 Good morning all. My name is 8 Daniel Robertson and I have been appointed by 9 the Board to serve as the hearing officer in this proceeding entitled, "In The Matter of Amendments 10 to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part 214, Sulfur 11 Limitations, Part 217, Nitrogen Oxide Emissions 12 13 and Part 225, Control of Emissions from Large Combustion Sources." 14 15 This proceeding is listed as 16 R 15-21 in the Board's docket. With me today 17 is on my right, we have the Presiding Board Member 18 Jennifer Burke and next to her from the Board's Technical Unit we have Anad Rao and Alisa Liu. 19 20 On my left, we also have the Board's Chairman, 2.1 Dr. Deanna Glosser and next to her is Board Member 22 Carrie Zalewski and we will also be joined by 23 Member Gerry Keenan. 24 This rulemaking was filed

	Page 5
1	pursuant to Sections 4, 10, 27, 28 and 28.2 of
2	the Environmental Protection Act.
3	On May 7th, the Board granted
4	the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's
5	motion for expedited review and proceeded to
6	first notice without substantive comments on the
7	merits of the proposal.
8	This is the third of three
9	public hearings that the Board has scheduled.
10	The first took place on July 8th in Springfield
11	where the Agency presented testimony and
12	answered questions. We also heard a number of
13	public comments.
14	The second took place on
15	July 29th in Joliet. And there, we heard testimony
16	from the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group
17	as well as a number of additional questions for
18	the Agency and additional public comments.
19	The transcripts for those hearings
20	are available on the Board's website.
21	So the purpose of today's hearing
22	is to continue to hear testimony and public comment
23	on the Agency's proposal.
24	Sierra Club and the Environmental

August 4, 2015

Page 6

Law & Policy Center did pre-file testimony for today so we will begin with that. That will be followed by questions for those witnesses.

2.1

I will also note that any questions that are asked by a Board member and are intended to help build a complete record for the Board's decision and not intended to express any preconceived notion or bias.

Once we are done with testimony and questions for Sierra Club and ELPC, we will then move on to additional Agency testimony as well as any questions for the Agency. Once those are over, anyone else who wishes to testify will be given an opportunity to do so.

Once testimony is complete, we will then have time for anyone who wishes to offer a public comment. We do have signup sheets. They are on the podium in the back if you would like to sign in for either testimony or public comments.

If you wish to offer a public comment, but you do not wish to speak today, you will be able to file a written public comment with the Pollution Control Board and deadlines for all of those filings will be discussed later

August 4, 2015

Page 7 1 today. 2 During testimony, if you wish 3 to ask any questions of the witness, I just ask 4 that you please put up your hand and wait to be 5 acknowledged and once you are acknowledged, if you can state your name, spell it and if you are 6 7 speaking on behalf of a member of any organization, 8 and the reason for this is just so that we can keep a clearer record for the court reporter who 9 10 is sitting in front of you over here. Also, we do have a microphone. 11 When you speak, please step up to the podium and 12 13 use the microphone provided. 14 Additionally, when you do offer 15 comment or testimony, if you are using acronyms, 16 if you could state them out in their entirety for 17 the first time, if you're citing to a document, 18 if you can be specific to what that document is, 19 and if you would like to provide a copy of that document for the record, you are welcome to do 20 2.1 so too. 22 Before we move on, does anyone 23 have any questions just on the procedure for today? 24 Everyone can you hear me okay? Thank you.

	Page 8
1	Would any members of the Board
2	like to make any opening statements, or Board
3	staff?
4	And does the Agency have any
5	opening statements before we continue?
6	MS. VETTERHOFFER: My name is Dana
7	Vetterhoffer. I'm assisting counsel for the
8	Illinois EPA.
9	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes.
10	Can we can you pull that microphone closer?
11	And you need to push the button.
12	MS. VETTERHOFFER: My name is Dana
13	Vetterhoffer. I'm assistant counsel for Illinois
14	EPA.
15	The Agency doesn't have an
16	opening statement, but the Board had submitted,
17	I believe, in its second set of questions for
18	the Agency, they requested the Agency fill out
19	a State Mandates Act Questionnaire for Part 214
20	and another for Part 217.
21	The Agency has done so and
22	would like to enter those into exhibits at this
23	time.
24	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank

```
Page 9
 1
     you.
 2
                        So what I have here are, as
 3
     stated, the State Mandates Act Questionnaire
     for 35 Illinois Administrative Code 214 and 35
 4
     Illinois Administrative Code 217. Are there
 5
     any objections to entering this as an exhibit
 6
 7
     at this time?
 8
                        Seeing none, I will enter the
     State Mandates Act Questionnaire for Part 214 as
 9
     the Agency Exhibit F.
10
11
                        (Document marked as Agency
12
                         Exhibit F for identification,
13
                         8/4/15.)
14
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: And the
15
     State Mandates Act Questionnaire for Part 217 as
16
     Agency Exhibit G.
17
                        (Document marked as Agency
18
                         Exhibit G for identification,
19
                         8/4/15.)
2.0
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                 Again,
2.1
     before we get to Sierra Club and ELPC, did anyone
22
     else wish to make any opening statements?
23
                        I'm sorry. Did you have a
24
     question?
```

```
Page 10
1
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      I didn't have a
2
     question.
                I just want to introduce the Agency
3
     members here with me today.
                                              Oh,
4
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
5
     please. Go ahead.
6
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      I apologize for
7
     not doing that sooner. To my right is Jackie Sims.
8
     She is the manager of the Regulatory Unit and the
9
     Air Quality Planning Section in the Agency's Bureau
10
     of Air. To my left is David Bloomberg. He is the
     manager of the Air Quality Planning Section.
11
12
     his left is Rory Davis. He is an environmental
13
     protection engineer in the Air Quality Planning
14
     Section. To his left is Jeff Sprague. He is
15
     manager of the Modeling Unit in the Air Quality
     Planning Section. Thank you.
16
17
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                               Thank
18
     you.
19
                       Did anyone else want to make any
20
     opening statements or want to introduce themselves
2.1
     before we move on?
22
                               Seeing none, we will move
                       Great.
23
     on to the testimony of Sierra Club and ELPC.
24
     you like to please introduce yourselves and your
```

```
Page 11
1
     witnesses for the record?
2
                   MS. BUGEL:
                               Sure.
3
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
4
     you.
5
                   MS. BUGEL:
                               My name is Faith Bugel.
6
     I am representing Sierra Club today and with me,
7
     I have Ron Sahu. Ron Sahu is offering testimony
     on behalf of Sierra Club and Environmental Law &
8
9
     Policy Center.
                       I would also like to introduce
10
     Dr. H. Andrew Gray, also offering testimony on
11
12
     behalf of Sierra Club and Environmental Law &
13
     Policy Center. That testimony has been pre-filed.
14
                       Mr. Sahu, did you pre-file --
15
     have testimony pre-filed in this proceeding?
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yes, I have.
17
                   MS. BUGEL: Do you have any changes
18
     to that testimony today?
                   MR. SAHU: Not that I'm aware of.
19
20
                   MS. BUGEL: So initially, we would
2.1
     move for the admission of the testimony of Ranajit
22
     Sahu on behalf of Sierra Club and Environmental
23
     Law & Policy Center subject to cross-examination.
24
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
```

```
Page 12
1
     Could I have the court reporter swear in the
     witnesses before we enter the exhibits, if you
2
3
     don't mind?
                  Thank you.
4
                   THE COURT REPORTER: Would you both
5
     please raise your right hands?
6
                       Do you swear the testimony
7
     you're about to give is the truth, the whole
8
     truth and nothing but the truth, so help you
     God?
9
                   MR. SAHU:
10
                             I do.
11
                   MR. GRAY: I do.
12
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Are you
13
     entering them now? I'm sorry.
                   MS. BUGEL: And I -- I only brought
14
15
     one copy. I neglected to bring copies. I know it
     has been pre-filed.
16
17
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: I have
18
     a copy with me. So this is a copy just as it was
19
     filed with the Board.
20
                       Are there any objections to
2.1
     entering -- are you moving this as read?
22
                   MS. BUGEL: Yes.
23
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
24
     there any objections to accepting this testimony as
```

```
Page 13
1
     read at this time?
2
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: No objections
3
     subject to cross. My name is Steve Bonebrake,
4
     B-O-N-E-B-R-A-K-E, and I represent Midwest
5
     Generation.
6
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
7
     you.
                       So at this time, I will enter
8
9
     the pre-filed testimony of Ron Sahu on behalf
     of Sierra Club and ELPC as Sierra Club Exhibit A.
10
11
                        (Document marked as Sierra Club
12
                        Exhibit A for identification,
13
                        8/4/15.)
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
14
                                                Again, I
15
     just ask please use the microphone so that everyone
16
     can hear you. Thank you. Please proceed.
17
                   MS. BUGEL: And we present Mr. Sahu
18
     for cross-examination.
19
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Are there
20
     any questions for Mr. Sahu from any members of the
2.1
     public today? Anyone?
22
                       Would the Agency like to start?
23
     Thank you.
24
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                       Thank you.
                                                   Again,
```

	Page 14
1	my name is Dana Vetterhoffer. I am assistant
2	counsel for the Illinois EPA.
3	Mr. Sahu, when did you begin
4	your review efforts pertaining to this rulemaking?
5	MR. SAHU: I don't remember the exact
6	date, but on and off, several months ago. More
7	intensively just in the weeks prior to filing my
8	testimony.
9	MS. VETTERHOFFER: On Page 4 of your
10	testimony, you indicate that the documents provided
11	through the current rulemaking don't contain all
12	of the details about the Illinois EPA's underlying
13	modeling.
14	Are you familiar with the
15	information the Agency provided both as part of
16	its outreach efforts in this rulemaking and in
17	response to requests from the Sierra Club?
18	MR. SAHU: Yes. I made that
19	statement after looking at everything that was
20	provided in response.
21	MS. VETTERHOFFER: The Agency has
22	provided modeling input files in response to
23	requests by the Sierra Club, correct?
24	MR. SAHU: Yes. I looked at what

August 4, 2015

Page 15 was provided as modeling input files. 1 2 MS. VETTERHOFFER: And are you aware 3 that representatives of the Sierra Club attended 4 an outreach meeting held by the Agency in 5 August 2014 at which the Agency shared its modeling 6 results? 7 MR. SAHU: I -- I don't have any 8 information one way or the other as to who attended 9 and what was presented. I was not present at the 10 meeting. 11 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Most recently, 12 on July 16, the Agency provided additional modeling 13 information requested by Sierra Club, is that your 14 understanding? 15 MR. SAHU: Yes. Some additional 16 information was provided on July 16th. 17 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Okay. And on 18 July 17th, the Agency offered to provide 19 supplemental modeling information to the Sierra 20 Club including all of the files and data for the 2.1 air dispersion modeling asking only that the Sierra 22 Club provide a jump drive or portable hard drive 23 to the Agency as the information is voluminous; 24 is that correct?

August 4, 2015

Page 16 1 MR. SAHU: Again, I don't have all 2 the information or the logistics of file transfers, 3 but I know there was information they requested 4 and information being provided in that week. 5 MS. VETTERHOFFER: But are you aware 6 that the Agency offered to provide all of its 7 modeling files if the Sierra Club gave the Agency 8 a way of getting it to the Sierra Club? 9 MR. SAHU: Oh, I don't -- I don't 10 I mean, I have no reason to believe doubt that. that the Agency didn't make all of its files 11 12 available. I think the Agency -- at least in a 13 couple of calls that I have, the staff were very 14 cooperative and wanted to share what they had 15 subject to whatever legal and other procedural 16 constraints they were operating under. 17 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Thank you. Is it 18 your understanding, though, that the Sierra Club 19 never received all of the data files because they 20 never provided a portable hard drive or a jump 2.1 drive for the Agency to put that information on? 22 MR. SAHU: I -- I don't know about 23 that and I will just say that a lot of what I 24 addressed are emissions, which are a part of

August 4, 2015

Page 17 1 what goes into modeling. 2 My biggest concerns have and 3 continue to be with the emissions inputs into 4 the modeling that has been done and, of course, 5 the modeling contains other inputs besides the emissions. 6 7 I have been focused on the emissions characterization and the details and 8 9 rationale and the explanations and the support for the emissions inputs that have been used 10 11 by the Agency. I -- I believe that as far as 12 the emissions are concerned, I had that information 13 when I -- or all the information, which they had 14 15 given me was not subject to getting anything 16 additional through the jump drive or through other 17 means. 18 MS. VETTERHOFFER: So you think you 19 had everything you needed in order to make your 20 analysis for your testimony? 2.1 MR. SAHU: No. I think what I said 22 is I had all the information that the Agency had 23 used vis-à-vis emissions in its inputs. 24 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Okay. Let me

August 4, 2015

Page 18 1 clarify. Are you claiming that the Agency failed 2 to provide you any information that you needed 3 for your testimony that was requested from the 4 Agency? 5 No. My questions were --MR. SAHU: 6 I think the Agency gave me the emissions inputs. 7 I had and continue to have questions about those 8 emissions inputs, which I asked during phone calls 9 and it is my position that there still remain to 10 be issues relating to the emissions inputs that need to be addressed before it is appropriate to 11 use them in modeling and then draw conclusions 12 13 from that modeling. 14 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Mr. Sahu, are 15 you aware that Jeff Sprague, the manager of the modeling unit at the Agency, is available today 16 17 and has been available at both prior hearings 18 that have taken place in this matter to answer 19 any questions anyone has about the Agency modeling? 20 MR. SAHU: I don't -- I don't doubt 2.1 I have talked to Mr. Sprague on the phone 22 a couple of times with calls that we have had. 23 again, I reiterate I think staff has been very 24 forthcoming and I wouldn't doubt that.

August 4, 2015

Page 19 1 MS. VETTERHOFFER: On the bottom 2 of Page 5 of your testimony, you state that all 3 model sources should have emission limits in 4 addition to low-sulfur fuel requirements and 5 you point out that only a handful of model sources are addressed in Section 214.603. 6 7 MR. SAHU: Yes. 8 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you suggesting that the Board include emission limitations for all 9 10 of the over 2,000 modeled emission units in these regulations? 11 12 Well, you know, it's --MR. SAHU: 13 the whole purpose of this exercise is to show a 14 proof that the areas in question will come into 15 attainment and remain in attainment, as I understand 16 it. 17 The state is choosing to use 18 modeling as a tool to demonstrate that attainment. 19 Emissions are a key part of that modeling exercise. 20 I am simply stating the obvious, which is you 2.1 have, according to the state's analysis for Lemont, 22 over -- close to 1,800 sources that have been 23 modeled and for back in probably another 350 or 24 360 sources that have been modeled, how does --

```
Page 20
1
     how do they connect?
2
                       How do you connect the dots
3
     if you don't have the emission assumptions in
4
     some fashion as part of the rulemaking and
     enforceable? What is the verification?
5
     is the -- how does the integrity of the whole
6
7
     modeling exercise and rulemaking tie together?
8
                       So in my mind, it's a fairly
     straightforward question. I did see that for
9
10
     some sources, as you point out in your question,
     there were emission limits and for others, there's
11
12
     not.
13
                       I was just pointing out that
     the documentation I saw didn't really explain
14
15
     how these various sources that were modeled and
     had emissions limits that were modeled were
16
17
     incorporated into other documents.
18
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: So are you
19
     claiming that only those emission units addressed
20
     in Section 214.603 are currently subject to
     enforceable limits?
2.1
22
                   MR. SAHU: No.
                                   It's a simple
23
     statement that I saw in that section that there
24
     were some emission limits. I'm just questioning
```

August 4, 2015

Page 21 1 it and it could be just a clarification. about all the other emission limits that are in 2 3 the modeling files? 4 MS. VETTERHOFFER: And that leads 5 well into my next question. Are you aware that 6 sources that were modeled, but which the Agency 7 did not require any reductions for this rule are, 8 in fact, already subject to enforceable emission 9 limits either through other regulations or through 10 permits? 11 MR. SAHU: I'm aware of some, yes, and I -- again, like I said, there are close to --12 13 between two areas, over 2000 sources and there 14 isn't an accounting in the rulemaking documents 15 as far as I've seen that states here are how we account for these various emissions sources. Here 16 17 are the sources that are subject to individual 18 limits. Here are the sources subject to fuel 19 sulfur requirements, which is another part of the 2.0 rule. 2.1 And I didn't see how all 22 that tied together, quite frankly, and I still 23 don't see it. I don't really understand why 24 this is such a difficult issue to understand.

August 4, 2015

Page 22 1 It is -- you have assumed Source A as emission That emission rate X for some source 2 3 is a handful of them. It shows up in a rule. 4 Well, what about the emission 5 rates in the others? How -- how are they -- how 6 do you even calculate them? There's -- there are 7 no details in the rule as far as I could see. 8 MS. VETTERHOFFER: The Agency, in this rulemaking, has testified that all of the 9 10 sources and all of the limits that it modeled are, in fact, enforceable through another regulation 11 or through enforceable permit. 12 13 Do you have any reason to disagree with that statement? 14 15 MR. SAHU: I don't have any reason 16 to disagree with that statement. I'm saying as 17 a technical matter, when I'm looking at a number that's been modeled, I don't understand how that 18 19 number was developed for all these different 2.0 sources. 2.1 If you could point to me, sitting 22 here today and as you mentioned, Mr. Sprague could 23 point me to, here is source 562, and the emission 24 rate is, you know, two grams per second, how that

```
Page 23
1
     came about, I would be very happy to see that.
2
     I just don't understand that.
3
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Meaning a specific
     citation, what rule or what enforceable permit is
4
5
     necessary for your analysis?
                   MR. SAHU: I don't understand how
6
7
     those emission rates came about. I understand that
8
     those have been modeled, but the details as to how
9
     they came about are not in the documents.
10
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Mr. Sahu, on
     Pages 5 and 6 of your testimony, you claim that,
11
     practically speaking, low-sulfur fuel standards
12
     lead to fluctuations in emissions.
13
14
                       First, are you talking about
15
     fluctuations above 15 parts per million or ppm?
16
                   MR. SAHU: They could be, but I'm
17
     just speaking generally as to fluctuations at
18
     that point, the variability associated with the
19
     concentration limit, which the state is adopting,
     which is a -- it's not a mass emission limit.
20
     It's a concentration limit. There are fluctuations
2.1
22
     obviously of that limit -- of that actual value
23
     in the fuel at any given time and place.
24
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      You are claiming,
```

August 4, 2015

Page 24 1 though, that those fluctuations make an ultra-low sulfur fuel standard practically unenforceable. 2 MR. SAHU: Let me elaborate. 3 think here's the confusion. You're -- what has been 4 5 modeled is mass emission rate, a gram per second per 6 source. 7 The limit you're talking about 8 is a fuel sulfur concentration of 15 parts per 9 million. You need a fuel sulfur concentration, 10 but to get to the mass of a given source, you're relying on other information, something that is 11 12 characteristic of the source whether it is a 13 certain amount of heat input per unit time or 14 whether it's a certain amount of power out per 15 unit time. 16 I don't see the -- how you 17 make -- even if you make the fuel sulfur content 18 of concentration a limit, I don't see how that 19 provides enforceability to the mass emissions 20 number that has been included for each single 2.1 source in the modeling. 22 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Okay. And we 23 will get to the mass emission rates based on 24 fuel content in just a moment, but going back

August 4, 2015

Page 25 1 to your statements that low-sulfur fuel standards 2 lead to fluctuations in emissions, are you aware 3 of current state and federal rules currently 4 regulate diesel fuel and its sulfur content? 5 MR. SAHU: Yes. I've been doing fuel work for about 25 years. I'm very aware of 6 the fuel sulfur content limitations in various 7 8 fuels, not just diesel. 9 Again, I remind the Board and 10 there are other fuels that are used in many of the sources that are being modeled. I'm aware 11 of the diesel fuel sulfur content limitations 12 13 you speak to. 14 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Isn't it your 15 contention today that despite those state and federal laws, the sulfur content of ultra-low 16 17 sulfur diesel fuel leaving refineries regularly 18 fluctuates above 15 ppm and, therefore, violates 19 the law? 20 MR. SAHU: No. That is not my 2.1 contention and that is not what I meant by that. 22 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Do you have any 23 evidence that sources themselves are regularly 24 adding sulfur to their purchase fuel?

August 4, 2015

Page 26 1 MR. SAHU: No. I don't think I 2 communicate any such thing in my testimony. 3 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Moving on to the 4 point you brought up about the mass emission rates, 5 on Page 6, you claim that the Board must include in the rule hourly emission rates for the sources 6 7 not listed in Section 214.603 due to your contention that low-sulfur fuel standards are enforceable. 8 9 You already alluded to this a 10 little, but you are aware that you can use a simple mass balance equation along with the fuel sulfur 11 content and unit's maximum operating capacity to 12 calculate the maximum hourly emission rate for a 13 14 unit? 15 MR. SAHU: For some sources, you 16 could do that. I don't fully understand what 17 you mean by mass balance. If you're meaning 18 stoichiometric chemistry to allow for -- I hear 19 staff indicating that that's what they meant, it's more a chemistry calculation than mass balance, 20 I understand what is being mentioned, but for 2.1 22 reasons that we might get into, that doesn't fully 23 explain how reducing sulfur content alone down

to 15 ppm gives you the kind of reductions and

24

August 4, 2015

Page 27 1 allow the emissions that I saw in the various 2 modeling scenarios. 3 Well, if you MS. VETTERHOFFER: 4 know the maximum sulfur content and you know the 5 maximum operating capacity of a unit, you, in fact, know the maximum hourly emission rate for that unit, 6 correct? 8 MR. SAHU: You could, yes, for some 9 sources, right. But as I said, there are sources 10 here for which they're not burning a particular fuel with a particular sulfur content. 11 12 Let me give you an example since you're talking in detail. If you look at the 13 14 sources for Lemont, I calculated -- and I stopped 15 counting after some time -- there are over 15 16 flares. Flares are a type of combustion source. 17 They are present in various types of facilities. 18 They don't burn low-sulfur diesel in flares. 19 Flares are burning different gasses that are being 20 released due to operations at the facility. 2.1 So I'm not sure how one can use 22 low-sulfur diesel limitations like you were just 23 mentioning to calculate emissions from those 24 types of sources. So I --

August 4, 2015

Page 28 1 MS. VETTERHOFFER: We are only 2 talking about the sources that are subject to 3 the ultra-low sulfur diesel standards and we 4 are only talking about the ultra-low sulfur diesel standard. 5 6 MR. SAHU: I understand, but I'm 7 talking about the 1,789 sources that are in the 8 modeling input files. If you want to carve out 9 just the ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel ones, could 10 you point me to someplace in the rule where after 1,789 sources in Lemont and 345 sources in Pekin, 11 12 you actually identify which of those limits are 13 based only on ultra-low sulfur diesel. That could 14 help. 15 That was what I was asking for 16 is if you took the source list and said here are 17 500 sources that have ultra-low sulfur diesel 18 only as the driving force, we could do that. But I don't see how I could even understand which 19 2.0 subset of the sources modeled were subject only to the ultra-low sulfur diesel limitation that 2.1 22 you were speaking of. 23 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Did you or 24 anyone in Sierra Club ever ask the Agency for

```
Page 29
1
     that information at the hearing or informally?
2
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Informally, I asked
     several of -- I had two calls with staff and
3
4
     each time, I said, please provide the details
     of the emission calculations that have been
5
     used into the modeling.
6
7
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Did you specify
8
     you wanted to know which particular sources are
9
     burning ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel and those
10
     that are burning other types of fuel?
                   MR. SAHU: I asked for emission
11
12
     calculations for all the sources that have been
13
     used in the modeling files. So if -- you know,
14
     if you wanted me to ask for -- you know, get
15
     everybody on the phone call and say source number
     one, tell me the details. Source number two, tell
16
17
     me the details. I -- it's a difficult --
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: I'm simply asking
18
19
     if you ever specifically asked the Agency for that
2.0
     information.
2.1
                   MR. SAHU: I believe I have several
22
     times.
23
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Back to the
24
     ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel standards and your
```

August 4, 2015

Page 30 1 contention that the Board needs to include hourly emission rates for the sources that aren't listed 2 3 in 214.603, are you aware that the Agency conducted 4 these mass balance equations using the fuel sulfur 5 content in the units maximum operating capacity when 6 it obtained its modeling inputs? 7 MR. SAHU: That one, I have to say 8 no because to be aware, I would have see those 9 calculations that I've asked for and since I have 10 not seen them, I cannot be aware. 11 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you also aware that the emission rates modeled by the Agency 12 13 therefore reflect the maximum possible emissions 14 from a given unit using low sulfur fuels? 15 MR. SAHU: Again, same answer. 16 I have not been able to see the calculations you 17 speak of, I obviously cannot agree to what you just 18 asked. 19 MS. VETTERHOFFER: On Page 8, you 20 claim that the vast majority of SO2 reductions are 2.1 coming from hundreds of small sources that have no 22 continuous emissions monitoring systems or CEMS 23 installed and that the public won't actually know 24 how much SO2 these facilities emit.

```
Page 31
1
                       You are aware, though, that
2
     most of the reductions you're referencing from
3
     small sources are at allowable emissions, not
     actual emissions, correct?
4
5
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
6
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you suggesting
     that the Board require that every SO2 emitting
7
8
     source in this state, no matter how small, install
9
     a CEMS?
10
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Absolutely not.
                                                That's
11
     not what I say in my testimony. So that's a
     mischaracterization of what I said there.
12
13
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you claiming
14
     that the only way to determine how much sulfur a
     source is emitting is by having a CEMS?
15
16
                   MR. SAHU: Oh, no. There are many
17
     other ways you can -- and again, it's not sulfur.
18
     I think you meant sulfur dioxide. It is not by
19
            It can be by other means.
                                       It all depends
20
     on the source and the actual type of source.
2.1
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: On Page 7 of your
22
     testimony, you include Table A, which you state
23
     includes the top 40, by emission rate, sources
24
     modeled for the Lemont non-attainment area, but
```

August 4, 2015

Page 32 1 point out that most of these sources, roughly 2 80 percent, are not included among the sources 3 for which emissions limits are provided in Section 214.603. 4 5 Are you aware that 22 of the 40 6 listed units in Table A are actually in Indiana? 7 MR. SAHU: Oh, yes, of course, but 8 I don't understand the implication of that. 9 order to -- if I can finish my answer. 10 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Yes. MR. SAHU: In order to stand by the 11 12 modeling that has been done to assure non-attainment 13 becomes attainment in Pekin and Lemont, you have to have contributions from the neighboring state. 14 15 understand and you model that. The Agency has modeled that. 16 17 Having done so, you can't say, 18 well, we have really nothing to do with the sources 19 in Indiana. They are contributing, according to 20 your modeling, to attainment -- or non-attainment 2.1 in your state -- in Illinois. Has there been a 22 letter sent to Indiana, IDEM, saying, oh, by the 23 way, the way we have sources in your state that 24 we believe contribute to non-attainment in our

August 4, 2015

Page 33 1 state, and, therefore, we think these are the emission rates at which we think they should be 2 3 controlled? 4 You know, it's an accounting 5 If you're going to be impacted by emissions 6 from neighboring states, you can't simply say, 7 well, you know, that's what our modeling shows, 8 but we really can't do anything about these sources. 9 Then how do you assure that you're going to maintain 10 your attainment status based on your own modeling? MS. VETTERHOFFER: Do you have any 11 12 information that the Indiana sources are culpable 13 sources or the Lemont non-attainment area? 14 MR. SAHU: Could you tell me what you 15 mean by culpable in this question so I understand 16 it fully? 17 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Information that 18 these sources modeled as causing non-attainment in 19 Lemont non-attainment area. 20 MR. SAHU: Well, if I understand 2.1 your modeling, you've modeled these sources and 22 all the other sources as contributing something 23 to the model non-attainment. Yes, I recognize 24 there were a few sources that showed zero to the

```
Page 34
1
     position that the spreadsheet I got from you as
2
     a contribution, but there are hundreds of sources,
3
     including sources in Indiana, that had non-zero
4
     contributions to the concentration that you have
5
     modeled -- that the Agency has modeled and that's
     the only culpability analysis I've seen from the
6
7
     Agency.
8
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: At this time,
9
     David Bloomberg wants to ask a couple of follow-up
10
     questions due to the technical nature of the
11
     response.
12
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
     we get to that, if I could just make a comment,
13
14
     I saw a hand go up, we will just kind of finish
15
     with the Agency question first and then we'll
16
     move on to additional questions after that
17
     assuming that was a question in the back.
18
                  MS. SANDERS: Yes.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
20
     Thank you.
2.1
                   MS. SANDERS: Does this microphone
22
     work? Hello?
23
                  HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Can we --
24
     yes, ma'am?
```

```
Page 35
1
                   MS. SANDERS: Sandy Sanders.
2
     listening to this, I just wonder at the beginning --
3
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                               Ma'am,
4
     I'm sorry. If it's okay, we're going to continue
5
     with the Agency questions.
                   MS. SANDERS: It has to do with what
6
7
     she said. Can I make a comment?
8
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: At this
     time, if you don't mind waiting. Thank you.
9
10
                   MS. SANDERS: Oh, I thought you were
11
     concluding and you wanted some --
12
                  HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Oh, no.
     apologize. I conclude the Agency questions and then
13
14
     take additional questions.
15
                   MS. SANDERS: Well, I just wanted to
16
     say something so you understood what context that
17
     was related to.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                              Yes, but
19
     you are welcome to make that clarification and
20
     comment following the questions. Thank you.
2.1
                       Can we have Mr. Bloomberg sworn
22
     in before he asks just for safety reasons?
23
     you.
24
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Actually, at this
```

```
Page 36
 1
     time, you might as well swear all three Agency --
 2
     well, Mr. Bloomberg, Mr. Davis and Mr. Sprague
 3
     because it is possible later in the hearing they
     will have either testimony or answers to questions.
 4
 5
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
            Thank you.
 6
     fine.
 7
                   THE COURT REPORTER: Will the three
 8
     of you raise your right hands?
 9
                       Do you swear the testimony
10
     you're about to give is the truth -- or answers
     to questions -- is the truth, the whole truth and
11
12
     nothing but the truth, so help you God?
13
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: I do.
14
                   MR. SPRAGUE: I do.
15
                   MR. DAVIS: I do.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
16
17
     just so it's clear, you're not requesting that
18
     Mr. Bloomberg be sworn in in order to ask questions
19
     of the witness as opposed to providing testimony,
20
     correct?
2.1
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Again,
22
     I'm sorry. I just ask that you use the microphone
23
     so everyone can hear. But the question was
24
     just that he's being sworn in purely for asking
```

```
Page 37
1
     questions and it is no, it's just depending on
2
     how the questions lead, if they lead to
3
     non-questions, any kind of comments.
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Thank you.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: It's for
5
6
     safety reasons, as I mentioned. Thank you.
7
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: The question that we
8
     were trying to get at is do you have modeling that
9
     shows that these Indiana sources are significantly
     culpable such that they specifically need to be
10
11
     reduced?
12
                   MR. SAHU: Mr. Bloomberg, you now
13
     introduce further confusion by your use of the word
14
     significant. I mean, all I'm saying is you've done
               These sources have been included in the
15
     modeling.
16
     modeling. If you believe that these sources were
17
     not significant or not significantly culpable, to
18
     use your terms, why did you include them in your
19
     modeling?
20
                       All I'm saying is if they are
2.1
     in your modeling and they are within the top 40
22
     in terms of emissions, all I'm saying is it doesn't
23
     matter where they are, they have been included
24
     in your modeling. You are the ones that made the
```

August 4, 2015

Page 38 1 choices to include these sources in your modeling and here you're asking me if I believe that they 2 3 are significant or significantly culpable. I don't 4 think that's for me to decide. I analyzed your 5 modeling. 6 MR. BLOOMBERG: So if the Agency 7 did modeling using every potential source in the 8 areas or near the areas that could possibly 9 impact the receptors in the non-attainment area, isn't it true that some of those will not need 10 actual reduction? 11 12 MR. SAHU: Absolutely. Some of 13 them will not need actual reduction. I iust 14 couldn't answer if all of the Indiana resources 15 fell into that category. 16 MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay. So do you 17 think that the Agency would have probably taken 18 that into consideration in its rulemaking and eventual demonstration of attainment? 19 20 MR. SAHU: Well, I am looking at all 2.1 of the things that the Agency has done. I realize 22 that you have bifurcated this rulemaking into the 23 next step, which is a review of the modeling and 24 everything I have seen doesn't even get into near

August 4, 2015

Page 39 1 the detail that you're implying in your questions 2 right here about these distinctions between 3 different classes of sources and who is culpable 4 and who is significantly culpable and whose 5 emissions are dependent on fuel and whose emissions are not. None of this is discussed in the technical 6 7 support documents that I have seen that you have so 8 kindly provided in the public report record. 9 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Moving on, on Pages 8 to 9 of your testimony, you say Table B 10 shows sources with the highest modeled impacts at 11 12 the peak receptor in Lemont and claim that there 13 is concern over limits not being set for sources 14 with the highest impact. 15 Based on your table, what source 16 has the highest impact? 17 MR. SAHU: The very highest impact, 18 and since the table is ordered by impact, the 19 highest being first, that would be the first row, 20 I believe it's the power unit, the Will County 2.1 Unit 4, that has the highest -- single highest 22 impact. 23 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Does that source have the limit in 214.603? 24

```
Page 40
1
                   MR. SAHU: Yes, that source does.
2
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Do you agree
3
     that if you add up all of the other sources in
4
     Table B, except Midwest Generation's Will County
5
     Unit 4, which is in the top, that equates to only
     approximately 14 percent of the total contribution
6
7
     from all sources within the table?
8
                   MR. SAHU: I will -- I will accept
9
     your math. I'm not adding these numbers in my
10
     head right now, but these are the rank order of
     the sources impacting -- by your modeling. Again,
11
     as Mr. Bloomberg said, we haven't seen or had a
12
13
     chance to analyze all of modeling, which is coming
14
     in the next rulemaking, but based on current
15
     modeling, these are your results.
                       All I'm saying is these are
16
17
     your top 20 impacts. Yes, Will County Unit 4 is
18
     a very large contributor.
19
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      Do you know
20
     whether the peak receptor referenced in your
     Table B models attainment?
2.1
22
                   MR. SAHU: My understanding is, yes,
23
     the peak receptor does model -- I think it was
24
     modeling it close to attainment per 191 or so
```

```
Page 41
1
    micrograms per cubic meter. The standard is
2
     196, I believe. It's something in that range.
3
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                     On Pages 10
4
     through 12 of your testimony, you repeatedly
5
     questioned the Agency's justification Powerton's
     30-day average emission limitation and claim that
6
7
     the Agency's TSD does not provide all of the
8
     technical information US EPA's guidance requires
9
     to support a 30-day average.
                       First, just to clarify, you
10
     state that states are required to set supplemental
11
12
     limits in addition to the longer term average
13
     limits. Isn't it true, though, that US EPA does
14
     not mandate the use of supplemental limits?
15
                   MR. SAHU: It is based on guidance,
16
     but I'm answering this as a technical person.
17
     does it take to have a set of limits that are
18
     going to give you the assurance of attainment?
19
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: So you're not
20
     claiming that US EPA requires states to set
     supplemental limits?
2.1
22
                   MR. SAHU: I think I will concede
23
     that the word requires here is not meant in a
24
     legal sense. It is meant as increasing the
```

August 4, 2015

Page 42 1 robustness of your technical support. 2 My sense is this Board and 3 you, staff, and everybody else don't want to 4 do this over and over again. You want to get 5 attainment and stay in attainment. How do you 6 create a set of circumstances of your rule to 7 allow you to do that with some margin, with 8 some robustness so you don't have to go through this wonderfully exhilarating exercise time and 9 10 time again? 11 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Have you spoken to US EPA personnel at Region 5 regarding the 12 13 Illinois EPA's justification for the 30-day average and whether they believe the Agency's 14 15 analysis is adequate based on US EPA's guidance? 16 MR. SAHU: I have not spoken to 17 US EPA on this rulemaking. 18 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you aware 19 that the Illinois EPA has itself submitted it's 20 justification for the 30-day average to US EPA 2.1 Region 5? 22 MR. SAHU: I don't doubt that. 23 saw what was provided in your technical support 24 document. I saw questions from the Board about

August 4, 2015

Page 43 1 this very issue. I was somewhat mystified as to 2 the reason that staff gave as to how they came 3 up with the 30-day average in relying on some 4 questions about how controls played into that 5 aspect. 6 So I was looking at all of those 7 issues, which I discussed in Pages 10 through 12 8 of my testimony. 9 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you aware 10 that the US EPA has approved the Agency's justification and found it consistent with the 11 12 very guidance that you cite in your testimony? 13 MR. SAHU: I have not talked with 14 the EPA. So I'll have to take your word for it. 15 Again, I have not discussed this with the EPA. 16 MS. VETTERHOFFER: On Page 13 of 17 your testimony, you claim that Powerton has 18 exceeded 6,000 pounds per hour over the period 19 of 2013 to 2014. You're aware, though, that 20 Powerton was not required to comply with the 2.1 30-day average in 2012, 2013 or 2014, correct? 22 MR. SAHU: Yes. That information 23 was provided from publically available information 24 for context. I'm just discussing the actual history

```
Page 44
1
     of emissions at Powerton and that's simply data
2
     that power plants report to the public and those
3
     are the facts.
4
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: You are aware
5
     that Powerton is not actually required to comply
6
     with the 30-day limit until January 1, 2017,
7
     correct?
8
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Sitting here, I don't
9
     know all of the limits in Powerton's permit. So
10
     again --
11
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: I'm not talking
12
     about their permit. I'm talking about in the
13
     Agency's proposed rule, is Powerton required to
14
     comply with the 30-day average limitation in
15
     Section 214.603 prior to January 1, 2017?
16
                   MR. SAHU: And my answer, ma'am,
17
     is exactly what I said. There could be other
              There are many limits in permits.
18
     limits.
19
                       Would you -- would you concede
20
     this is a limit that this is coming new?
                                               All
2.1
     I'm saying is I don't know the existing limits --
22
     whether they have an existing 30-day limit or
23
     not.
          I just can't remember that.
24
                       This new limit is coming in
```

```
Page 45
1
     the future. I agree with that. But all I'm
2
     saying is I don't know, sitting here, all of
3
     the other existing limitations that Powerton
4
     has.
5
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you familiar
     with the Agency's compliance deadline for its
6
7
     proposed changes to section -- to its proposed
8
     addition in Subpart (a) (a) in Part 214?
9
                   MR. SAHU: I just answered the
     question that this rulemaking has --
10
11
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: I'm asking you
12
     about our compliance deadline.
13
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Yes.
14
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Do you know what it
15
     is?
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
17
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: That's all I'm
18
     asking.
19
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: What is the
20
2.1
     compliance deadline?
22
                   MR. SAHU: I believe it's 2017.
23
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      Thank you.
24
                       Are you aware that the Agency
```

```
Page 46
1
     has specifically advised the Sierra Club that
     Midwest Generation intends to install additional
2
3
     dry sorbent injection or DSI controls on both
4
     Powerton units prior to January 1, 2017?
5
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. That is my
     understanding that that's what staff have told the
6
7
     Sierra Club.
8
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Right now, I have
9
     no further questions. Thank you, Mr. Sahu.
10
                              Thank you.
                   MR. SAHU:
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank you.
11
12
     Ms. Bugel, did you have any questions?
13
                   MS. BUGEL: I do have some follow-up
14
     on the Agency's questions, but I'm sure others have
15
     questions. I can save mine for last as well.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Yes.
16
17
     Sure. We will go ahead with the other questions
18
     at this time then. I'm sorry. Again, I will just
19
     point out please just use the microphones if you
     can so that everyone in the room can hear.
20
2.1
                       Mr. Bonebrake?
22
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: I have some additional
23
     questions. I believe counsel for IERG does as well.
24
     I will just go first?
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 08/04/2015 August 4, 2015

Page 47 1 MS. ALLGIRE: Sure. 2 MR. BONEBRAKE: Good morning, 3 Mr. Sahu. 4 MR. SAHU: Good morning, counsel. We've had an 5 MR. BONEBRAKE: 6 opportunity to meet before, have we not? 7 MR. SAHU: We have. Over the years, 8 yes. 9 In fact, I took your MR. BONEBRAKE: 10 deposition back in 2014; is that correct? 11 MR. SAHU: Has it been that long? 12 Yes, time flies. Thank you for reminding me again. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: Who retained you to 14 provide testimony today? 15 The Sierra Club. MR. SAHU: 16 MR. BONEBRAKE: And when were you 17 retained? 18 MR. SAHU: Specifically, to provide 19 testimony, probably I was asked over a few weeks 20 after when I prepared the pre-filed, but I have 2.1 been assisting on this and evaluating the technical 22 issues for several months. 23 MR. BONEBRAKE: And how many hours 24 would you say you spent, Mr. Sahu, on this matter?

```
Page 48
1
                   MR. SAHU: I -- I can't tell you
2
     offhand.
               I mean, I invoiced the Sierra Club for
3
     the time spent and they would have those records.
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And did you
5
     personally prepare the testimony that was filed
     with your name on it in this matter, Mr. Sahu?
6
7
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                                    I did drafts and
8
     obviously a draft was reviewed at some point, but
9
     I prepared the testimony.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And did someone
10
11
     provide comments on your draft?
12
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                                    I'm sure people
13
     provided comments. I don't know who all provided
14
     comments.
15
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Did the Sierra Club
16
     provide comments on your draft?
17
                   MR. SAHU: Some folks from Sierra
18
     Club. Again, I don't know who all did.
19
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And did you make
20
     revisions to your draft based upon Sierra Club
2.1
     comments?
22
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Sure.
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Were you paid to
24
     prepare your testimony in this matter?
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 08/04/2015 August 4, 2015

Page 49 1 MR. SAHU: Well, I've invoiced them. 2 I hope they pay me. 3 MR. BONEBRAKE: Are you paid by the 4 hour, Mr. Sahu? 5 MR. SAHU: Yes. I bill by the hour 6 typically. 7 MR. BONEBRAKE: What is your hourly 8 charge? 9 MR. SAHU: \$150 an hour. 10 MR. BONEBRAKE: Are you being paid to 11 testify here today? 12 MR. SAHU: Yes. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: Are you being paid by 14 the Sierra Club? 15 Yes, I believe. MR. SAHU: MR. BONEBRAKE: I took a look at 16 17 your CV with interest. It looks like you've done 18 a lot of testifying in the past, Mr. Sahu. 19 correct that you've testified on behalf of the 20 Sierra Club in the past on the order of something 2.1 like 30 different matters? 22 MR. SAHU: Oh, I haven't counted 23 them, but I've been doing this work for -- since 24 I had hair. So I will not be anymore specific

```
Page 50
1
     than that. That doesn't surprise me.
2
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: So 30 doesn't
     surprise you, does it?
3
4
                   MR. SAHU: No, it doesn't.
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And you work from
6
     your house?
7
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. I work out of my
     home office.
8
9
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   What percentage
10
     of your earnings over the last, let's say, 36
     months, Mr. Sahu, have been contributed to the
11
12
     Sierra Club?
13
                   MR. SAHU: Again, I can't answer
14
            I have a wide variety of clients.
15
     earnings fluctuate weeks to months. I couldn't
16
     tell you sitting here.
17
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: You bill clients,
    Mr. Sahu?
18
19
                   MR. SAHU: I do, but I also took
20
     an oath to tell the truth and all that and I
     just couldn't hazard a number as to the actual
2.1
22
     percent or some -- some fee for the last 36 months.
23
                                   Surely you have
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
24
     an idea of the amount that you billed the Sierra
```

```
Page 51
1
     Club over 36 months as a businessman billing
2
     clients.
3
                   MR. SAHU: No.
                                   That's your
4
     assumption. I do not. I do not go back and --
5
     I cannot give you an accounting of that right now.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: What about over the
6
7
     last 12 months?
8
                   MR. SAHU: Same answer.
9
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Sahu, you're not
10
     a lawyer, are you?
11
                   MR. SAHU: No, I'm not.
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Did you go to law
13
     school?
14
                   MR. SAHU: No, I did not go to law
15
     school.
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: You don't offer legal
17
     opinions, do you, Mr. Sahu?
18
                   MR. SAHU: No.
                                   I'm not offering legal
19
     opinions.
20
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Have you ever operated
2.1
     a power plant?
22
                   MR. SAHU: No. I'm a consultant and
23
     an air quality consultant and a mechanical
24
     engineer. As part of becoming a mechanical
```

```
Page 52
1
     engineer, I have worked in power plants
2
     for training, but I've not operated a power
3
     plant. Actually, I don't know any single
4
     person that can claim that they've operated a
5
     power plant.
6
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Have you ever
7
    managed any operations in a power plant?
8
                   MR. SAHU:
                             No.
9
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Have you ever managed
10
     a pollution control device in a power plant?
11
                   MR. SAHU: Not in a power plant.
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: So you've never
13
     managed a Trona system before, a pollution control
14
     in power plants; is that correct?
15
                   MR. SAHU:
                             No.
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is Trona injection
17
     also known as DSI?
18
                   MR. SAHU: Well, DSI is broader and
19
     Trona is one particular type of reagent that can
20
     be used in DSI systems. So people use other
2.1
     reagents as well under the rubric of DSI.
22
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And what does DSI
23
     stand for?
24
                   MR. SAHU: Dry sorbent injection.
```

```
Page 53
1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And you used that
2
     terminology, I think, in your testimony, did you
3
     not, Mr. Sahu?
4
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And is DSI a form of
6
     dry scrubbing?
7
                   MR. SAHU:
                             There, we would have not
8
     quite -- there's scrubbing action inherent in DSI,
9
     but dry scrubbers are a different type of control
     device than DSI.
10
11
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: But Trona has a dry
12
     scrubbing action in it, correct?
13
                   MR. SAHU: The injection of the Trona
14
     happens into the hard gasses, into the flue gasses
15
     in a power plant in a dry sorbent system. In a dry
     scrubber secondary, it's actually a wet reagent that
16
17
     is injected into a scrubber. So they're really not
18
     the same control device by any means.
19
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Have you ever designed
20
     a DSI system for a power plant?
2.1
                   MR. SAHU: Not for power plants, no.
22
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Do you know how
23
    much -- strike that.
24
                       Do you know the elements of a
```

```
Page 54
1
     Trona injection system in a power plant?
2
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Yes.
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: What are those
4
     elements, Mr. Sahu?
5
                   MR. SAHU: Well, the Trona is mined
6
     in the U.S. It happens to be mined just
7
     predominately in Wyoming. Although there's some
8
     in California. It's a natural occurring mineral.
9
                       Once it's mined, it has to be
10
     sized, transported to the site, of course, and
     the sizing is a very key part of the first
11
12
     preparation step. You bring the Trona and you
13
     decide whether you're going to get it sized the
14
     right size or you're going to have some grinding
15
     equipment onsite to further lower the size of the
16
     Trona particles if you want to do that.
17
                       Once you do that, you have to
18
     convey those sized particles into the flue gas
19
               So you have to decide where you are
20
     going to inject and that depends on many factors
2.1
     including the type of back end or exhaust gas
22
     system of the particular unit that you are talking
23
     about.
24
                       The temperature is very important
```

August 4, 2015

Page 55 1 and, of course, it has to precede the particular 2 control device that is going to capture the -- this 3 spent Trona. 4 So depending on where you decide 5 to inject that, you are going to have to decide 6 where to locate your Trona sizing and your capacity, 7 your day bends and so on why you pneumatically 8 typically convey that. So you need blowers and 9 gas conditioning systems. Trona doesn't like 10 moisture. So you might have some nitrogen blanketing equipment that goes with it. 11 12 In any case, you get the Trona 13 over to the point of injection and you have to then decide how to distribute that Trona, which 14 15 is a fine powder into the rather large duct 16 cross-section area allowing for good mixing and to 17 allow for good chemical reactions to occur. 18 That will also depend on what 19 else is going on in the unit. Does it have NAAOS 20 control system? Does it have some Mercury control 2.1 system? Does it have, you know, the air preheater 22 and where is it located? 23 So all of these are design 24 elements and then you have to allow for enough

August 4, 2015

Page 56 1 time for the gas to react until the Trona then 2 is spinned and captured in the particular control 3 device. 4 So these are some of the examples 5 of design issues that have to be dealt with for 6 Trona. 7 MR. BONEBRAKE: And in all of the 8 actions and elements that you just described need 9 to work together in order to make a Trona system work effectively; is that correct? 10 MS. SAHU: Well, these are design 11 12 The fundamental system is fairly simple. choices. 13 You're injecting a solid and very fine form into a gaseous stream and allowing enough time to react 14 15 and all of the steps that I mentioned have to do 16 with optimizing a system to getting the best bang 17 for buck, if you will. 18 MR. BONEBRAKE: You had an exchange 19 with Ms. Vetterhoffer regarding the Lemont area 20 and limits or requirements or sources in the Lemont 2.1 area. 22 Do you know, Mr. Sahu, what data 23 was utilized by IEPA to recommend the non-attainment 24 designation for the Lemont area?

```
Page 57
1
                   MR. SAHU: Well, I haven't looked
2
     at that, but my presumption is it would be the
3
     monitoring data from several monitors in the area
4
     and that's typically the data that is used in
5
     these attainment/non-attainment evaluations.
6
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And US EPA, in
7
     designating the Lemont area as non-attainment,
8
     also would have relied upon the monitored data
9
     from that area, isn't that correct, Mr. Sahu?
10
                             Again, you would have
                   MR. SAHU:
11
     to ask the US EPA as to what they may have or
12
                       I haven't talked to the US EPA.
     not relied upon.
13
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And are you aware
14
     that those determinations are publically available
15
     documents?
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
17
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: You haven't looked at
18
     those documents?
19
                   MR. SAHU: I have, but I thought your
20
     question was what has the US EPA relied upon and,
2.1
     you know, I'm saying I haven't talked to the EPA
22
     about this.
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Wouldn't the US EPA
     have identified it?
24
```

```
Page 58
1
                   MR. SAHU: I haven't seen what they've
2
     identified in writing, but, you know, they may have
     relied on lots of things.
3
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is it correct that
5
     non-attainment designations were made by US EPA
6
     with respect to the Lemont area based on an average
7
     of three year's SO2 data?
                   MR. SAHU: I can't remember which
8
9
     three years, but I will take your word for it.
10
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: But a three-year
11
     period of time?
12
                   MR. SAHU: That doesn't surprise me.
13
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And do you have any
     recollection whether that period preceded 2012?
14
15
                   MR. SAHU: Yeah.
                                     I think it preceded
16
     2012, right in the middle of -- as we were coming
17
     out of the recession basically.
18
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And do you know
     if monitored data from the Lemont monitor that
19
2.0
     was used for the attainment designation or
     non-attainment designation by US EPA has available
2.1
22
     data during 2012 through 2014?
23
                   MR. SAHU: It may have.
                                            I have
24
     not -- I don't -- I couldn't answer that here.
```

```
Page 59
1
     I haven't looked at that data recently over the
2
     last few weeks.
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: You haven't looked
     at the data from the period 2012 to 2014 on the
4
     Lemont monitor to determine whether or not that
5
6
     data is showing improvement in the air quality
7
     or whether it is showing compliance with the
8
     National Ambient Air Quality Standards; is that
9
     correct?
10
                   MR. SAHU: I have not looked at that,
11
     no.
12
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Excuse me.
13
     Mr. Bonebrake, I apologize. Can you just maybe pull
14
     the microphone a bit closer?
15
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Sure.
                                           Thank you.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
16
                                                Thank
17
     you.
18
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   I have a follow-up
19
     question for Mr. Sahu. I was going to be referring
20
     in this question to an exhibit that has already
     been introduced into the Board's record and it is
2.1
22
     amended testimony of David Kolaz on behalf of IERG,
23
     which is Exhibit A, and I have a copy of that for
24
     the witness, if you wouldn't mind passing that.
```

```
Page 60
1
     Thank you. I have a couple additional copies that
2
     I'm assuming others have access to since it's a part
3
     of the Board record.
4
                   MS. BUGEL: Can I get a copy?
                                                  Thank
5
     you.
6
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Ms. Vetterhoffer, do
7
     you have a copy?
8
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      I do.
                                             Thank you.
9
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: If you have a chance
10
     to look at the Exhibit, and I recognize you're
     probably looking at this for the first time.
11
12
                             I've seen that before, but
                   MR. SAHU:
13
     this is the actual data on the very last page?
14
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Yes. That is correct.
15
     You knew where I was going.
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yeah. I can see the data,
17
     yes.
18
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And you see the data
19
     reported with respect to the Lemont monitor?
20
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
2.1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And you see the 2012
22
     to 2014 three-year average from that monitor being
23
     described as 66 parts per million, do you see that?
24
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                                    I also see the
```

```
Page 61
1
     individual data, which is one way for 2012, 73
     for 2014 and 16 for 2014.
2
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: That individual data
4
     reflects a trend down in terms of concentration;
5
     does it not?
6
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Yes.
7
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And the three-year
8
     average data from 2012 to 2014 reflects compliance
9
     based upon the monitoring data SO2 one-hour
10
     standard, does it not, for the Lemont area?
                   MR. SAHU: I would think it does,
11
12
     yes.
13
                                   So if, in fact,
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
14
     US EPA were making a designation regarding
15
     attainment as of today for the Lemont area based
16
     upon the monitored data, would not designation
17
     be attainment?
18
                   MR. SAHU: Again, US EPA would
19
     have to make that determination. They would
20
     certainly consider this data and they might even
2.1
     consider how -- the reasons why the trend is
22
     what it is. It's the -- are there sources that
23
     are shut down that could emit back? Are there fuels
24
     that have changed? Are certain goals -- are
```

August 4, 2015

Page 62 1 power plants shut down and that's what's 2 contributing to this or if there's a new gas-fired 3 or a new coal-fired units. 4 I think they have to look at 5 the totality of the circumstances and data is 6 one of them, but they have to look at some 7 reasonable explanation that explains the trend 8 and then the important question is what is 9 reliability of the trend? Is the trend likely 10 to stay and is it robust? Is it going to be 11 permanent or is it going to climb back up because 12 other things can change? 13 I think they would have to 14 look at not just the data, but the circumstances 15 that created the data. 16 But this particular MR. BONEBRAKE: 17 data point, that is, monitor data from 2012 through 18 2014, supports an attainment designation as opposed 19 to a non-attainment designation, correct? 20 MR. SAHU: I think I stand by my 2.1 more elaborate answer. I mean, all I'm trying 22 to say is yes, the data are what they are, but 23 you have to look at the context of which the 24 data were created if that underlying context

August 4, 2015

Page 63 1 is robust, then they might conclude one way or if not, if it's temporary, let's say, something 2 3 happened to a source that was shut down for a 4 long time in 2014 and in 2015, it might come 5 back up and contribute, well, that's a material issue that the data itself doesn't tell. 6 7 So you have to look at the emissions and what contributed to that. Did we 8 9 have very favorable and unusual wind patterns in 10 2014 that are not representative of normal wind 11 patterns? 12 Again, these are things that 13 you have to look at. So data is an important 14 first step, but I don't think it's the last step 15 in that determination. 16 You just gave me a MR. BONEBRAKE: 17 series of hypotheticals. Are you, in fact, aware 18 of whether any of these hypotheticals apply? 19 MR. SAHU: No. I'm simply saying 20 that to make a designation, you have to look at 2.1 the data and look at the underlying story that 22 creates the data and then make some determinations 23 based on the fungibility or robustness of the 24 underlying scenario.

```
Page 64
1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: I would like to ask
2
     you a few questions, Mr. Sahu, pertaining to your
3
     written testimony on Page 13. This relates to
4
     the review of the Powerton emission data that you
5
     recite in this portion of your testimony.
                       You looked at Powerton emission
6
7
     data from the period 2012 to 2014; is that correct?
8
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. I mean, there is
9
     some data available for 2015, but it's not complete,
10
     obviously. So I just looked at the last three full
     years of data that were available.
11
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Now, on Page 13 under
13
     Subsection (a), the second sentence, you say this
     includes the time period with dry sorbent injection
14
     installed at one of the two units at that plant.
15
     Do you see that, Mr. Sahu?
16
17
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
18
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Which of the two units
19
     are you referring to?
                             Well, I mean, in various
20
                   MR. SAHU:
2.1
     place, Powerton is referred to as four units or
22
     two units. I mean, there's Units 51, 52 and 61,
23
     62.
         Sometimes there's Units 5 and 6.
24
                       In any case, one of those --
```

```
Page 65
1
     two of the four are, if you will, had injection --
2
     again, the data doesn't tell me how much injection,
     but I saw that starting November of 2014, if memory
3
4
     serves, a unit had lower SO2 emissions indicating
5
     that DSI had been implemented roughly since that
     time that fell within my time period. I was looking
6
7
     at the data.
8
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   So when you say in
9
     your testimony that this includes the time period
     with dry sorbent injection, what you really meant
10
     was that the 36 months you reviewed contained about
11
     a month and a half of the time when sorbent
12
13
     injection was used?
14
                   MR. SAHU: Yeah.
                                     I mean, I make
15
     that very clear. I think we had discussions
16
     with staff and as I say, the data are what they
17
     are. I mean, they just began -- and they had
18
     done testing before, but I'm looking at continuous
19
     injection, which at least they reported to EPA
20
     as happening somewhere around the middle of
     November of 2014.
2.1
22
                                   When you say reported
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
23
     to IEPA --
24
                   MR. SAHU: No, to US EPA.
```

```
Page 66
1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Now, you say you
2
     observed an hourly emission rate as high as 17,518
3
     pounds per hour; is that correct?
4
                   MR. SAHU: That's just what was in
5
     the data, right.
6
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And you say the
7
     90th percentile -- well, strike that.
8
                       Mr. Sahu, do you indicate in your
9
     testimony the 90th percentile hour emission rate
10
     with respect to the data you reviewed?
11
                   MR. SAHU: Not the exact number.
                                                      Ι
12
     mean, I have the analysis. I just simply say it was
13
     over 6,400 pounds an hour.
14
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Somewhere around
15
     6,400 pounds per hour?
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yeah. Maybe slightly
17
     higher than that.
18
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: What was the 50th
19
     percentile, Mr. Sahu?
20
                             I don't remember that
                   MR. SAHU:
2.1
     offhand.
              Again, we are talking about the nature
22
     of the modeling and so on. You tend to look at
23
     emission rates that are at the higher upper part
24
     of the table, so to speak. You don't use average
```

```
Page 67
1
     emission to do the kind of modeling that the state
     has done.
2
                                   Well, you saw emission
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
     rates below 3,452 pounds per hour, did you not?
4
5
                   MR. SAHU: Yes, yes, of course.
6
     were exceedances and there were numbers below as
7
     well, of course.
8
                                   By the way, when you
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
9
     say exceedances, you understand, do you not, that
     there's no such limit 3,452 pounds per hour at the
10
     Powerton plant as of today?
11
12
                   MR. SAHU: Right. And I mean that
13
     purely in the English language sense. Not in any
14
     legal sense.
15
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Mr. Sahu, it is
16
     correct then that in the data you looked at, you
17
     saw a variation in emission rates from less than
18
     3,452 pounds per hour to over 17,000?
19
                   MR. SAHU: Sure.
                                     There were times
20
     when the unit was shut down. When units were shut
2.1
     down, they had very little -- very few emissions.
22
     Of course, you have a wide range of emissions when
23
     units are shut down for maintenance in which case
24
     they have little to no emissions and they have
```

August 4, 2015

Page 68 1 the full range. 2 MR. BONEBRAKE: And even during 3 normal operations, you saw periods, did you not, 4 with emission rates below 3,452 pounds per hour? 5 MR. SAHU: Yeah. Normal operation 6 of units does imply units running at very low --7 lower in the evenings, nighttime, and so on. 8 wouldn't surprise me that the emissions were lower 9 at certain times of day and during certain seasons of the months. That's normal for a unit like this. 10 11 MR. BONEBRAKE: And you understand, do you not, Mr. Sahu, that additional Trona 12 13 injection means a plan for Powerton Unit 6 by 14 Midwest Generation? 15 That's what Illinois EPA MR. SAHU: 16 told the Sierra Club. There was no specificity as 17 to time and it again, of course, no specificity as to design or what was -- in fact, I didn't know it 18 19 was Trona until, counsel, you pointed it out. could have been some other DSI reagent, but those 20 2.1 kind of details obviously are not available with any 22 sort of reliability. 23 MR. BONEBRAKE: And are you also aware 24 that Midwest Generation plans to install a DSI

```
Page 69
1
     system with respect Powerton?
2
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Well, yes. And I'm
3
     also aware, as I'm sure counsel is, that the
4
     whole reason for adding DSI, which is the max
5
     rule, is itself subject to lawsuits heading
6
     back from the Supreme Court as we speak.
7
                       So what Powerton will do with
8
     regards to continuing to add DSI or not is also
9
     questionable in my mind depending on how the mass
10
     rules plays out after its recent challenge in
     Michigan with the Supreme Court that came down
11
12
     much less than a month ago.
13
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: I would like to
14
     turn back to Page 9 of your testimony, Mr. Sahu.
15
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
16
                                   Starting at Page 9,
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
17
     you address issues pertaining to the 30-day rolling
18
     average proposed by IEPA with respect to the
19
     Powerton plant; is this correct?
20
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Yes.
2.1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And you are aware,
22
     are you not, Mr. Sahu, that IEPA has proposed a
23
     30-day rolling average emission in terms of the
24
     merit value as significantly more stringent than
```

```
Page 70
1
     the 6,000 pounds per hour that was initially
     identified by IEPA?
2
3
                   MR. SAHU: Are you referring to the
     3,452 pounds per hour?
4
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: That's correct.
                   MR. SAHU: Sure. Yeah. It is smaller
6
7
     than the 6,000 pounds an hour.
8
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And that 3,452, in
9
     fact, reflects more than a 40 percent reduction
     from the 6,000 pounds per hour; does it not?
10
                              Something like that, yes.
11
                   MR. SAHU:
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: So the actual rate
13
     proposed by IEPA is more stringent that the
14
     6,000-pound-per-hour rate initially proposed by
15
     IEPA with respect to this numeric value?
                   MR. SAHU: Well, that's a different --
16
17
     stringency is not just comparing the two numerical
18
     values. Stringency is a combination of the
19
     numerical value, the averaging time, the -- and
20
     all of those things.
2.1
                       So, you know, I will concede
22
     that numerically, the 30-day average number is
23
     lower than the hourly number, but as to whether
     they're stringent, that's an entirely different
24
```

```
Page 71
1
     question. I'm not prepared to say that it is or
2
     it is not.
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Do you know, Mr. Sahu,
4
     if the emission rates proposed in Section 214.603
5
     of the rule before the Board apply to all hours of
6
     unit operation including startup, shutdown and
7
    malfunction?
                   MR. SAHU: I don't remember the
8
9
     language sitting here. If you could point me to
     the language, I can try to refresh my recollection,
10
     but I don't know that I saw statements that it
11
12
     includes startup, shutdown and malfunction. Maybe
13
     that is implied by references to some other portions
14
     of the rule, but I just -- sitting here now, I don't
15
     know that for a fact.
16
                                   Do you know if US
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
17
     EPA's SO2 one-hour National Ambient Air Quality
18
     Standard applies to all periods of hours of
19
     operation from units with respect to model?
20
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Of course, yeah. I mean,
     at the US EPA, I believe the general position is
2.1
22
     that emissions from all the -- or other emissions
23
     at all times are subject to the requirements.
24
     may be of different types, but that includes
```

August 4, 2015

Page 72 1 malfunction. 2 Is it true that MR. BONEBRAKE: emission units at power plants can have higher 3 4 actual emissions during startup events as opposed 5 to during normal operation? 6 MR. SAHU: It's possible. I mean, 7 at startup, of course, the load is very low and 8 yet at the same time, the controls are not typically 9 working. So how the -- it depends on the definition 10 of startup and how long startup extends before I 11 can say one way or the other. 12 Right in the beginning, it's 13 unlikely because the load is very low and the 14 emissions are -- also because the load is likely to be over. It started -- extends -- as in some 15 16 power plants, it extends for a significant period 17 of time. Startup emissions can be very high. 18 In fact, that is one of the 19 questions which I had for staff and it was not clear how the allowable emission rates that were 20 derived is whether they actually include allowable 2.1 22 emission due to startup or not. That is one of 23 the major questions -- I brought flares as an 24 issue, but another question that affects all of

```
Page 73
1
     the model rates as how do they account for --
2
     do they account for startup emission and
3
     malfunctioning emissions, which they're required
4
     to do, according to -- in fact, EPA's final rule
5
     that came out in May of this year.
6
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And similarly,
7
     periods of pollution control malfunction can
8
     cause hourly emission rates to increase, is that
9
     correct, Mr. Sahu?
10
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. Most definitely
11
     pollution control issues, let me put it broadly,
     you know, can certainly cause -- if the unit
12
13
     continues to operate, let me put it this way.
14
     the unit continues to operate while the pollution
15
     controls are impaired, that could definitely cause
16
     emissions to spike.
17
                  MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                 So periods of startup,
18
     shutdown and malfunction can cause variability of
19
     emission unit's hourly rate of SO2 emissions; can it
2.0
     not?
2.1
                   MR. SAHU: Theoretically, they could.
22
     It depends on the circumstances, what their
23
     variability will be.
24
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Do you know what
```

```
Page 74
1
     type of fuel is used at the Powerton plant?
2
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Do you mean what type of
3
     coal?
                                   What type of coal?
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
5
                   MR. SAHU: I don't offhand.
     some mix of BRB or only BRB or non-bituminous or
6
7
     some kind of defined coals. I mean, they're -- I
8
     know different power plants in Illinois are using
9
     some combination at least four types of coal;
     non-bituminous, BRB, refined coals and maybe some
10
     blends.
11
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And can variations
13
     in sulfur content in coals cause variability in SO2
14
     hourly emissions?
15
                   MR. SAHU:
                             From the boiler, yes, of
              That would reflect the so-called mass
16
     course.
17
     balance that counsel was previously referring to,
18
     but they're usually modulated by any emission
19
     controls.
20
                       In other words, even if there
2.1
     is variability coming out of the boiler, if you
22
     have a control system, that dampens the variability.
23
     It attenuates the variability. That's the whole
24
     point of a control system.
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 08/04/2015 August 4, 2015

```
Page 75
1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   I want to just talk
2
     a little bit about your testimony on Pages 14 and
3
     15.
4
                   MR. SAHU: Sure.
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And specifically in
6
     this portion of your testimony, you are commenting
7
     on the Will County 4 emission unit, are you not,
    Mr. Sahu?
8
9
                   MR. SAHU: On Page 15, yes.
10
     you referring to someplace on Page 4 when I'm
     talking about Will County?
11
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Sure. Subsection (c),
13
     you start that discussion.
14
                   MR. SAHU: No.
                                   That's on Page 15,
15
     at least the way it was printed out.
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Okay. So with the
17
     pagination --
18
                   MR. SAHU: Okay. Yeah. Subsection
19
     (c), definitely, Subsection (c).
20
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Thank you for the
     clarification.
2.1
22
                             No, no, problem.
                   MR. SAHU:
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Now, you mention, do
24
     you not, that the Will County 4 proposed emission
```

```
Page 76
1
     rate is, as you call it, a paper reduction rate?
2
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                                    What I found is
3
     Will County Unit 4 has a very high allowable
4
     emission rate currently in this permit. Extremely
5
     high. It has for the last five years been actually
6
     emitting about roughly a tenth, give or take, of
7
     the allowable rate.
                       I think the modeled rate is
8
9
     about three times -- two and a half to three times
     it's actual emissions in the last five years.
10
     so, in other words, even at the modeled rate, Will
11
     County 4 doesn't really have to do any reduction
12
13
     of actual emissions. That's why I call it a paper
14
     reduction.
15
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Now, there are
16
     thousands of sources addressed by the proposed
17
     rules, are there not, Mr. Sahu?
18
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
19
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And which of those
20
     sources, other than Will County 4, are required
2.1
     to actually reduce emissions to comply with those
22
     rules?
23
                   MR. SAHU: I couldn't tell you.
24
     mean, that's the kind of stuff I wanted to look
```

August 4, 2015

Page 77 1 I had questions for staff. Looking at the basis of their allowable emissions that went into 2 3 modeling, just to be able to speak to precisely 4 those kind of things; where there are paper 5 reductions, where there are realistic actual reductions. 6 7 Will County 4, obviously, I 8 picked because as staff -- as counsel for IEPA 9 said, I mean, it's the single biggest on the modeling. You know, 150 plus micrograms per cubic 10 meter modeling the highest receptor out of 190 11 12 comes from Will County Unit 4. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: Are you aware, Mr. Sahu, that there are thousands of sources that 14 15 would be regulated by this proposed rule that have 16 requirements on allowable emissions that will not 17 drive actual emission reductions? 18 MR. SAHU: That might well be the 19 I mean, I don't know if there are thousands or if there are -- I mean, overall we have several 20 2.1 thousand sources that have been modeled. I've --22 I don't doubt it. 23 A lot of them could be paper 24 reductions, but I think it was worth mentioning

```
Page 78
1
     that the single biggest, highest contributing
     source is really not being asked to do any
2
3
     actual reductions.
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is IEPA required
5
     to model allowable emissions as opposed to actual
6
     emissions, Mr. Sahu?
7
                   MR. SAHU: IEPA is required to model
     allowable emissions. How those allowable emissions
8
9
     get translated into permits and become enforceable,
10
     we were just talking about that.
11
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: On Page 15,
12
     Mr. Sahu --
13
                   MR. SAHU: Yes, sir.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: -- you make the
14
15
     statement, "Containment is supposedly achieved on
     the backs of hundreds of smaller sources." Do you
16
17
     see that?
18
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Which hundreds of
19
20
     smaller sources are you referring to?
2.1
                   MR. SAHU: Well, the 1,788 sources
22
     that were modeled for Will County -- I mean, for
23
     the Lemont attainment area. It's a very interesting
24
     argument. In fact, it wasn't me, but I thought
```

August 4, 2015

Page 79 1 I was asked by Illinois EPA a few minutes ago 2 to add up all the other 19 sources in my Table B 3 and asked to see if they all by themselves -the next 19 highest sources together were less 4 5 than ten percent of Will County Unit 4. 6 Then, as you can imagine, as you 7 go further down the rank order, there were literally 8 hundreds of sources that have been modeled, many with emissions reductions. A lot were with emission 9 10 reductions that are over 99 percent. If you look at the allowable reduc- -- emissions today for over 11 500 sources, close to 600 sources, and look at what 12 13 IEPA has modeled for Lemont in the future and just 14 do a percent reduction, you have hundreds of sources that are being asked to reduce their allowable 15 16 emissions -- here, add the numbers here on page --17 on Section (b). Over 99 percent reduction for 500 18 sources. 19 MR. BONEBRAKE: In allowable 2.0 emissions? 2.1 MR. SAHU: In allowable emissions 22 and another additional 145 sources between 90 and 23 99 percent. That's what I was saying is the state 24 is requiring these dramatic reductions in allowable

August 4, 2015

Page 80 1 emissions for many sources and Will County is not being required to reduce its actual emissions. 2 3 just a factual statement, counsel. 4 MR. BONEBRAKE: So when you refer to 5 achievement being placed on the backs of hundreds of smaller sources, were you including the 6 7 requirements for Will County 3 and Joliet 6, 7 and 8 8 under the proposed rule? MR. SAHU: All of them. 9 10 MR. BONEBRAKE: All of them? view Will County 3 and Joliet 6, 7 and 8 as smaller 11 12 sources? 13 Well, again, I don't think MR. SAHU: 14 those emission sources were -- the other power plant 15 sources were being required to do 99.97 percent 16 reduction. 17 I mean, if you look at the table 18 that I've given you on in the back Attachment A, 19 Table C, you will see that the second to the last column is a percent reduction calculation between 20 2.1 the current allowable and the model, which is the 22 future allowable, if you think of it in that way. 23 And there are literally page 24 after page of sources that are being asked to

August 4, 2015

Page 81 1 reduce their allowable emissions by over 99 percent. 2 I mean, I'm into, you know, the fifth or sixth page 3 of this very fine print here before I can get even 4 90 percent. 5 Those are just facts, counsel. 6 It kind of leads to a very interesting question, 7 is this the best way to get to attainment or are 8 there more optimal strategies to get to -- in other 9 words, there are many ways to skin this cat and I'm 10 not sure what is the overall optimization metric that the agency used to try to get at a path to 11 demonstrate the attainment. 12 13 The path they have chosen 14 definitely shows that many, many sources are going to be required to have dramatically lower allowable 15 emissions. That's all. 16 17 MR. BONEBRAKE: Your own table, does 18 it not, Mr. Sahu, indicates that reductions in 19 emissions for the Joliet 6, 7 and 8 units and Will 20 County 3 that are required by the proposed rule 2.1 exceed 97 percent? 22 MR. SAHU: Yeah. Sure. 23 conceded to that earlier. They are also included 24 here, but there are many -- you know, Joliet is

August 4, 2015

Page 82 1 You know, those sources -- I think some 2 of them have converted to gas. A lot of these other sources -- the hospital, you know, the 3 municipal landfill, the University of Chicago 4 5 generators, I mean, what are they going to do? 6 I mean, they're not going to 7 convert to gas. They are relying on something 8 to achieve 99.5 percent reduction in allowable emissions. I have no idea. I mean, this is --9 10 this was intriguing right from the get-go to ever try to understands staff calculations of their model 11 12 allowable emissions. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: Mr. Sahu, do you 14 understand that Will County 3 is required to cease 15 combusting coal under the proposed rule? 16 MR. SAHU: Yes, I am. 17 MR. BONEBRAKE: And you understand 18 that that is a methodology by which it would attain 19 the proposed allowable rate for that unit? 20 MR. SAHU: Yeah. Will County 3 would. 2.1 That's why I said the power plants, some of them can 22 convert to gas, but a lot of the other sources, I 23 don't know how they're going to comply to these dramatic reductions. 24

August 4, 2015

Page 83 1 MR. BONEBRAKE: And Joliet 6, 7 and 2 8, those units also were required under the proposed 3 rule to choose combusting coal? 4 MR. SAHU: Sure. Yes, they are. 5 MR. BONEBRAKE: And are you aware 6 of any other emission unit under proposed rule 7 that is required to take physical action to constrain actual emissions? 8 9 MR. SAHU: Yes. There are probably 10 other sources. I don't know who they are and who all they are, but I can't rule that out because I 11 12 don't have the details. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: My question, Mr. Sahu, 14 and let me be again specific, and I would appreciate 15 it if you answer the question --16 MR. SAHU: Sure. 17 MR. BONEBRAKE: -- are you aware of 18 any specific source other than Will County 3, Joliet 19 6, Joliet 7 and Joliet 8 that is required to take physical action to reduce actual emissions to comply 20 2.1 with the proposed rules? 22 MR. SAHU: I have to think about that. 23 I am aware of other sources who are -- as part of 24 the modeling. I couldn't say one way or the other.

```
Page 84
1
     I will tell you this, however, since I am not able
2
     to give you a direct answer that I would have been
3
     able to answer if I had the kind of information I
4
     was looking for from staff, which is how these
5
     future modeled rates were calculated.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: So the direct answer
6
7
     is no, is it not, Mr. Sahu?
8
                   MR. SAHU: I cannot say that for
9
     sure.
10
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: My question is what
11
     you are not aware of. What you are telling me is
12
     you are not aware of another source, is that
13
     correct, Mr. Sahu?
14
                   MR. SAHU: Are we talking about
15
     Lemont?
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Yes.
17
                   MR. SAHU: Yeah. I couldn't tell
18
     you with what I'm aware of given the information
19
     I've been provided.
20
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And is it true that
2.1
     Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and the Will County 3 units have
22
     been modeled by IEPA as effecting attainment in the
23
     Lemont area?
24
                   MR. SAHU: Do you mean do they
```

```
Page 85
1
     continue to give, is that what you're asking?
2
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Correct.
3
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Sure.
                                     They have been.
4
     They have been included in the modeling.
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And so is it correct
     that the actual emission reductions that would
6
7
     occur as a result of the cessation of coal
8
     combustion from the Will County 3 and Joliet 6, 7
     and 8 assists the state's effort to attain the
9
     Lemont area?
10
                   MR. SAHU: Well, they might, but I --
11
     you know, of course, they do. Their emissions are
12
     going to be reduced if they switch from coal to
13
     gas and they will lower their emissions of SO2
14
15
     as a result and they will help, but they are not
16
     at the -- in the final analysis, Will County 4
17
     still stands out as a very large contributor to
     the ongoing SO2 concentrations that are to be
18
19
     expected in this area.
                             That is also equally a fact
20
     of the modeling that you have seen.
2.1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is it true that
22
     US EPA has stated that SO2 emissions from power
23
     plants combusting natural gas by one percent or less
24
     than SO2 emissions from the coal-fired power units?
```

```
Page 86
1
                   MR. SAHU:
                             It is, but that speaks
2
     more to the very large emissions from coal-fired
3
     units as opposed to the very dramatically small
4
     emissions from gas-fired units.
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: So would you agree
6
     then, Mr. Sahu, that the conversion of a coal
7
     powered emission unit to gas would result in SO2
8
     emission reductions of 99 percent or greater?
9
                   MR. SAHU: I don't know that.
     gets into the calculations. Whether it's 97
10
     or 98 or 99, I couldn't tell you.
11
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Do coal-fired emission
     units burning natural gas have lower particulate
13
14
    matter emissions than coal-fired plants burning
15
     coal?
16
                   MR. SAHU: Can I ask you a
17
     clarification on the question? You said coal-fired
18
     units burning gas.
19
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   I'm sorry.
20
                   MR. SAHU: Did you mean -- could you
2.1
     clarify what that means?
22
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Thank you.
23
     misspoke. Do power plants burning natural gas
24
     have lower particulate matter emissions than power
```

August 4, 2015

Page 87 1 plants burning coal? 2 MR. SAHU: I am aware of coal fire 3 powered plants with extremely good particulate 4 controls that have emissions comparable to gas-fired 5 units. So it has to do with how poorly coal-fired power plants have or run their particular controls. 6 7 If it's a well run, well designed, 8 well-maintained particular control device, they do a great job, even the coal-fired power plants on 9 ppm emissions as opposed to gas-fired ppm where 10 there are -- they're understandably small. They're 11 12 not zero. They're small. 13 But if you compare a typical 14 one of each, yes, a gas-fired unit will have far 15 fewer, but that speaks in large part to how poorly 16 coal-fired units historically have designed, run 17 and maintained their particular control systems. 18 MR. BONEBRAKE: And do gas-fired emission units have lower carbon dioxide emissions 19 20 than coal-fired power plants? 2.1 MR. SAHU: Roughly half, although the 22 same heat release. 23 MR. BONEBRAKE: So the conversion of 24 coal-fired emission units from coal to gas has

August 4, 2015

Page 88 1 the benefit of reducing SO2 emissions, particulate matter emissions and carbon dioxide emissions, 2 3 is that then correct, Mr. Sahu? 4 MR. SAHU: Yes. That would be a 5 consequence of converting to gas. We talked about the 6 MR. BONEBRAKE: 7 fact that Midwest Generation, with respect to Will County 3 and Joliet 6, 7 and 8 will actually have 8 9 to take efforts to comply with the requirement to 10 cease combusting coal. One of my questions for you, 11 Mr. Sahu, is with respect to the conversion of 12 13 a unit from coal to gas, do you have a sense of 14 the cost associated with that conversion? 15 Well, I just recently MR. SAHU: 16 looked at two or three such conversions in a 17 different state and they are very unit specific. 18 There is some cost to changing the internals 19 because of temperature profile changes and you want to do that, but it is a dramatically reduced 20 2.1 operating cost because you're not operating the 22 coal yard, you're not operating several control 23 systems. 24 So when you look at cost,

August 4, 2015

Page 89 1 there is some capital cost that has to be 2 incurred obviously to make the change, but there 3 are significant reductions in labor, staffing 4 and in ongoing operating costs because a gas 5 fired power plant doesn't require much of the 6 supporting infrastructure, you know, including 7 getting the coal, handling the coal, the whole 8 energy penalties associated with the milling, the 9 coal and conveying the coal and the back end, the 10 P.M. controls and even other controls that might 11 be present. 12 So on a levelized cost, on a cost looking over a reasonable time period of life, 13 it depends on the assumptions you make. I can't 14 say that the costs are comparable. It depends on 15 16 the facts in each particular case. 17 MR. BONEBRAKE: Let's confine ourselves to capital costs. 18 19 MR. SAHU: Well, that's really half 20 I mean, you can't confine yourself to the cost. capital costs. It's like saying, you know, that's 2.1 22 consider the cost that is going to be more, but 23 let's forget about the costs where it's going to 24 be less. That's funny accounting.

```
Page 90
1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: My question, though,
2
     Mr. Sahu, is regarding capital costs.
3
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Sure.
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: So I would appreciate
5
     it if you would confine yourself to that area.
6
                   MR. SAHU: I'll listen to the question
7
     and answer carefully, but I think for the Board's
8
     benefit, I think cost is broader than capital cost.
9
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Would you agree that
10
     the costs of -- physical costs of converting a unit
     from coal to gas, capital costs, would be at least
11
     hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit?
12
13
                   MR. SAHU: It could, sure.
                                               That's
14
     possible.
15
                                   It could be millions
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
     of dollars per unit?
16
17
                   MR. SAHU: It depends on what is
18
     being changed. That's why I'm saying the facts
19
     are important. If the burners are in relatively
20
     good shape and they burn gas, it may not be
    millions of dollars. If there's a gas supply
2.1
22
     already, for example, a pipeline, then it may
23
     not be millions of dollars.
24
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Do you know if there's
```

```
Page 91
1
     a gas line that feeds the Joliet station, Mr. Sahu?
2
                   MR. SAHU: Not offhand. I have not
3
     inquired about that.
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Well, let's assume
5
     that there is not, you understand? So the capital
     costs associated with bringing in a pipeline --
6
7
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Sure
8
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: -- to the Joliet
9
     station --
10
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Sure.
11
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: -- do you have a sense
12
     what those costs would be?
13
                   MR. SAHU: No.
                                   That could depend
14
     purely on how far it's coming from the closest
15
     intertie that will give them the gas volumes they
16
     need.
17
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And that could
18
     entail an acquisition of property, construction
19
     of the pipeline and so on, Mr. Sahu, correct?
20
                   MR. SAHU: Well, look at all the
2.1
     benefits you're getting for lower particulate
22
    missions and lower greenhouse gas emissions that
23
     you just pointed me to. So yes, there are costs
24
     obviously, but I'm saying capital costs are part
```

August 4, 2015

Page 92 1 of the equation. Operating costs are part of the 2 equation. Then there are the benefits. So you 3 have to look at everything. 4 MR. BONEBRAKE: Well, we agree that 5 there are substantial benefits from the cessation of coal combustion at the four units with respect 6 7 to emissions, Mr. Sahu. 8 Are you're aware of any other 9 source that's going to be required to incur -- I 10 should say source or source operator that's going to be required to incur at least hundreds of 11 thousands of dollars, if not millions of dollars, 12 13 to comply with the proposed rule? 14 MR. SAHU: Yes. I'm aware of other 15 sources that will have to comply, but I can't -- I 16 can't speak to the details. 17 MR. BONEBRAKE: That's not my 18 question. My question was whether you're aware of 19 any company or other operator other than Midwest 20 Generation that's going to be required to spend 2.1 hundreds of thousands of dollars, if not millions 22 of dollars, to comply with the proposed rules? 23 My answer is yes. MR. SAHU: 24 MR. BONEBRAKE: Which company?

```
Page 93
1
                   MR. SAHU: I cannot tell you.
                                                   Ι
2
     have confidentiality agreements. So I cannot
3
     tell you that. But I'm aware and I'm truthfully
4
     answering yes, that Midwest Generation and the
5
     power companies are not the only ones that are
6
     going to be spending real money, capital costs,
7
     to comply with these rules.
8
                                   If you cannot identify
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
     for me the name of the company or operator, can you
9
10
     identify how many of them are there?
11
                   MR. SAHU:
                              I'm aware of just the one.
12
                                   Just one?
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
13
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Yes.
14
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Out of the 1,500 or
15
     so sources at issue in this room, Mr. Sahu?
16
                   MR. SAHU: Many of whom -- yes,
17
     because I have not queried the 1,500. I am simply
18
     pointing out that many of the 1,500 are going to be
19
     required to take huge reductions in their allowable
2.0
     emissions. I don't know as a result of that if
2.1
     they are to do something by way of spending capital
22
     costs and operating costs. I just don't know.
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And you just don't
24
     know whether allowable emissions reductions are
```

	Page 94
1	going to require any reduction in actual emissions;
2	is that correct?
3	MR. SAHU: I I have no idea.
4	I've been asking for the calculations supporting
5	Column 4 in this table.
6	MR. BONEBRAKE: If the strike that.
7	Those are all the questions that
8	I have for Mr. Sahu at this time.
9	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
10	you.
11	Before we continue, we are
12	going to take a quick break. It is now 12:15.
13	So just a quick ten-minute break. So we will meet
14	back at 12:25 to continue.
15	There are a lot of members of
16	the public. If anyone does have any time issues
17	in terms of having to get to work or anything
18	wanted to offer public comment, if you could just
19	find me during the break, we can discuss that.
20	So thank you. Let's go off the
21	record.
22	
23	
24	

	Page 95
1	(Whereupon, after a short
2	break was had, the following
3	proceedings were held
4	accordingly.)
5	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
6	We are going back on the record now. Thank
7	you. It's 12:27. We have set up a couple of
8	fans. I know it's quite warm in here. So anyone
9	sitting near the fans, if it becomes an issue,
10	just let us know. Thank you.
11	So we did have a few people
12	that have to leave shortly that have requested
13	to offer public comments. So we are going to
14	switch gears for a moment to let those poor
15	people speak. I do ask just if you can limit
16	your comment to around two minutes and again
17	just state your name and spell it. If you are
18	speaking on behalf of any organization, just
19	let us know that too. Please use the podium in
20	the middle of the room. There's a microphone
21	there.
22	So we will begin with Jo Lakota.
23	Thank you very much.
24	MS. LAKOTA: Thank you very much.

```
Page 96
1
     I'm one of the luckiest people in this room because
2
     I know --
3
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                I'm sorry.
     Could you spell your name for the court reporter?
4
5
                   MS. LAKOTA: Jo, J-O, last name
6
     L-A-K-O-T-A, of the Great Lakota Nation, if you
7
     need to know.
8
                       I'm very fortunate. As a child,
9
     I grew up down on the south end of Peoria and we've
10
     never had a car or anything, but we would walk this
     whole area from Peoria down by where the plant is
11
     and over here into Pekin to visit friends of ours
12
13
     and, I mean, at least weekly.
14
                       I know this place so intimately,
15
     the rivers and the Kickapoo Creek. We used to
16
     fish Kickapoo Creek, but we literally ate the
17
     food that that strip of land provided, not just
18
     the fish, but the plants and berries and everything.
19
     Everything was there; asparagus and mushrooms.
20
     it was -- it really was a heaven. I remember
2.1
     fairyrooms, you know, the mushrooms in the spring.
22
                       This is very precious to me.
23
     Plus, I taught here for many years. I actually
24
     taught in Pekin for nine years at the high school
```

August 4, 2015

Page 97 1 and loved my students. Now, here they are with 2 their children living here. Although I know I'm 3 not going to get college credit for listening to 4 all of this high intellectual discussion, what I 5 did hear was 6,000 pounds per hour and I see that falling on those children and on those trees and 6 7 on that water. 8 I think it's all very simple. 9 I think everybody here is intelligent. I think 10 everybody in here wants the same thing, the best for ourselves and our children. It all comes 11 12 down to -- it all comes down to love. You know, 13 some great minded 14th century recently referred 14 to us as the huggers in the newspaper. I thought 15 that was really cute, but the fact is we all want 16 the same thing. 17 And so it costs some money. 18 Well, guess what? You know, I pay a sewage bill. 19 You know, I can't have an outhouse. I can't throw 20 my garbage in my yard, I have to pay a bill to have that picked up. That's the law. I like living 2.1 22 under the law because I live in a cleaner 23 environment. 24 I appreciate everybody's effort,

```
Page 98
1
     but I'm just putting my plug in for all the
2
     children, animals, my students and this beautiful,
3
     beautiful part of the world right here we have.
4
     It's a little bit of heaven. Thank you.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
5
6
     you.
7
                       Next we have Joyce Harant and
8
     then followed by Gary Hall.
9
                   MS. HARANT: Thank you very much
10
     for the consideration to speak sooner.
11
                       My name is Joyce Harant,
12
     J-O-Y-C-E, H-A-R-A-N-T, and I am a resident of
13
     Peoria, but I am also, while I'm not speaking
     for them, I'm a member of the Central Illinois
14
15
     Healthy Community Alliance and also a member of
16
     the Peoria City County Board of Health.
17
                       Before I speak, I would first
18
     like to personally -- since we don't get an
19
     opportunity very often to see the members of the
20
     Pollution Control Board -- and I want to thank
     Dr. Glosser for her vote and support of the
2.1
22
     variance for the Dynegy plant -- or to not support
23
     the Dynegy variance. So I just wanted to thank you
24
     for that and I hope that that spirit will continue
```

August 4, 2015

Page 99 1 in this rulemaking and that I'm so pleased to see the other members of the Board here that are here 2 3 because my focus has been on public health. 4 I have a master's in community 5 health. I have had heard nothing -- and I guess 6 I wouldn't expect to hear anything in this kind 7 of testimony about the cost to the public's health. 8 I just urge you to focus on 9 keeping our air, getting our air clean so we can 10 reduce the health care costs, the lost work, the pain and suffering of people who have to go through 11 diseases, respiratory and heart disease. 12 I think 13 we need to give much greater weight to the health 14 care costs. 15 I hope that the rules are not 16 just sort of the same 'ole we're going to maximize 17 profits for the corporations and minimize the 18 health care impacts on the public. We've had this 19 for too long and it's just too much. It has to 20 stop. 2.1 I think the controls for Dynegy 22 at the Edwards plant need to be stricter. 23 need to be required. The averaging for the Powerton

plant needs to be shorter durations, the hourly,

24

```
Page 100
1
     so that we can have substantial reductions in the
     SO2 emissions.
2
3
                       So again, I just ask that when
4
     you are looking at all of the data that is
5
     presented in terms of emissions and all of that,
6
     put a face on it. Put a face of somebody who
     is having to use a respirator. Put a face on
8
     somebody who has heart disease. Let's get the
9
     lowest possible emissions that we have so we can
     have healthy people. Thank you.
10
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
11
                                               Thank
12
     you.
13
                       Next, we have Gary Hall followed
14
     by Harry Jones.
15
                   MR. HALL: Gary Hall, G-A-R-Y,
16
               We live on the earth, blue marble.
     H-A-L-L.
17
     a beautiful place to live. If you look -- if you
18
     look at the pictures from outer space.
19
                       The problem is this is the only
20
     home we've got. If we -- if we destroy this home,
2.1
     where are we going to go? There's no place to
22
          This generation better do something about the
23
     pollution that's going on in the world or we are
24
     not going to have anyplace left to live on for our
```

```
Page 101
 1
     kids.
 2
                       The Pollution Control Board
 3
     should require a stronger emission limit at the
 4
     Dynegy Edwards plant -- coal plant along with
 5
     an expected date for the installation of sulfur
     dioxide pollution controls. The agreement
 6
 7
     established by Dynegy and the state of Illinois
 8
     lacks detail regarding the plan and timeline for
     installing the pollution controls.
 9
                       In the update, in 2014, it was
10
     reported by the Clean Air Task Force attributes 29
11
12
     deaths, 45 heart attacks and 490, 490 asthma
13
     attacks to the pollution from the Dynegy Edwards
14
     plant. Dynegy took this plant over from Ameren.
15
     Ameren was a bad neighbor. Dynegy is a -- they're
16
     like a slum lord. They bought into this idea
17
     that they can do whatever they want and disregard
18
     the rules and then if anything happens, they'll
19
     just shut down and move out. Shame on them.
20
              That's all. Thank you.
     on them.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
2.1
22
     you.
23
                       Next, we have -- is this Kerry
24
     or Larry Jones. I'm sorry about that.
```

August 4, 2015

Page 102 1 MR. JONES: Yes, Larry Jones, 2 L-A-R-R-Y, J-O-N-E-S. Thanks for hearing my 3 concerns and perhaps applying my contribution. I'm a resident of Mackinaw 4 5 in Tazwell County. I'm a board member of the Peoria Chapter of ACLU, coordinator of Greater 6 7 Peoria of Progressive Democrats of America and 8 I'm an activist with the Illinois People's 9 Action and Central Illinois Healthy Community Alliance and a member of the NAACP. 10 I live in the outer reaches of 11 12 the plume of pollution that spews forth from the 13 Powerton and Edwards plants. I just turned 68 14 years old so on paper at least, I belong to a 15 demographic that's more vulnerable to their 16 emissions. 17 I request that you reject the 18 proposed non-attainment plan until the draft rule 19 is strengthened. The 2014 Toll From Coal Report 20 informs us from pollution from the Powerton and Edwards plants combined can account for 79 deaths 2.1 22 as well as over 120 heart attacks and over 1,300 23 asthma attacks each year. 24 Whatever plan is adopted for this

August 4, 2015

Page 103 1 area, it must seek to reduce those numbers. 2 should we think that the 30-day standard would 3 protect public health better than the one-hour 4 standard? The 30-day standard will allow huge 5 spikes in emissions of sulfur dioxide and other 6 dangerous pollutants. Well, I guess that's okay 7 if on another day, emissions are reduced. 8 mind. The damage has already been done to people's 9 health. As a citizen, I expect the 10 Illinois Pollution Board to do the right thing and 11 put the health of actual living, breathing human 12 13 persons before the health of corporate persons and 14 shareholder's pocketbooks. Thank you. 15 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 16 you. 17 Those are all the names that I 18 have for now. I would like to thank the four of 19 you for coming out and giving comments today in 20 the middle of the day. We appreciate it. will be additional time for additional public 2.1 22 comments later for anyone who signed up or didn't 23 and has not spoken yet. 24 So at this time, we will continue

```
Page 104
1
     with the testimony and questions and of Mr. Sahu.
     I believe IERG had some questions. Thank you.
2
3
                   MS. ALLGIRE: Yes, we do. I'm Abby
4
     Allgire. I'm legal counsel with the Illinois
5
     Environmental Regulatory Group also known as
6
     IERG.
7
                       Hello, Mr. Sahu. I just
8
     have one question for you. Are you aware of any
9
     specific examples in Illinois where ultra-low
     sulfur diesel fuel offered for sale exceeded the
10
     15 ppm limit?
11
12
                   MR. SAHU: You said 15 ppm?
13
                   MS. ALLGIRE: Yes.
14
                   MR. SAHU: No, I'm not.
15
                   MS. ALLGIRE:
                                 Thank you. That's all
16
     I have.
17
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
18
    Ms. Bugel, do you have questions at this stage?
19
                   MS. BUGEL: Yes.
                                     Thank you,
20
     Hearing Officer. I do have probably about ten
2.1
     follow-up questions.
22
                       Mr. Sahu, do you have clients
23
     that are industrial?
24
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. I have clients
```

	Page 105
1	that are both municipalities, government clients,
2	EPA itself is a client and several states and
3	several industrial clients.
4	MS. BUGEL: And can you approximate
5	how many industrial clients you have?
6	MR. SAHU: Well, again it fluctuates
7	by time, but over the years, I've done work with a
8	variety of industrial clients such as refineries,
9	airspace companies, steel mills, chemical
10	distribution companies and there are small
11	smaller companies as well. So right now, on an
12	active basis, probably about a half a dozen.
13	MS. BUGEL: And in your experience,
14	I'm assuming you have encountered other consultants
15	that act as expert witnesses in hearings and in
16	trials?
17	MR. SAHU: Yes.
18	MS. BUGEL: And do you have an
19	understanding of whether they are routinely paid
20	to appear and provide testimony?
21	MR. SAHU: Yes. My understanding is
22	that's a common form of their compensation, their
23	time.
24	MS. BUGEL: And do you have you

```
Page 106
1
     had an understanding of other experts' hourly
     rates?
3
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. There are a wide
4
             I have seen expert rates that are
5
     significantly greater than my rates especially
     experts that work for power plants.
6
7
                   MS. BUGEL: And why is your rate
8
     significantly lower than other experts?
9
                   MR. SAHU: Well, I am by myself.
     So I don't have a huge amount of overhead and
10
11
     I think it's a fair compensation given my peers
12
     and given my costs and all the other things
13
     that we do with our money like paying taxes and
14
     generally living.
15
                   MS. BUGEL: And turning to the
16
     expert --
17
                   MR. SAHU:
                              I might say that I did
18
     spend many years getting a higher education.
     have my master's and Ph.D. So I think overall
19
20
     it's a fair compensation for the experience that
2.1
     I bring.
22
                   MS. BUGEL: And turning now to
23
     the amended testimony of David Kolaz on behalf
24
     of IERG, do you have that in front of you?
```

```
Page 107
1
                   MR. SAHU:
                             I do.
2
                   MS. BUGEL: And can you flip to
3
     Attachment A, please.
                   MR. SAHU: I have it.
4
5
                   MS. BUGEL: And earlier, your
6
     attention was directed towards the city of Lemont
7
     and the monitoring data for that city. I would
8
     like to direct your attention to the city of Pekin.
9
     Do you see that --
10
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Yes.
                   MS. BUGEL: -- in the attachment?
11
12
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
13
                   MS. BUGEL: And can you generally
14
     explain the trend for the monitoring data for Pekin
15
     over time?
16
                   MR. SAHU: The Pekin data doesn't
17
     seem to show a very strong trend. There was a
18
     high value in 2012.
19
                       By the way, all the numbers in
20
     Pekin recently are, you know, two and three times
2.1
     the standards. So there has been no real reduction
22
     in Pekin from the actual monitored values.
23
                       One of the important things why
24
     this is key in the analysis IEPA has done, I don't
```

```
Page 108
1
     really see a reasonable explanation for why actual
     monitor value of this location should be that much
2
3
     higher than the standard. So the culpability
4
     analysis for explaining these high values, they
5
     continue without any significant reduction in my
6
     mind.
7
                   MS. BUGEL: And can you just tell
8
     us what was the monitored value for the year of
     2014?
9
                   MR. SAHU: At Pekin, it was 190
10
                         The standard is 75 at
11
     parts per billion.
12
     least numerically. The standard is a statical
     one, but the numerical value is 75 versus 190.
13
14
                   MS. BUGEL: We can -- we can set
15
     that aside.
16
                       So turning to the actual rule
17
     itself, do you know of any source where the
18
     reductions model -- I'm sorry -- do you know of
19
     any source where reductions in emissions were
20
     modeled by the IEPA, but that source is not
2.1
     subject to either a limit in 603 or a low-sulfur
22
     fuel requirement per the rule?
23
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. There appear to
24
     be such sources. If I understand the rule, the
```

August 4, 2015

Page 109 1 low-sulfur limit, which was discussed extensively has to do with sources that burn low-sulfur diesel, 2 3 which is a fuel that lots of sources can use and 4 burn, but as I pointed out even in earlier 5 testimony, I mean, there are sources like flares 6 and so on where you wouldn't be turning low-sulfur 7 diesel, per se, and they're modeled here as well. 8 I mean, it's not clear now 9 their reductions -- how even their allowables, 10 not just reductions, how their allowables are calculated. 11 12 MS. BUGEL: And you've testified 13 as to having a concern that sources -- a concern 14 about sources being able to achieve the modeled rate or whether they are -- they will be able to 15 be verified at the modeled rate. 16 17 Can you identify the 18 characteristics that you know of that affect 19 whether a source will be able to achieve the 2.0 modeled rate? 2.1 MR. SAHU: Well, we got into that 22 a little bit earlier with counsel from IEPA. 23 SO2 emissions that come out of fuel burning, let's 24 take the subset of sources that are combustion due

August 4, 2015

Page 110

1 to even low sulfur diesel, forget the flares, forget other sources, even there, what happens is you burn 3 the fuel, the sulfur concentration in the fuel, 15 parts per million, gets converted to a chemical reaction to SO2, but the rate that is a mass 6 emission rate grams per second.

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

The concentration by itself is insufficient to assure that the mass rate will be achieved because you need to know something about the unit. You need to know the size of the unit, the heat input or the power that's being generated. So that is a calculation. That's an assumption there.

In other words if I take any particular source here that is a fuel burner, I need to know the type of fuel, which less conceived is the low-sulfur diesel that we burned, but then we need to know what size the source is. Together, in this instance, they will tell us the grams per second.

Now, the calculation might be different for other types of sources, but as a simple example, that is what we need to know. So all I'm saying is we need to at least understand

August 4, 2015

Page 111 1 what went into the model grams per second and it is not sufficient to simply say, well, we have an 2 3 assurance that the sulfur content of concentration 4 in the fuel is not going to exceed the 15 part 5 per million. That is a part of the calculation and not all of it. 6 7 MS. BUGEL: So is it your 8 understanding that if -- even if the sulfur 9 content of fuels -- of the fuel stays below 15 10 parts per million, a source could exceed the rate it was modeled at in IEPA's modeling? 11 12 MR. SAHU: Yes, it could. I mean, 13 if somebody takes an engine and substitutes for 14 a bigger engine, then they could. I mean, lots 15 of things can happened as to why model emission 16 rate might be different if they keep a low-sulfur 17 concentration the same and usually you guard 18 against that through permit or through some other 19 verifiable means. 20 MS. BUGEL: And in your testimony, you also question whether a low-sulfur fuel limit 2.1 22 of 15 parts per million alone could achieve a 99 23 percent plus reduction in emissions. 24 Can you explain why it is your

August 4, 2015

Page 112 1 understanding that low-sulfur fuel limits alone 2 cannot achieve the 99 percent plus reductions 3 modeled by EPA? 4 MR. SAHU: Yeah. That's -- the 5 thought process is very simple. If you are getting all of your sulfur dioxide emissions 6 7 from a fuel substitution. You are getting due 8 to -- having now burned or will burn after 2017 9 15 parts per million diesel sulfur, then to get the 99 percent allowable would imply that current 10 allowable emissions are based on burning diesel 11 12 with 1,500 parts per million diesel and I don't 13 know for a fact that current diesel that people are burning even on allowable terms -- I mean, 14 15 that type of diesel is generally not available, 16 certainly in many cases, we have 99.9 percent. 17 Now, you are talking about 18 15,000 ppm diesel. So the math of how you get 19 to explaining these allowable percent reductions 20 didn't add up in my mind simply knowing that the 2.1 fuel sulfur content is the one that is going to 22 achieve these reductions. There must be an 23 explanation. I just haven't seen it. 24 MS. BUGEL: And in the last part of

```
Page 113
1
     your answer, you said 15,000 parts per -- ppm
2
     diesel.
              Did you mean 1,500?
3
                   MR. SAHU: No. I said in some
4
     sources, it was 99.9 percent reduction and it
5
     could be 15,000 current allowable.
6
                   MS. BUGEL:
                               Thank you.
7
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
8
                   MS. BUGEL: I understand.
9
                       And you also were questioned
10
     earlier about whether or not you were recommending
     CEMS for thousands of sources. And for the record,
11
12
     CEMS is C-E-M-S. It stands for continuous emission
13
    monitoring systems. You indicated that you are not
14
     recommending CEMS.
15
                   MR. SAHU:
                              Right.
                   MS. BUGEL: Are there other means
16
17
     that can be used to assure that the modeled rate
18
     will also be achieved for individual sources?
19
                   MR. SAHU: Sure.
                                     If you're not
20
     going to monitor the actual emissions using the
2.1
     C-E-M-S, or CEMS, you can -- for the assumptions
22
     that you're making in your allowable calculations
23
     into some sort of enforceable permit such as the
24
     size of the equipment, along with the fuel sulfur
```

August 4, 2015

Page 114 1 content, it becomes difficult for some sources. 2 I'll tell you, you know, flares 3 I think are a good example of that. Flares, sulfur dioxide emissions, we've learned a lot about 4 5 them in this country in the last 30 years and more importantly, in the last ten years. 6 7 can be dramatically high. 8 It is not uncommon for a 9 single refinery flare or flaring event to contribute 500,000, 600,000, a million pounds 10 of sulfur in an event, which might last hours 11 to days depending on what's going on with the 12 13 source. 14 And so you have to tailor 15 what you're doing to the characteristics of 16 the source and establish the appropriate tools 17 whether it's a calculation that is enforceable, 18 whether it's CEMS in some instances, whether 19 it's periodic staff testing under representative 20 conditions. There are several tools and it's not 2.1 a one size fits all. 22 MS. BUGEL: And earlier when 23 Mr. Bonebrake was questioning, he questioned you 24 about variation in sulfur content in coal and

August 4, 2015

Page 115 1 whether or not you have an understanding whether that leads to variation in sulfur emissions and 2 3 you, in your answer, indicated that that is what 4 a source has emissions controls for. 5 Can you explain what you meant by that? 6 7 MR. SAHU: Well, you have to 8 separate where emissions are generated from where 9 they are emitted. So you have generation happening, 10 let's say, in the example that Mr. Bonebrake and I were discussing in a boiler, a power boiler, 11 where you're burning coal or some other fuel and 12 13 you're creating the sulfur dioxide. 14 Then it is -- if you have no 15 controls, then you are going to emit the same 16 thing. So any variability in the emission 17 generation translate to variability in the emissions 18 to the atmosphere, but if you have controls like 19 a scrubber or dry sorbent ejection or something 20 else, it can act as a way to modulate to dampen 2.1 these spikes. 22 That's what a well designed 23 control system essentially can neutralize, if you 24 will, some of the variability. So it's not just

August 4, 2015

Page 116 1 an issue of averaging time. It's an issue of controlling inherently doing some attenuation or 2 3 dampening of the variability. That is the point 4 I was trying to make. 5 MS. BUGEL: And have you ever 6 observed DSI in use? 7 MR. SAHU: Yes. In many power plants 8 in the last several years have been doing testing 9 for DSI, anticipating that they're going to be using it to comply with another EPA rule that is in effect 10 right now subject to some court decisions and I --11 at least in one case, I was invited to witness DSI 12 testing over a period of several days. 13 They were doing trials. 14 15 Mr. Bonebrake mentioned Trona. This power company 16 was using Trona. They were using other reagents 17 just to see what would work for them and how to 18 design the system. So they were doing an extensive 19 I was asked to witness this -- portions of 20 that trial. 2.1 MS. BUGEL: And did you witness any 22 operating data in that trial? 23 MR. SAHU: Yes. We were in the 24 control room looking at how they were injecting

August 4, 2015

Page 117 1 DSI and reagents, different rates, different 2 locations, just sort of an exploratory study of 3 the efficacy of the system, of that control. 4 MS. BUGEL: And did that experience 5 inform your understanding of your testimony that 6 DSI is the responsive? 7 MR. SAHU: Yes. I don't think 8 there was any question. I didn't get questions 9 from either Mr. Bonebrake or counsel from IEPA on 10 that issue. Yes, the DSI system is -- it 11 senses the sulfur dioxide in the incoming gasses 12 and it knows appropriately how much of the reagent 13 to inject and all of that happens very rapidly. 14 In fact, the overall reaction in the duct happens 15 16 within about a second or so before you hit the 17 particular control device. It's a very quick 18 acting control. 19 In fact, that alone distinguishes 20 it from the other type of controls Mr. Bonebrake and I were talking about, dry scrubbers, and they 2.1 22 can be a little more longer acting, but DSIs can 23 be very quick acting if it's designed properly. 24 MS. BUGEL: And you were also

August 4, 2015

Page 118 1 questioned about US EPA approval of the 30-day 2 average here for Powerton. 3 Does the fact that the US EPA approved of the 30-day average affect your view 4 5 of the need for supplemental limits? 6 MR. SAHU: No, it does. I mean, 7 again, as I said in the beginning, I'm looking 8 at it from a technical standpoint. How robust is this fastforward that you have some assurance 9 10 you're not get into non-attainment. In US EPA, in its guidance 11 12 at least, it speaks to the need of supplemental 13 limits if they're going to help in that 14 demonstration. So you look at it from a 15 case-specific situation. You look at the need, 16 whether the 30-day limit is going to give you 17 enough robustness. 18 I mean Counsel Bonebrake himself 19 said, you know, we have periods of startup or 20 shutdown or malfunction where we have spikes. There 2.1 are emission rates that are going to exceed. 22 have control systems that are not working. 23 are any number of instances, even in sources that 24 have controls where you see the variability and

August 4, 2015

Page 119 1 how do you then properly get handle on 30-day rate if you don't have some idea and this underlying 2 3 variability and the spikes and how frequently that 4 might occur. 5 It's a common sense -- we have 6 to do that evaluation and I think simply saying, 7 well, we did what EPA required us to and we got 8 it past them. Ultimately, it's the Board and 9 the IEPA have to safeguard the public health of 10 the citizens of Illinois and I'm sure EPA approval is important, but it's more defensive to have a 11 good technical underlying basis for the rule. 12 13 That's what I'm saying. 14 MS. BUGEL: And just one last 15 Earlier, you were questioned about question. 16 your inclusion of Indiana sources on a table in 17 your testimony and can you explain why it's your 18 view that there should be a limit on the Indiana 19 sources? 20 MR. SAHU: Well, it's not picking 2.1 on Indiana by any means. We have many situations 22 in this country where emissions from one state 23 or sources from one state affect emissions in 24 another state.

August 4, 2015

Page 120 1 Those type of situations occur 2 all of the time and there are ways in which you 3 handle that. What I thought -- what I was being 4 asked to do is take a very simplistic view of 5 the table that I gave you, which came from the state's own modeling. I didn't do this modeling. 6 7 They did the modeling. It shows other state 8 sources affecting non-attainment area in Illinois. Then I was asked to sort of 9 10 comment on whether they were substantial or significantly culpable. It's their only modeling 11 12 that shows this. If it's not culpable, take it 13 out of the modeling. Let your modeling rest without out-of-state sources. Be clean about it. 14 15 If it is going to be contributing, 16 well, do something about making sure that their 17 contribution is appropriate to what you modeled. 18 It's a consistency argument. If it's in, it's in 19 If it's out, it's out. all the way. 20 MS. BUGEL: And can you explain in 2.1 your experience, do you know the ways one state 22 might try to obtain limits on the source in another 23 state? 24 MR. SAHU: Well, states have mutual

August 4, 2015

Page 121 1 understandings, memoranda of understanding. 2 can -- typically, they're sovereign entities so 3 they have to have some legal instrument that 4 basically says that we in Illinois are going to 5 have to rely on some assurances and how you document that is with some memoranda. That's my 6 7 experience. 8 I mean, that happened in the 9 regional Hayes rulemaking. That has happened 10 in the so-called transport rulemaking. In the northeast, we have -- you cross 50 miles here 11 and you're in a different state. I mean, it's 12 13 very common for transboundary -- I mean, intrastate 14 boundaries -- I mean, intrastate boundary issues, 15 rather, to be dealt in a somewhat formal process. 16 If IEPA -- I mean, judging from 17 what Mr. Bloomberg was saying, it feels like these 18 sources are not significant contributors. explain that in your -- in the technical support 19 20 document and make the case why it doesn't matter if these sources increase their emissions, things 2.1 22 will still be fine and robust for the kind of 23 scenarios that might play out in Lemont. 24 MS. BUGEL: And sorry, I lied when

```
Page 122
1
     I said one last question. I have one more, with
2
     leave of the Hearing Officer.
3
                       What can you tell me, looking
     at the chart, again there is a table in your
4
5
     testimony, I believe it's the biggest contributors
6
     in Lemont, can you tell me what sources are the
7
     biggest contributors in Lemont?
8
                   MR. SAHU: Well, Table B, has
9
     simply the top several sources and contributor
10
     of the highest receptor in Lemont. Again, I have
     to caution. This is based on staff's modeling
11
     and still going to be subject to some comment
12
13
     here in the future, but assuming it's okay for
14
     now, we have the formal CPC, we have Will County
     three, Argonne National Lab, then we have CITGO,
15
16
     and Oxbow and Koppers.
17
                   MS. BUGEL: What's the first biggest
18
     contributor?
19
                   MR. SAHU: The first -- the highest
20
     ones, without a doubt, is Will County Unit 4.
2.1
                   MS. BUGEL: And how does that compare
     to with the second largest contributor?
22
23
                   MR. SAHU: The second largest, 150
24
     plus micrograms per cubic meter for Will County 4
```

```
Page 123
1
     and then drops to four and a half micrograms per
2
     cubic meter. So it is dramatically smaller, the
3
     second highest, at least based on current modeling.
4
                               Thank you. I have no
                   MS. BUGEL:
5
     further questions at this time.
6
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
7
     you.
8
                       Does the Agency have follow-up
9
     questions?
10
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Mr. Sahu, in
11
     Mr. Kolaz's Attachment A, you talked about the
12
     Pekin monitor.
13
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Do you know where
14
15
     the Pekin monitor is located and what major source
16
     most impacts that monitor?
17
                   MR. SAHU: I don't know. I'm new
18
     to the area. So I can't tell you exactly where
19
     I'm sitting where the monitor is.
20
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: So when you said it
2.1
     was unclear to you why it was so high or what was
22
    being done, you were truly saying it's unclear to
23
     you? You have no idea?
24
                   MR. SAHU: I'm looking at the numbers
```

```
Page 124
1
     and I was asking whether there is a trend in the
     numbers and I said that I don't see a trend, which
2
3
     is certainly not something like what we saw in the
     monitor.
4
5
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Okay. So you're
6
     unaware that the main source impacting that monitor
7
     is, in fact, putting on controls? Not putting on
8
     controls, but changing what they're doing.
9
                   MR. SAHU: Would that be Aventine?
     Yeah, I mean, when I looked at the contributions
10
     in the modeling, the Aventine source -- I
11
12
     think the top eight sources were from that one
13
     particular facility. So if that is, in fact,
14
     contributor, then I'm aware of that.
15
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Going back to
     ultra-low sulfur diesel --
16
17
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
18
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: -- if the Agency
19
     presumably knows the information from an engine,
20
     isn't it reasonable to expect that that information
     was used in the calculation of the SO2 allowables?
2.1
22
                   MR. SAHU: Yes, it does. And it's
23
     also reasonably simple to simply to lay out that
24
     spreadsheet of that calculation and show how the
```

```
Page 125
1
     calculations are attained.
2
                                   Did you ask for that
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
3
     spreadsheet at either the first hearing or the
4
     second hearing when all of these Agency witnesses
     were available?
5
                       Did you or anyone else from the
6
7
     Sierra Club ask for that information?
8
                   MR. SAHU: Mr. Bloomberg, I was not
9
     at the first two hearings. I could not have asked
     that question. I don't know if the Sierra Club
10
     asked that, but I do remember asking detailed
11
12
     questions about emission calculations on public
13
     phone calls that we had, as I testified to.
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Did you specifically
14
15
     ask for that information --
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
17
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: -- in those phone
18
     calls?
19
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
                                    I said give me all
20
     your details for your emission calculations.
2.1
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: And did we offer to
22
     give that information and you did not take us up
23
     on that information because a drive was not sent
24
     to us?
```

```
Page 126
1
                   MR. SAHU:
                             Okay. All right.
                                                  I --
     I -- I don't know what to say. I don't think it
2
3
     takes a --
4
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   That's a yes or no
5
     question.
6
                   MR. SAHU: It's -- okay. It's not
7
     a yes or no question, Mr. Bloomberg, because you
8
     don't need a drive. You can email the spreadsheet.
9
     The drive is for -- pardon me. Let me finish my
10
     answer. It is for your modeling files, which are
11
     gigantic.
12
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: And you just said
     you asked for all of the information. So did
13
14
     you specify that you wanted this specific
15
     information; yes or no.
16
                   MR. SAHU: Yes.
17
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: You said, in
18
     answering your question, something about that
19
     SO2 emissions could change if a source changes
20
     to a different engine. You're aware that
2.1
     would require a construction permit, right,
22
     that sources can't just randomly switch to new
23
     engines?
24
                   MR. SAHU: Well, sure.
                                           I never
```

```
Page 127
1
     said they could randomly switch to new engines.
     I said she asked how are the emission rates in
2
3
     mass terms related to SO2 concentration.
4
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: That would have
     an enforceable limit?
5
6
                   MR. SAHU: I don't know how you
7
     enforce limits for every single source here, but
8
     yes, I said the same thing. I said you need
     enforceable limits on the size as well as the
9
10
     fuel content.
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: All right.
11
                                                If the
12
     Agency testified today that the maximum allowable
13
     emissions from diesel burning units was calculated
     from the maximum fuel use possible in the unit and
14
15
     the sulfur content of the fuel, would this be an
16
     acceptable means of calculation?
17
                   MR. SAHU: You have to presumably make
18
     some assumptions on the hours of operations
19
     depending on how you are doing the calculations.
20
     There are emergency engines that are sometimes a
2.1
     couple hundred dollars to 500 hours per year.
22
     there is that.
23
                       So there are -- I mean, it's
24
     not a complicated calculation, but there are
```

```
Page 128
1
              There are assumptions. So if you know
2
     emission factor or you know the fuel content and you
3
     know the size and you know the lower and you know
4
     the hours of operation, sure.
5
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: So if that was
     included in the model, then the model already
6
     reflects the maximum allowable emissions under
7
     ultra low sulfur diesel for units that burn
8
     diesel?
9
10
                   MR. SAHU:
                             If you did all that,
     yes, yes, verified with the confusing spreadsheet
11
12
     which --
13
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                    Thank you.
                                                You
14
     answered it.
15
                       In discussing the Indiana sources,
16
     you suggested we should either -- you said something
17
     along the lines you should either put them all in
     there or take them all out.
18
19
                       Are you aware that we are required
2.0
     to model all of the sources in the area to determine
2.1
     if they are impacting non-attainment in the area?
22
                   MR. SAHU: Yes. Mr. Bloomberg, in
23
     response, could you point me to your technical
24
     support documents where you have called out the
```

```
Page 129
1
     Indiana sources and said they are not significantly
2
     culpable, we were required to model them and we
3
     have, therefore, decided to do anything. Where is
4
     the member public who is reviewing this?
5
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: I'm asking questions
6
     now.
7
                   MR. SAHU: Well, I'm asking for
8
     clarification, Mr. Bloomberg. I mean, think it's --
     I'm entitled to respond that -- in the same line
9
10
     of questioning that you ask.
11
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   I'm asking questions
          Later, you can ask me questions. That's the
12
     now.
13
     way the process works.
                   MR. SAHU: Fair enough. Okay.
14
15
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: I'm sorry.
16
     I'm going to cut in here for a second. Can we go
17
     off the record.
                      Thank you.
18
                       (Whereupon, a discussion
                        was had off the record.)
19
20
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
2.1
     Let's go back on the record. You may continue.
22
                   MR. SAHU: Mr. Bloomberg, can I ask
23
     you a question or is there a question pending?
24
     just wanted to know.
```

```
Page 130
 1
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: One moment.
 2
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
 3
     this state, we're just taking questions for you,
     Mr. Sahu.
 4
 5
                   MR. SAHU: Yeah.
                                      I was just asked
 6
     if there's a question pending.
 7
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
 8
     you.
 9
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: We're done for now.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
10
                                                Thank
11
     you.
12
                       At this stage, we're going to
13
     open it up to the audience. Is there anyone in
     the audience that has any questions specifically
14
15
     for Mr. Sahu? Please just step up to the podium
16
     and use the microphone. Thank you.
17
                       Obviously, state your name and
18
     spell it.
                Thank you.
19
                                 My name is Robin
                   MS. GARLISH:
20
               I live in Central Illinois. I live in
     Garlish.
     Pekin, Illinois. R-O-B-I-N, G-A-R-L-I-S-H.
2.1
22
                       I have to ask Mr. Bloomberg,
23
     because he said --
24
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
```

August 4, 2015

Page 131 1 These are specifically questions for 2 Mr. Sahu at this stage. MS. GARLISH: Okay. Well, I heard a 3 question asked was there anybody in the general 4 5 public that had asked for information on the monitors, where they're at and have they read. 6 I have. I know where they are in Peoria County 8 and I know where they are and I would like to 9 ask this corporate crap -- excuse my language --10 is affecting my family and I don't understand why these questions are so relevant and the 11 12 millions of dollars in corporate accountability 13 and then the million of dollars in our health. I have chronic asthmatic 14 15 children in my family. I'm asking that. Why 16 that is that so important and he's asking him --17 Mr. Bloomberg is asking him if he asked for all of the information. He said he asked for all 18 19 the information. Then he said, why didn't you get all of the information? So I'm asking why 20 2.1 is he asking that question? 22 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 23 Ms. Garlish, I apologize. You will -- there will 24 be an opportunity later to offer comment for any

```
Page 132
 1
     comments on anything that happened in earlier
 2
     hearing.
 3
                       At this stage, we're
 4
     specifically -- we're restricting it specifically
 5
     for questions for Mr. Sahu on his current testimony.
 6
                   MS. GARLISH:
                                  I just wanted to ask
 7
     why there wasn't a little more specification because
 8
     there was a question on a question.
 9
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
10
     you.
11
                       Any other members of the audience
12
     have questions of Mr. Sahu at this stage?
13
                        Seeing none, were there any
14
     follow-up questions for Mr. Sahu in front here?
     Any members of the Board or Board staff have
15
16
     questions?
17
                        Seeing no more questions,
18
     Mr. Sahu, thank you for your time and for your
19
     testimony today.
2.0
                   MR. SAHU:
                               Thank you.
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
22
     you again, Mr. Sahu.
23
                       We also have the pre-filed
24
     testimony of Andrew Gray of Sierra Club and
```

```
Page 133
1
     Environmental Law & Policy Center. So we will
2
     now move on to that. You may continue.
3
     you.
4
                   MS. BUGEL: Dr. Gray, was pre-filed
5
     testimony submitted on behalf of Sierra Club and
     Environmental Law & Policy Center submitted -- you
6
7
     pre-filed testimony submitted in this action?
8
                   DR. GRAY:
                             Yes.
9
                   MS. BUGEL: And do you have changes
10
     in that testimony today?
11
                   DR. GRAY: No.
12
                   MS. BUGEL: And Sierra Club and
     Environmental Law & Policy Center would move for
13
14
     the admission of Dr. Gray's testimony as if read
15
     and again, I apologize for only bringing one copy
16
     today.
17
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                T do
18
     have a copy of the testimony as it was received
19
    by the Board on July 24th.
20
                           Are there any objections
2.1
     to the motion to move this testimony as read as
22
     an exhibit?
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: No objections
24
     subject to cross.
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 08/04/2015 August 4, 2015

Page 134 1 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 2 you. Anybody else? 3 Thank you. Seeing none, I will 4 enter the pre-filed testimony of H. Andrew Gray on behalf of Sierra Club and Environmental Law & 5 Policy Center as Sierra Club Exhibit B. 6 7 (Document marked as Sierra Club Exhibit B for 8 9 identification, 8/4/15.) 10 MS. BUGEL: And we presented Dr. Gray 11 for cross-examination. 12 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 13 there is no additional testimony then at this stage, are there -- does the Agency have questions 14 15 for Dr. Gray? 16 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Yes, we do. Thank 17 you. 18 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 19 you. 20 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Mr. Gray, when 2.1 did you begin your review efforts pertaining to 22 this rule? 23 DR. GRAY: I'm not sure of the date, 24 but approximately two months ago.

August 4, 2015

Page 135 1 MS. VETTERHOFFER: On Pages 1 to 2 2 of your testimony, you claim that the Agency's 3 plan dismissing key elements required as a SIP 4 process including a documented modeling analysis 5 to support the demonstration of attainment, 6 you're aware, though, that this is not an attainment 7 demonstration hearing, correct? 8 DR. GRAY: Yes. 9 MS. VETTERHOFFER: And you do 10 understand that the rule, if adopted, will be a component of the Agency's SIP to the US EPA, but 11 12 there will be other components as well including 13 Agency's attainment demonstration? 14 DR. GRAY: Yes. 15 MS. VETTERHOFFER: And you understand that the attainment demonstration will go through 16 17 a separate Agency public comment period and there 18 will be an option for additional public hearing, 19 right? 2.0 DR. GRAY: So I've heard. 2.1 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Do you understand 22 that US EPA itself will open up another public 23 comment period when it presumably proposes to 24 approve the attainment demonstration?

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 08/04/2015 August 4, 2015

```
Page 136
 1
                   DR. GRAY: I'm not aware of that, but
 2
     okay.
 3
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Have you ever been
     involved in an Illinois Pollution Control Board
 4
 5
     air rulemaking?
 6
                   DR. GRAY:
                              No.
 7
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      Have you ever
 8
     attended an Agency attainment demonstration hearing?
 9
                   DR. GRAY:
                              No.
10
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you aware of
     any prior instance in which the Illinois EPA's final
11
12
     attainment demonstration was adopted prior to the
     Board finalizing emission limitations or controls in
13
14
     a rulemaking?
15
                   DR. GRAY:
                              No.
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: And this is
16
17
     just a clarification question. On Pages 2 to 3
18
     testimony, you cite some US EPA guidance. Could
19
     you clarify that the guidance provision that you
20
     cite that begins "the attainment plan" regards
2.1
     what must be contained in an attainment
22
     demonstration that will be submitted to the US
23
     EPA?
24
                   DR. GRAY: I'm not sure of your
```

```
Page 137
1
     question.
2
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: On my version,
3
     it's the very top of Page 2.
4
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
5
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: You cite and quote
6
     two specific US EPA guidance provisions.
7
                   DR. GRAY:
                             Yes.
8
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: In that first
     provision where it says, "the attainment plan for
9
     the affected area should," et cetera.
10
11
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
12
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: You understand
13
     that that regards what the Agency must include
14
     in an attainment demonstration to the US EPA,
15
     correct?
16
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
17
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Throughout your
18
     testimony, you site information that the Agency
19
     has not made available to the Sierra Club.
20
                       Are you familiar with the
2.1
     information the Agency has provided both as part
22
     of the outreach in this rulemaking and in response
23
     to specific requests from the Sierra Club?
24
                   DR. GRAY: I'm not aware of all of
```

August 4, 2015

Page 138 1 the information in the outreach, but the Sierra 2 Club I am, yes. 3 MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you aware 4 that the information that the Agency has already 5 provided to the Sierra Club or that we offered 6 to provide to the Sierra Club included all of 7 the information you list on Page 2 of your 8 testimony? 9 DR. GRAY: Yes. You offered to 10 supply that to us two weeks ago. You gave us the draft modeling attainment demonstration 11 draft on that date or a couple days of that, 12 13 in fact. 14 And in that, there was a list 15 of a dozen or more appendices, which included 16 very important information, which you then asked 17 us to then request the appendices. A week later, 18 you told us give we needed to give you a drive to 19 support all of that. There was not enough time 20 between then and now to sufficiently review 2.1 all of that information. So we didn't push it 22 in terms of getting it before today. We still 23 would like to look at that information. 24 So it's just a matter of time.

August 4, 2015

Page 139 1 We haven't had the time to review the modeling 2 as well as all of the appendices to that modeling. It also appears that we have a difference of 3 4 opinion what's supporting documentation. Well, 5 you may not need to prepare your attainment demonstration separate from your rulemaking, 6 7 it clearly is supporting the rulemaking. You ran the model in order 8 9 to determine your reduction targets. You used 10 the modeling as support for your reduction target to show that this would reach attainment. 11 If the modeling should turn out to be all wrong, 12 13 and I'm not suggesting it is, but if it was all wrong, are you going to go back and redo the 14 15 rulemaking or are you putting the rulemaking in 16 front of the modeling? 17 But, in fact, you're using the 18 modeling as support. So while you may not need 19 to have it go through all of the review that is necessary beforehand by the rules, it's certainly 20 by the technical -- now, I'm just a scientist. 2.1 22 I'm trying to understand what 23 information you used to support your rulemaking 24 and you're telling me you used this modeling to

```
Page 140
1
     support your rulemaking, but you won't let us
2
     review the modeling. So it's a little bit backwards
3
     to us of what you consider the technical support
4
     documentation in order to support this rulemaking.
5
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Now, Dr. Gray,
6
     I would like to go back to the timing of when
7
     you claim we indicated we would get you that
8
     information and when you actually received an
9
     email indicating what we needed from you first.
10
                       Isn't it true that on July 15th,
11
     members of the Agency had a telephone conversation
     with the Sierra Club? I believe you might have
12
13
     been on that call, but I'm pretty sure Mr. Sahu
14
           Is that your understanding on July 15th?
     was.
15
                   DR. GRAY: I don't remember the
16
     exact date, I believe you. I was -- I was on
17
     one or two calls with the Agency in the last two
18
     weeks.
19
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                     Are you aware it
20
     was the very next day that the Agency provided
     you the draft modeling TSD that you just referenced.
2.1
22
                   DR. GRAY:
                             Yes.
23
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: And are you aware
24
     that it was the very next day, July 17th, the Agency
```

```
Page 141
1
     indicated to the Sierra Club that we would be happy
2
     to provide any additional the information to you if
3
     you simply overnighted a jump drive or portable hard
4
     drive to the Agency and we would be happy to then
5
     overnight that back to you?
6
                   DR. GRAY: Yes. I'm well-aware of
7
     that.
8
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: What is the week
9
     delay that you referenced just a moment ago then?
                   DR. GRAY: Well, the -- I'm not sure
10
11
     it was a week delay. There was a delay -- we got
     the -- we got the draft modeling document. I had
12
13
     to spend a day or two reading that. I thought it
14
     was over that weekend. After I read through it, I
     went -- noticed right off the bat that there was
15
16
     along list of appendices, which were not delivered.
17
                       You gave us the document, but
18
     it had thousands of pages and files that were
19
     appendices to that document that we also needed
20
     and I requested. Then you told us, well, we needed
2.1
     to have a -- give you a drive in order to get that
22
     information.
23
                       At that point I think we --
24
     while we still want to get that, and we still do,
```

```
Page 142
1
     I think we realized we would not have enough time
2
     to go through all of that before this -- before
3
     today.
4
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Would you have had
5
     more time than you have today -- strike that.
6
                       If you had, in fact, sent a
7
     jump drive to the Illinois EPA overnight and
8
     we had indeed, as we promised, sent you back
9
     something overnight, isn't it true that you would
     have had over two weeks to review that information
10
     prior to today's hearing?
11
12
                   DR. GRAY: Assuming we got that
13
     jump drive that day, we might have had approximately
14
     a week or a week and a half. I don't think it's
15
     quite two weeks. I have other things that I'm
16
     working on as well. So in terms of how much time
17
     I would have had, yes, I would have had some time
18
     to quickly look at it.
19
                       I'm talking about reviewing
20
     large amounts of data, big spreadsheets, files,
2.1
     modern files. You asking me to do a complete
22
     review on that in a week. It takes longer than
23
     that, frankly.
24
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Dr. Gray, I didn't
```

```
Page 143
1
     ask you to review anything.
2
                       I'm simply asking if you had
     obtained the information, you would have had time to
3
4
     review it prior to this hearing.
5
                   DR. GRAY: I would have had some
6
     time, but not necessarily a sufficient amount
7
     of time.
8
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                       Thank you.
9
                       Are you aware, Mr. Gray, that
     Mr. Jeff Sprague, the manager of the modeling
10
     unit at the agency, is available today and has
11
     been available at both prior hearings that have
12
13
     taken place in this matter to answer any questions
14
     about the Agency's modeling and any questions
15
     about the documentation provided by the Agency?
                   DR. GRAY: Well, now that you've
16
17
     told me that, I am aware. I was not before now.
18
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you aware
     that the Sierra Club has failed to ask him even
19
20
     one question requesting more information about
2.1
     his modeling despite him being available for that
22
     very reason?
23
                   DR. GRAY: Well, I don't know
24
     exactly how the questions got asked, but I certainly
```

```
Page 144
 1
     requested through Sierra Club that these documents
 2
     be requested and as far as I know, they went through
 3
     Mr. Bloomberg and maybe not directly to Mr. Sprague,
 4
     but we certainly did make requests for these
 5
     documents.
 6
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      Do you know if
 7
     requests have happened at either of the prior two
 8
     hearings in this rulemaking?
                   DR. GRAY: No. I don't know.
 9
10
     not attending those hearings.
11
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                       Okay. Mr. Gray,
12
     on Page 3 of your testimony, you discussed that
13
     the modeled concentration from Will County 4 at
     the key receptor is the 150 micrograms per cubic
14
15
     meter.
             I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.
16
                       On Page 3 of your testimony,
17
     you discussed that the modeled concentration
18
     from Will County 4 at the peak receptor of 150
19
     micrograms per cubic meter combined with the
20
     other sources has a cumulative total concentration
2.1
     at that peak receptor of 191.5 micrograms per
22
     cubic meter one-hour standard -- one-hour NAAQS
23
     standard is 196.32 micrograms per cubic meter,
24
     correct?
```

```
Page 145
1
                   DR. GRAY:
                              Yes.
2
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: So that receptor
3
     model is being in attainment, correct?
4
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
5
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                       Since the receptor
6
     is in attainment, Will County 4's contribution to
7
     that peak receptor is clearly less than the NAAQS,
8
     correct?
9
                   DR. GRAY:
                              150 is less than 190, yes.
10
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                       Thank you.
11
                       Under the Agency's proposal, Will
12
     County 4 is subject to a new hourly SO2 emission
     rate in Section 214.603 whether or not it installs
13
14
     flue gas desulfurization equipment; is that correct?
15
                   DR. GRAY:
                             Yes.
16
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: So if the peak
17
     receptor will meet the NAAQS with Will County 4's
18
     proposed emission limit, further controls such as
19
     flue gas desulfurization equipment unnecessary to
     attain the standard, correct?
20
2.1
                   DR. GRAY: If the objective is just
22
     to model and run the model exercise and that has
23
     been done, that's correct.
24
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Also, on Page 3
```

August 4, 2015

Page 146 1 of your pre-filed testimony, it's right under the quote, you indicate, "These units combined account 2 3 for only 4.6 micrograms per cubic meter at the peak 4 modeled receptor." In saying, "these units," you're 5 referencing Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and Will County 3; 6 is that right? 7 DR. GRAY: Yes. 8 MS. VETTERHOFFER: A couple of sentences later, you say, "If emission reductions 9 10 occur at these other units instead, the modeling will not demonstrate attainment, i.e., emissions 11 are not interchangeable in terms of their 12 13 concentration impacts at peak model receptors." 14 I'm just not sure what you mean 15 by that. Are you saying that Illinois EPA has not 16 already demonstrated attainment at this peak model 17 receptor? 18 DR. GRAY: Okay. There's a bit of confusion that I had. When I first saw this 19 20 passage -- the point when I first saw this passage, 2.1 all I had was five iterative Lemont strategies, 22 which were emission reduction strategies, emission 23 totals that were running, and the attainment and 24 modeling results for each of those strategies.

August 4, 2015

Page 147 1 In those strategies, there 2 was a reduction, a 28 percent reduction for Will. 3 Now, this is a paper reduction reducing a NAAQS emissions from an earlier allowable to the current 4 5 allowable -- proposed allowable -- and that was 6 needed in order to get attainment. 7 Nowhere did I have any information 8 about what controls were actually necessary. 9 kept asking for this and got nothing. This is the kind of information I'm looking for in a technical 10 support document, which is not just we need to 11 reduce emissions from 100 to 80, but what controls 12 13 are actually going to work? 14 You guys talked about the fact 15 that you sat down with industry to hammer out 16 these -- to, you know, negotiate these permit 17 limits, but did you not ask them whether this was 18 achievable or just were they happy with the number? 19 Did it require a control or did it require any 20 change in operations? 2.1 This is the kind of information 22 that we are requesting. At that point when I first 23 read this passage, I had no idea what controls were 24 needed. In fact, despite the fact that we kept

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 08/04/2015 August 4, 2015

2.1

Page 148

asking for it, the first time I saw anything about actual required controls was in response to a Board question a few weeks ago where the question was asked to the Agency and the Agency finally gave us a table, which I saw, which said each source that was listed in terms of the permit limits and what controls, if any, were necessary. Many of them -- most of them said no additional controls were necessary including Will County.

At that point, I realized okay, Will County, you guys are saying that you get this 28 percent paper reduction of their allowables without making any changes in their operating conditions including any control measures. Until that point, I had no idea that that was the case. that was something that I was requesting. This is the same thing that Ron was talking about as far as getting the transparent information about what assumptions you're making about the controls in the future -- I mean, about the emissions in the future before controls are put on, after controls are put on and whether or not controls are needed in order to reach some emission limit.

So at the time point where I

August 4, 2015

Page 149

read this, all I saw was your five iterations in which one of them showed a 28 percent reduction in Will County No. 4, I assumed that that implied some controls were necessary. So that's what I was referring to. So there was some confusion there.

2.1

The second part of my answer, though, which is probably even more important than attainment demonstration because yes, you have shown that through the attainment modeling and demonstration modeling that Will County at this paper reduction of 28 percent will get you the standard, but, in fact, they may not even be emitting that much, but there's a bigger question involved here, which is what's stated in this passage.

What's stated in passage very clearly is that Midwest Generation was requesting an exemption of some control requirement. Now, that control requirement, I don't know whether they had a legal control requirement or not, but apparently there was some exemption of a requirement to install FGD before December 31, 2018, and it says right there that the Agency says in light of

August 4, 2015

Page 150

the emission reductions that will result from conversion of the other sources that they were granting this exemption, your own modeling shows that emissions are not equal.

2.1

impact the design value location in the same way that the Will County 4. Will County 4 contributes 150 to the highest model receptor and these other sources, which you now told me you're controlling instead contribute 4.6. If you removed all of the 4.6 out, we could get that big of reduction at your peak receptor whereas if we actually controlled Will County 4, we'll make a difference there.

Now, whether or not officially you need to model something to demonstrate attainment is different than the real world. These models are just tools. They don't tell you exactly what's going on. In fact, one question that I'd really like to ask is you talked about earlier -- you talked about Pekin having a measured concentrations of over 200, but yet you never demonstrated to me satisfactorily what sources are contributing that 200. You're not

August 4, 2015

Page 151 1 modeling that. 2 You're modeling allowable 3 emissions. So you need to do this as well as a scientific statement in order to understand who --4 5 what sources are causing the current problem 6 and then try to back yourself out of that. 7 you make no changes to the emissions in Pekin, the concentrations will continue to be over 200 8 despite the fact that your model might not say 9 10 that. So the model is a tool and it 11 is used in certain ways and is required to be 12 13 used by the government -- by the EPA in these 14 ways to demonstrate attainment, but if you really 15 want to ask will we reach attainment next year or 16 the year after, if your meteorology next year is 17 really, really bad, there is a probability -- a 18 likelihood that you will have non-attainment 19 reading or a violation of your standard despite the fact you meet all of these permit limits. 20 2.1 So it's all a matter of a 22 probability or likelihood. The whole idea of 23 this process is to lower that likelihood down 24 to a level that's smaller, acceptable and it's

August 4, 2015

Page 152 1 That's how we do this. That's why we 2 model at maximum emissions for every hour even 3 though most sources don't operate there allowable 4 every hour, but we still enforce the modeling 5 to show that if they were modeling that it would 6 reach attainment. 7 MS. VETTERHOFFER: I'm still on the 8 same portion of your testimony. Are you aware that 9 the modeling that you referred to -- and I'm sorry 10 if you already answered this and I didn't pick it out -- but are you aware that the modeling to which 11 you are referring already accounts for the 12 conversions of Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and Will County 3 13 14 such that they are no longer modeled as combusting 15 hole? 16 DR. GRAY: Yes. 17 MR. BLOOMBERG: A moment ago, you 18 said you could swap out all the contribution from 19 Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and Will County 3 and it would 20 essentially have no impact. 2.1 So the question we're trying --22 that Dana was just asking is, are you aware that 23 when you're talking about that small contribution, 24 it is that small because it is already modeled as

```
Page 153
1
     firing natural gas or diesel oil, not coal so the
2
     reduction --
3
                   DR. GRAY: Yes. However, in the
4
     first model run you did, the very scenario one
5
     where anything is allowable before they were
     controlled, it still has a much, much, much
6
     smaller contribution. I don't remember the
7
8
     number offhand. It's not four. It's larger.
     But it's not 150. I don't know the number
9
     offhand, but it's still amount even before it
10
     was put under control.
11
12
                       The whole point is that
     Joliet not Will County 3, Will County 3 is
13
14
     fairly small, but Joliet is located further
15
     south and it only impacts largely the southern
16
     portion of non-attainment area. Not the location
17
     that Will County is -- well, not the design
     location where Will County is high.
18
19
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: But it does impact
20
     receptors in the non-attainment area.
2.1
                   DR. GRAY: It does impact, yes.
22
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Just not this
23
     particular receptor?
24
                   DR. GRAY: It impacts other receptors
```

```
Page 154
1
     and to a larger extent, other receptors, yes, that's
2
     correct.
3
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: For purposes of
4
     getting the Lemont area into attainment of the SO2
5
     NAAOS, does it matter what controls are put on or
     does it matter what limit the source must achieve
6
7
     using whatever controls it deems necessary to
     achieve that limit?
8
9
                   DR. GRAY: Well, to those of us who
10
     are breathing the air quality, it only matters how
     much they emit. It doesn't matter to me how they
11
12
     get there obviously. So you've answered your
13
     question in a sense.
14
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Are you aware that
15
     Aventine Renewable Energy is switching from coal to
16
     natural guess?
                   DR. GRAY: Offhand, I don't recall
17
18
     what their control plans were, no. So I don't
19
     remember.
20
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Aventine's switch
2.1
     from coal to natural gas will result in actual
22
     emission reductions that will impact the Pekin
23
    monitor, correct?
24
                   DR. GRAY: If Aventine is reducing
```

```
Page 155
1
     their emissions, it will affect the Pekin monitor,
2
     that's correct.
3
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: Moving on, on
     Page 4 of your testimony, you claim, "It is unclear
4
5
     that the proposed plan is the most sensible
6
                In other words there may be a better
7
     strategy of emission reductions for which the
     collection sources can demonstrate attainment
8
9
     with the NAAOS."
10
                       You are aware, though, that
     the Illinois EPA conducted extensive outreach
11
12
     with impacted sources to determine the most
     sensible approach taking into account the need
13
     to bring the areas into attainment, which sources
14
15
     are most culpable and which sources can most
     easily accomplish allowable emission reductions,
16
17
     correct?
18
                   DR. GRAY: Yes. However, when you
19
     said that you did that, that's wonderful that you
20
     did that. I assume -- I hope you did that, that
2.1
     sat down with the interested parties and hashed
22
     this out.
23
                       As a scientist trying to look
24
     over your shoulder and review this process, all
```

August 4, 2015

Page 156

I was asking for was the transparency. I wanted to see what information, what controls were put on the books, why did you choose Control A instead of Control B when Control B maybe was a better choice?

2.1

You know, the industry might have chose Control B because that is what favored them whereas those of us in the breathing might have preferred Control A. So it's not clear to me that every step that you took was the "best way" alternative or that there wasn't maybe an alternative that could be considered.

I'm not saying that you did wrong. In fact, the more I look at it, the more it seems reasonable, but it required me to dive into those spreadsheets and try to figure out on my own every step that was done and why it was done in terms of the culpability analysis and the emissions from each source that was contributing to a high design value.

I had to make the guesses about looking over your shoulder about what you did rather than being able to actually look over your shoulder and having you say you say this is why we

August 4, 2015

Page 157 1 chose this source to be controlled and not that 2 source. This is why we chose three 1,300 sources to reduce 99 percent when it wasn't really needed or 3 4 maybe it was. You didn't demonstrate why you did 5 what you did. 6 That's all we were asking for 7 was some road map so that we had a better sense 8 of controls you choose and why although you 9 didn't -- I shouldn't say controls. I should 10 say emission reductions. You don't actually specify controls, just reductions. 11 12 MS. VETTERHOFFER: On Page 4, you also claim that using a different set of sources 13 14 and/or controls may also demonstrate attainment at a lower cost. I just want to clarify do you 15 16 claim to be speaking for any impacted company --17 DR. GRAY: No. I'm just speaking --18 as an example, I have done many control -- sit tight 19 planning control strategy analyses, control strategy 20 optimization, and you can optimize a control 2.1 strategy based on any resource you want including at 22 dollars, which is usually the first thing. 23 You want to put on the cheapest 24 controls first to get you the biggest bang for

```
Page 158
1
     the buck and then work your way down putting on
2
     the less effective controls as you go along until
3
     you get down to the air quality goal that you have.
4
                       You would be foolish to put on
5
     the most expensive controls first in lieu -- in
6
     light -- in lieu of your inexpensive ones. That's
7
     all I was referring to. This is just one way of
8
     going about the process.
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: So if it would be
9
10
     foolish, in your own words, to put on the most
     expensive controls first, looking at that peak
11
12
     receptor that you talked about, if it already
     reaches attainment, then by your own logic, I
13
14
     would be foolish to require controls --
15
     multi-million-dollar controls on any source that
16
     doesn't need it to bring the area into attainment,
17
     correct?
18
                   DR. GRAY: Once you've reached
19
     attainment, you don't need to necessarily put on
20
     additional controls, I agree.
2.1
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                       Thank you,
22
                That's all the Agency has right now.
     Mr. Gray.
23
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
24
     you.
```

```
Page 159
1
                       Mr. Bonebrake, do you have any
2
     questions?
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: I have just a couple
4
     of questions.
5
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
6
     go ahead.
                Thank you.
7
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Good afternoon,
8
    Mr. Gray.
9
                   DR. GRAY: Good afternoon.
10
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: I have just a couple
11
     of questions. First along the same lines I asked
    Mr. Sahu, are you being paid to testify today?
12
13
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
14
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Are you being paid
15
    by the hour?
16
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
17
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: What is your hourly
18
     rate?
19
                   DR. GRAY: $150.
20
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: I'm sorry,
2.1
    Mr. Bonebrake: If you don't mind, please speak in
22
     the microphone.
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Thank you. I'll try
24
     again.
```

```
Page 160
1
                       I think there was a question that
2
     was asked, but I was curious. When is it that you
3
     started working for the Sierra Club in this matter?
4
                   DR. GRAY: About -- roughly about
5
     two months ago, plus or minus a few weeks.
     not sure of an exact date.
6
7
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And do you know
8
     when the IEPA filed proposed rule with the Board?
9
                   DR. GRAY: I don't know exact date
     offhand.
10
11
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Does late April or
12
     early May sound right to you?
13
                   DR. GRAY: I think so, yes.
14
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                    Is there any reason
15
     that you couldn't have asked IEPA for the
16
     information that you were looking for back in
17
     May?
18
                   DR. GRAY: No.
                                   There is no specific
19
     reason other than the fact that I was dumped --
2.0
     I'm not sure if the information was dumped into
     my lap and it was said, here, take a look through
2.1
22
     this. As I started to pour through it, it became
23
     clear that there was other information that I
24
     needed to support this.
```

```
Page 161
1
                       So the requests were made at that
2
     point.
            How long it took me to get those requests to
3
     IEPA, maybe there was some delay. Certainly,
4
     at some point, I realized I needed more than
5
     I had.
6
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   And in question,
7
     I think it's on Page 3 of your testimony, but
8
     you didn't number it, so I -- hopefully, we have
9
     the same pagination, but it is related to some
     questions that Ms. Vetterhoffer asked you
10
     pertaining to the 4.6 micrograms per cubic meter,
11
     and I think you mentioned that you had seen a
12
     couple of different modeling exercises.
13
14
                       One of those exercises, as I
15
     understood it was, prior to application of
16
     cessation of coal combustion requirements Will
17
     County 3 and Joliet 6, 7 and 8; is that correct?
18
                   DR. GRAY:
                              I believe so, yes.
19
                                   In that modeling
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
20
     exercise, prior to application of the requirement
2.1
     regarding coal combustion cessation of those four
22
     units, was the impact from those four units at
23
     the peak receptor higher than 4.6?
24
                   DR. GRAY: Well, it have to be
```

```
Page 162
1
     because the emissions were higher. Therefore,
2
     the contributions would be higher, yes.
3
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And do you recall
     whether the contributions in that modeling exercise
4
5
     were sufficient to put the number over, I think,
     it was 195 or 196, which is the NAAQS?
6
7
                   DR. GRAY: Well, that modeling
8
     didn't have just that source, you know, at the
9
     higher level with every other source controlled.
     It started out with all the -- it was the allowable.
10
     The total amount was thousands, not hundreds,
11
     the total particulate concentration. So it's
12
13
     hard to say. Yet that model wasn't in
14
     non-attainment all the way because there were
15
     many, many sources that were very high, not just
     the Joliet source.
16
17
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Would you say based
18
     upon what you reviewed, that's that without the
19
     benefit of the SO2 reductions from the required
     cessation of coal combustion at the Joliet units
20
2.1
     of Will County 3 that the peak receptor would model
22
     above containment?
23
                   DR. GRAY: I don't know. I've
24
     never seen a model run with that specific scenario.
```

```
Page 163
1
     So I don't know. I do know that the Joliet --
2
     particularly the Joliet source, the highest
3
     concentrations -- the peak concentrations were
     in the southwest corner of the non-attainment
4
5
            Joliet is actually outside of the
     non-attainment area itself.
6
7
                       So it was highly impacting --
8
     it was significantly impacting areas in the
9
     southwest corner. Not causing violations, but
10
     causing high concentrations.
                       At the locations northeast of
11
12
     there near where the violations -- near where
13
     the design value concentrations would being
14
     predicted, the contribution from Joliet were very
     small relative to other sources.
15
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Will County 3,
17
     however, same plant location as Will County 4; is
18
     that correct?
19
                   DR. GRAY: Yes, but it's emissions are
20
    much, much smaller.
2.1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: It would take a
22
     very small level of emissions to contribute the
23
     five micrograms per cubic meter, correct?
24
                   DR. GRAY: Well, obviously even
```

August 4, 2015

Page 164 1 with Joliet and that, it only contributed 4.6. because the emission level is much, much lower than 2 3 Will County No. 4, even a source located --4 co-located almost, once source contributes 150 and the other one contributes less than the 4.6. 5 It was some fraction of that. 6 7 MR. BONEBRAKE: But the 4.6 was 8 representative of assumption that the proposed 9 rules of in effect as the units of not combusting 10 coal, correct? DR. GRAY: Yes. 11 12 MR. BONEBRAKE: And if the units were combusting coal, if that were the working 13 14 assumption, then the unit would be higher than 4.6 including -- because Will County 3 is at the 15 16 same plant as Will County 4, right? 17 DR. GRAY: Well, there's a little 18 bit different situation between Will County 3 and Joliet. Most of the emissions in that list 19 of Unit 3, Will County 6, 7, 8, Joliet, most of 20 2.1 those emissions are in Joliet. Will County 3 is 22 almost a little asterisk on that. Yes, it's had 23 a small amount of emissions which contributed a 24 few micrograms. So I'm not sure why you're asking

```
Page 165
1
     about that. If you increase that, it would increase
2
     concentrations there, but the level that Will County
3
     3 was at, even uncontrolled, it was as much lower
     than Unit 4.
4
5
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   When you say much
6
     lower, how much lower?
7
                   DR. GRAY:
                              Well, I don't know the
     emission numbers. I don't have them in front of
8
          I hear it's a much smaller unit than Unit
9
     No. 4.
10
11
                  MR. BONEBRAKE: Well, you mentioned
12
     that Will County 4 was modeled at 150. What
     percentage approximately of that was Will County 3?
13
14
                   DR. GRAY: I don't know the number
15
     offhand.
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is that in the
17
     records?
18
                   DR. GRAY: I could look it up in the
19
     information.
                   It's in the records, yes.
20
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
                                   Thank you. No further
2.1
     questions.
22
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
23
     you.
                       Ms. Allgire, do you have anything?
24
```

```
Page 166
1
                   MS. ALLGIRE:
                                  I do not.
                                             Thank you.
2
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
3
          Ms. Bugel, do you have follow-up?
4
                   MS. BUGEL: I do. I do have a couple
5
     of follow-up questions. Thank you.
6
                       Dr. Gray, you were questioned
7
     at length about the timing of when you received
8
     certain items and I just want to confirm a couple
     of dates.
9
                       You received the draft TSD for
10
11
     the attainment demonstration on July 16th; is
12
     that correct?
13
                   DR. GRAY: I believe that's correct,
14
     yes.
15
                   MS. BUGEL: And the option of
16
     overnighting a thumb drive to IEPA was not given
17
     to the Sierra Club until July 17th; is that correct?
18
                   DR. GRAY: If that's a Friday, I
19
    believe that's correct.
20
                   MS. BUGEL: That's the Friday.
2.1
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
22
                   MS. BUGEL: And it would have taken
23
     at least two days, perhaps two business days, to
24
     overnight a thumb drive there and then have it
```

```
Page 167
1
     overnighted back; is that correct?
2
                   DR. GRAY:
                              Typically, yes.
3
                   MS. BUGEL: And so that thumb
4
     drive would not have been available until July
5
     20th or 21st, is that correct, or available to
6
     you?
7
                   DR. GRAY:
                             Maybe even later
8
     considering there's a weekend in there, but if
9
     we got that drive back early that week on the
10
     22nd or so.
                   MS. BUGEL: And the deadline for
11
     your testimony was July 24th with the Board's
12
     extension; is that correct?
13
14
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
15
                   MS. BUGEL: So from the time that
16
     you had the TSD, you only had eight days -- no
17
     even eight business, but eight calendar days
18
     until your testimony was due; is that correct?
19
                   DR. GRAY: Yes.
20
                   MS. BUGEL: And if you had received
2.1
     the thumb drive on the 20th or the 21st, you would
22
     have had three to four days at most to review all
23
     of the appendices and write testimony; is that
24
     correct?
```

```
Page 168
1
                   DR. GRAY:
                              Yes.
2
                   MS. BUGEL: And in your experience,
3
     is that adequate time to review a TSD and the
4
     supporting appendices and draft testimony on
5
     it?
6
                   DR. GRAY:
                              Definitely not.
7
                   MS. BUGEL:
                               And earlier, you
8
     indicated in your testimony a suggestion regarding
9
     modeling actuals instead of modeling allowables.
10
                       Can you explain what you meant
     by that?
11
12
                   DR. GRAY: Well, if we were in an
     air basin and we measure -- monitor a violation
13
14
     of a NAAQS standard, there are a few -- there is
15
     a legal obligation to model -- to demonstrate
     attainment modeling all the sources at their maximum
16
17
     allowable emissions because even though most sources
18
     do not emit at their maximum every hour, so it's
19
     not a realistic situation, they could. They're
20
     allowed to. So the law says you have to assume
2.1
     that they might for any hour of the year. In fact,
22
     for every hour of the year, you put that up against
23
     the meteorology and run it.
24
                       Now, that's one question and
```

August 4, 2015

Page 169 1 that's a legal obligation, but there's another question from a scientific standpoint, which is 2 3 if measure a 225 microgram per cubic meter, 25 4 ppm -- excuse me -- at ppb at Pekin and I want 5 to know who is causing that -- what sources are 6 causing that, it might behoove me to run the 7 model with the actual emissions in order to 8 determine which sources are contributing the high 9 concentrations on those actual days. That's how we have a particular 10 monitor and a particular day that they measured, 11 12 but it's a useful exercise to determine what 13 sources are culpable. It's the same kind of 14 culpability analysis you do on the other model. 15 So this is a scientific 16 standpoint that would at least allow me to 17 compare my plan with my allowable emissions and 18 my allowable modeling to a realistic case to 19 determine, do I expect there to be a violation 20 next year or am I only controlling things halfway 2.1 and I won't get all the way there? 22 If we have 225 micrograms per 23 cubic meter in Pekin, one of the controlled strategy 24 would be reduce emissions from every source in the

August 4, 2015

Page 170 1 entire air basin from 225 to 75. What is that? 2 Roughly, about one-third. If every source emitted 3 one-third of what it used to emit, we could be 4 pretty sure we'll be down to the standard, but 5 that's not what we're going to do. You're going to control some sources and not control other 6 7 sources and then, so you need to run the model 8 and in both cases, you need to find out whether 9 or not every source -- which sources contribute 10 more than other sources. It's the same kind of question 11 12 we're asking in Will County versus Joliet. 13 Emissions are not equal. A pound of emissions 14 sitting on the edge of your air basin is very 15 different than a pound of emissions being emitted 16 a mile away from your peak receptors or your peak 17 monitors. So it makes a difference. 18 So what I'm suggesting is that 19 from a scientific standpoint, it would behoove 20 the Agency what is causing the problem? What is causing that 225 or these 200 readings over the 2.1 22 years in Pekin? Is your control plan going to 23 address that? When we look at controls in --24

August 4, 2015

Page 171 1 again, when we look at controls in a tradeoff 2 situation, when I saw that passage and said, well, they want to trade -- essentially, trade emission 3 4 controls at Joliet for those being deferred at 5 Will County No. 4, the question is that pound of emissions equal? And it's not. It's not in terms 6 7 of the ability of the area to reach attainment. So these are different kinds 8 of questions than just demonstrating, yes, we 9 10 can demonstrate attainment. We want to know are we doing the best job for the entire area? Are 11 we going to lower the concentrations in the real 12 13 world down to attainment? MS. BUGEL: And are you aware of 14 15 the -- there has been testimony about the ultra-low 16 sulfur diesel requirement in this rulemaking. Have 17 you heard that testimony? 18 DR. GRAY: Yes. 19 MS. BUGEL: And have you heard the 20 testimony that that is just a paper reduction? 2.1 DR. GRAY: Yes. 22 MS. BUGEL: And in cases where there's 23 a paper reduction, do you have a recommendation as 24 to modeling actuals?

	Page 172
1	DR. GRAY: Well, again, we want to
2	model the actuals, try to determine what is you
3	know, I mean, it used to be in the old days
4	before we could trust our models, we always ran
5	the models with the actuals first to try to
6	verify if the model actually reproduced reality,
7	or came close, and we could use the tools.
8	So again, it's a useful tool
9	here to model the actuals to determine whether
10	or not attainment would what kind of values
11	would we get or should we expect to get given
12	the certain types of etiology, given the actual
13	emission in addition to the maximum allowables.
14	MS. BUGEL: I have no further
15	questions.
16	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
17	you.
18	I think the Agency has a question.
19	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
20	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
21	you.
22	MR. BLOOMBERG: In your discussion
23	about comparing the real word to the modeling,
24	are you aware that when Aventine made its initial

```
Page 173
1
     switch from coal-fired boilers to gas-fired boilers,
2
     the Pekin monitor dropped noticeably?
                   DR. GRAY: I'm not aware of that
3
4
     at all.
5
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Okay. Are you
6
     aware that when they had a fire at one of those
7
     gas boilers and had to go back to coal for a
8
     little while, the monitor picked back up again?
9
                   DR. GRAY: So obviously, Aventine
10
     was contributing to that location. That seems
     like a nice ability to determine that. How much
11
12
     and, you know, the numbers and everything and
     whether there were other sources is also important,
13
14
     so you found a fingerprint for a source. That's
15
     great.
16
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                    Okay.
17
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Anyone
18
     else in the audience have any questions for
19
     Dr. Gray?
20
                       Seeing no one, were there any
     other follow-up questions from anyone?
2.1
22
                       I want to thank you, Dr. Gray,
23
     for your time today.
24
                   DR. GRAY: You're welcome.
```

```
Page 174
1
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
2
     appreciate it. The Board appreciates it.
3
                   DR. GRAY: You're welcome.
4
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Just a
5
     moment.
              Sorry.
6
                       (Brief pause.)
7
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
8
     Thank you, I apologize for that. It's almost
9
     2:00 o'clock now. We're going to take a -- just
10
     another break, a little bit longer, 30 minutes
     this time, just for anyone who needs to go and
11
12
     get anything to eat. Any comments on that?
                   MS. FISHER: I think some folks with
13
14
     public comments may have to leave before 2:30.
15
     it possible to take them quickly?
16
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Could you
17
     just state your name? I'm sorry.
18
                   MS. FISHER: I'm sorry. Allison
19
     Fisher.
20
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Just off
2.1
     the record quickly.
22
                       (Whereupon, a discussion
23
                        was had off the record.)
24
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: We are
```

August 4, 2015

Page 175

just back on the record. We have a couple of public comments before we take a lunch break. So I just ask if you could state your name and spell it and if you could present anyone before you give your comment and please just use the podium.

2.1

MR. INMAN: Hello. My name is
Michael Inman, M-I-C-H-A-E-L, I-N-M-A-N, and I am
testifying as a resident of Tazewell County on
behalf of the Central Illinois Healthy Community
Alliance.

I'm a student at Pekin Community
High School and an active member of the community
who volunteers a lot of my time towards community
service. While living the last 17 years of my life
in the Pekin area, I have noticed the pollution in
the air caused by ED Edwards and NRG's power and
coal plant has had a negative effects on the people
living in the area.

And I don't know if any of are guys actually from Pekin and looking out in the audience, I only see about four of us that are children or younger than probably the age of 20. So I know that our generation needs this more than anything because, I mean, it's making it harder

```
Page 176
1
     for us to breathe here, harder for us to live our
2
     every single day lives. So you shouldn't really,
3
     like, put money up against somebody's life.
4
     That's -- those are not equal thing s.
5
                       I have family and friends being
6
     some of the people that the pollution affects,
7
     bestowing the greatness that is asthma upon them.
8
     I have friends who recently found out that they
9
     are asthmatic due to all of the pollution in the
10
     air seeping into their lungs. They will be living
     with that struggle that brings them for the rest
11
12
     of their lives.
13
                       As we seek to find better
14
     protection from coal-fired power plants right
15
     here in Peoria, some of you may know that the
16
     historic Clean Power Plan will be finalized today
17
     by the President and the U.S. Environmental
     Protection Agency.
18
                       The Clean Power Plan is the
19
20
     most significant single action any president has
2.1
     ever tackled the most serious threat to health
22
     and our families, the climate crisis.
23
                       As we struggle to keep our
24
     air clean locally, we want to applaud today's
```

August 4, 2015

Page 177 1 announcement by President Obama and recognize 2 that now more than ever it is important to move 3 away from coal. 4 I'm committed to making my 5 community a thriving healthy place to live today 6 and for generations to come. Right now, it is 7 more clear than ever that we must transition away 8 from coal and embrace clean energy solutions. 9 I am here to ask the Board to 10 reject the IEPA's draft plan until they come up with a stronger plan of action. Thank you. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 13 you. 14 MS. GARLISH: Hi. I'm Lee Ann 15 Garlish, L-E-E, A-N-N, G-A-R-L-I-S-H. I'm a senior 16 at Pekin Community High School. I have been an 17 asthmatic since I was born and living in this area 18 and the non-attainment zone hasn't really helped 19 at all. 20 Although I have the option to 2.1 move, you can't really pull your roots out of 22 somewhere. As an adult, I've decided to focus my 23 future on making a difference in the world, but I 24 can't do that if I die of an asthma attack at 17

```
Page 178
1
     especially if the quality and possibility of me
2
     having an asthma can change.
3
                       The future of not just myself,
4
     but this community and the world should count
5
     more than I hefty paycheck. Myself and these
     130 plus signatures are asking the Board to reject
6
7
     the IEPA's draft plan until they can come up with
8
     a stronger more efficient clear air plan. Thank
9
     you.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
10
                                                Thank
11
     you.
12
                       Thank you. So I have a stack
13
     of cards that I will enter as a group exhibit.
14
                       Are there any objections at this
15
     stage?
16
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Just for
17
     clarification, will those be entered as comments?
18
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Just as
19
     a -- as a group exhibit to the transcript.
20
                   MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, I
2.1
     would object to those being admitted as a group
22
     exhibit. My understanding is that those are cards
23
     offering public comment and I would move for them
24
     to be admitted as, I believe, 131 separate public
```

```
Page 179
1
     comments.
2
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Okay.
3
     Again, so we will enter these as public comments.
4
                       Are there any objections? Seeing
5
     none, thank you.
6
                       I apologize, Ms. Bugel.
7
     you have a comment following Mr. Gray's testimony?
8
     It looks likes you might have had a --
9
                   MS. BUGEL: No.
                                     Thank you.
10
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
11
                       So then seeing no more comments
12
     at this time, I would like to thank you both again
13
     for coming out and giving your comments.
14
                       We will take a 30-minute lunch
15
     break and when we return, we will have testimony
16
     of the IEPA. Thank you. Let's go off the record.
17
                        (Whereupon, after a short
18
                        break was had, the following
19
                        proceedings were held
20
                        accordingly.)
2.1
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: We are
22
     going back on the record now. It is just past --
23
     it's 2:52.
24
                       Before we continue, I just
```

August 4, 2015

Page 180 1 wanted to note that we did have an additional 2 card that Mr. Carl -- earlier, that was handed 3 These cards are going to be taken and 4 handed to the clerk of the Board and they will 5 be entered as individual public comments. 6 At this stage, we are going to 7 move on to Agency testimony. Did the Agency have 8 any opening statements? 9 MS. VETTERHOFFER: No, we do not. 10 Mr. Bloomberg will be presenting the testimony and will be available for questions afterwards. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 13 Thank you. 14 MR. BLOOMBERG: Hello. My name again 15 is David Bloomberg, B-L-O-O-M-B-E-R-G. I am the 16 manager of the Air Quality Planning Section at the 17 Bureau of Air at the Illinois EPA. First, I would like to begin by 18 19 apologizing a bit to the Board for getting a little 20 excited there. I did that because what was being 2.1 said indirectly or perhaps directly implied that 22 the Agency has not been completely transparent 23 when I know what kind of efforts have been made by 24 Agency staff such as those with me here today.

August 4, 2015

Page 181

I know the long hours that have been spent on this rulemaking. I know the effort that has gone into it. And I know how hard we have worked to answer questions and provide any information that we have been asked for.

2.0

2.1

But throughout this rulemaking process, the Sierra Club has suggested that the Agency did not provide them with enough information to properly assess the modeling. This is simply untrue.

The Agency held a public outreach in August 2014, a full year ago, which the Sierra Club attended. The Agency has had multiple conference calls with the Sierra Club during which the Agency addressed questions from the Sierra Club and after which the Agency provided multiple pieces of information including modeling files and culpability spreadsheets.

As acknowledged by Dr. Gray, the Sierra Club did not ask for information they now claim they need during that timeframe, that earlier timeframe.

Even after the Agency answered such questions, the Sierra Club provided comments

August 4, 2015

Page 182 1 at the first hearing suggesting that some of these were still open questions, yet they failed to 2 3 simply ask the questions of the available Agency witnesses. After further discussions with the 4 5 Sierra Club, the Agency provided still more information and offered the possibility of 6 7 providing everything we had available. The Sierra Club failed to take 8 9 us up on that offer for the large data files, yet 10 their witnesses persist in suggesting that the Agency has somehow been hiding something. 11 12 Let me state clearly here and now, we have offered them everything possible. 13 14 For the Sierra Club's witnesses to suggest otherwise 15 is completely unsupported by the facts and is, in 16 fact, misleading. They never asked us at this 17 level of detail that has been suggested here today. What Mr. Sahu referenced was 18 19 never specifically requested. Whether that's due 20 to a miscommunication between him and Sierra Club 2.1 attorneys can't be judged by the Agency. We can 22 only speak to what they actually requested. 23 They spoke in generalities in various conference calls and we offered them 24

August 4, 2015

Page 183 1 everything. We asked if they had specific questions 2 and they didn't ask for this level of detailed 3 calculations, which also normally would -- the 4 Agency normally would not provide in a rulemaking. 5 It would not be necessary. Instead of pointing to any issues, any actual issues, they are simply 6 7 suggesting that there might be something because 8 they can't find anything wrong. 9 With all of our transparency, them waiting until this date to bring these 10 concerns, without having asked the specific 11 12 questions and requested that specific information, simply appears to be an effort to throw a wrench 13 14 in the process. 15 I will also address several 16 other public comments, questions and testimony 17 presented at this hearing and the second hearing. 18 Related -- regarding the Powerton 30-day average, 19 first, there have been several discussions 20 regarding Powerton's 30-day average. As stated 2.1 in the Agency's technical support documents, or 22 TSD, for this rulemaking and in responses to 23 questions directed to the Agency, the 30-day 24 average limit for Midwest generation Powerton

August 4, 2015

Page 184

units in the proposed amendments was included because of the potential variability of emissions when pollution control equipment is installed and because the installation of this equipment is not complete at this time.

2.1

The proposed limitation includes a downward adjustment in the emission limit from 6,000 pounds per hour to 3,452 pounds per hour averaged over 30 days. After the Agency confirmed that this downward adjustment was consistent with US EPA guidance on the subject, Illinois EPA submitted supporting calculations to US EPA for their approval. As previously noted, US EPA confirmed that this downward adjustment is consistent with the guidance and is acceptable.

However, the Agency has been asked questions about whether a shorter averaging time could be used. So I would like to address those questions. In Appendix D of US EPA guidance on this subject titled, "Review of Relationships Among SO2 Emissions Data with Various Averaging Times," US EPA details its study of different averaging times for coal-fired EGU's that are uncontrolled, those controlled by wet scrubbers

August 4, 2015

Page 185 and those controlled by dry scrubbers. 1 2 The guidance states, guote, this 3 review was intended to determine a typical --4 sorry -- to determine typical relationships 5 among emission limits reflecting different averaging times that might be considered to 6 7 comparably stringent. 8 The study indicates that for 9 typical units with dry scrubber technology, which 10 is the equipment to be installed at the Powerton units, a 37 percent reduction from a modeled 11 one-hour limit would typically be required to 12 13 demonstrate the equivalent stringency for a 30-day 14 average. 15 The guidance suggests that 16 downward adjustments of this magnitude are 17 sufficiently protective of the National Ambient 18 Air Quality Standards or NAAQS. 19 The 30-day average limit for 20 the Powerton units, in fact, represents an even greater downward adjustment, 42 percent or 2.1 22 five percent more than that which is noted in 23 the guidance of being protective. 24 As previously explained by

	Page 186
1	the Agency, this conclusion was reached by US EPA
2	because the modeling methodology that must be
3	employed to demonstrate attainment of the standard
4	is overly conservative in a number of ways.
5	As previously discussed,
6	because the 30-day average in the proposed
7	amendments and the downward adjustment in the
8	emission limit were deemed reasonable by the
9	Illinois EPA and were approved by US EPA, the
10	Agency did not calculate an alternate limit
11	for a different averaging period.
12	However, considering the
13	questions that have been raised in this proceeding,
14	the Agency reviewed the guidance and available
15	Midwest Generation information.
16	The Agency has proposed a 30-day
17	average limitation, as I mentioned, of 3,452 pounds
18	per hour for the Powerton units adjusted downward
19	from the 6,000 pound per hour that was modeled.
20	This downward adjustment results in an annual
21	allowable SO2 reductions of over 11,000 tons per
22	unit.
23	If the Agency and Midwest
24	Generation had instead agreed upon a daily average,

August 4, 2015

Page 187

US EPA guidance suggests that a 19 percent downward adjustment would have been typical for the type of controlled equipment in question resulting in daily average limit of approximately 4,860 pounds per hour.

2.1

It should be noted that this is only an estimate done in the brief period of time we have had between the second and third hearings without discussion between the Agency and Midwest Generation or between the Agency and US EPA.

The Agency is not suggesting this average can be used in place of the 30-day average because it is not known whether or not Midwest Generation could meet this limit and whether or not it is approvable to US EPA.

However, it is interesting to note that making the same calculations, as I mentioned a moment ago for the 30-day average, a downward adjustment for the daily average limitation would actually result of an increase of over 6,000 tons per year in allowable emissions compared to the 30-day average.

Further, a daily average would

August 4, 2015

Page 188 1 likely be no more effective in preventing the 2 periods of elevated emissions that have been the 3 concerns of commenters and interested parties. 4 US EPA using the statistical methods presented 5 in the guidance suggests that all of these limits, whether hourly, daily average or 30-day average, 6 7 are of comparable stringency. 8 Powerton's 30-day average 9 emission limitation is there for comparably 10 stringent to a one-hour average and results in lower allowable annual emissions which will 11 12 then provide additional benefits -- environmental 13 benefit for air quality in the long-term and for 14 air quality planning efforts dealing with PM 2.5 15 or fine particulate matter transport and regional 16 haze. 17 With all of this in mind, the Board -- the Agency, once again, encourages the 18 19 Board to use the proposed 30-day average for 20 Powerton. Attempting to modify that average without taking into account all of the variable 2.1 22 risks -- sorry -- all of the variables, risks 23 placing the Powerton source in a position of 24 being unable to comply while accomplishing no

August 4, 2015

Page 189

1 | actual environmental benefit.

2.1

Furthermore, it is unknown at this point whether US EPA would approve any new limit without further discussion and evaluation on their part.

To reiterate, modeling with a 30-day average has demonstrated attainment of the NAAQS, which even Mr. Sahu had noted in his pre-filed testimony is the purpose of this rulemaking.

The next topic I would like to discuss, Will County 4. For Will County 4, there had been discussions regarding the FGD exemption that has been proposed. The Agency's proposed amendments to the combined pollutant standard, or CPS, as detailed in the Agency's TSD result in significant reductions of SO2 in the state beyond existing requirements in the CPS and even provide reductions beyond those required to bring the two non-attainment areas into attainment with the 2010 one-hour SO2 NAAQS and also beyond what would be attainable under any normal operating conditions by use of add-on controls such as Trona for those sources.

August 4, 2015

Page 190 1 The amendments will additionally 2 result in significant reductions in the emissions 3 NOx -- sorry -- oxides and nitrogen, or NOx, 4 particulate matter or P.M., Mercury, and 5 greenhouse gasses that would otherwise not have been attainable by simply using controls of 6 those units. 8 As stated in the Agency's TSD, 9 the Agency conservatively estimates that the emission reductions of NOx from the conversion 10 of the Joliet and Will County units will be 11 greater than 3,000 tons annually. 12 13 Additionally, the Agency can conservatively estimate that the proposed amendments 14 15 will result in emission reductions of more than 7.5 million tons of CO2, more than 1,900 tons of 16 17 P.M. and 400 pounds of Mercury annually. 18 reductions will greatly aid Illinois in its efforts 19 to reduce overall air pollution and in planning for upcoming or just released federal greenhouse gas 20 rules, revised ozone standards, pollutant interstate 2.1 22 transport rules, regional haze requirements and 23 other future planning efforts. 24 Midwest Generation came to the

August 4, 2015

Page 191 1 Illinois EPA to voluntarily propose this package unit conversions and emission reductions. 2 3 were under no obligation to stop using coal at 4 the three Joliet units or Will County 3. 5 The one request that they had was to change the FGD exemption from Joliet 6 to 6 7 Will County 4. If the Agency had determined that 8 further emission reductions at Will County 4 were 9 necessary to bring the area into attainment, the 10 Agency would not have agreed to such an exemption, but the fact is that modeling has demonstrated 11 12 that Midwest Generation does not need to add a 13 multi-million dollar control device to Will 14 County 4 in order to bring the area into attainment 15 as even agreed to by Sierra Club's witness. Even without a controlled device 16 17 at Will County 4, the cessation of coal combustion 18 at Will County 3 will result in annual reductions 19 of at least 3,100 tons of SO2 from the same source 20 when compared to emissions in 2014. Under the Agency's proposal a 2.1 22 combination of allowable SO2 emissions from the 23 Will County source alone was over 1,200 tons 24 lower than it would be in the year 2017 under the

August 4, 2015

Page 192 1 CPS fleet-wide limits alone. 2 And these estimates are 3 conservative as they assume that Midwest 4 Generation will be operating Will County 3 and 5 it would be burning diesel fuel as opposed to natural gas or a combination of diesel and 6 7 natural gas. Midwest Generation has advised 8 9 the Agency, however, it is not currently operating 10 Will County 3 and has not announced any plans to operate that unit. Midwest Generation simply 11 needs to retain the possibility of operating the 12 13 unit in the future should it become necessary. 14 It should be noted that the plan 15 conversions and retirements of Midwest Generation 16 are not yet required in Illinois regulations, but 17 have only been announced by Midwest Generation. 18 It is these proposed amendments -- it is in these 19 proposed amendments that the requirements will be 20 codified for these four EGU's to permanently cease 2.1 burning coal. 22 Illinois EPA has proposed the 23 amendments to Part 225 in their current form in

order to ensure that the significant reductions

24

August 4, 2015

Page 193 1 from these fuel conversions in all pollutants will 2 be permanent and enforceable. 3 A very important point to note here is that even though the Sierra Club argued 4 5 that Will County 4 supposedly needs a control device, as I mentioned, the Sierra Club's own 6 7 witness has proven the point that Will County 4 8 does not actually need controls. 9 When Mr. Gray cited the peak 10 receptor had noted that the receptor is in attainment in the modeling, he talked about 11 Will County 4's contribution to this receptor, 12 13 but if Will County 4 is contributing at its maximum allowable without controls and this 14 15 peak receptor is still in attainment, that 16 reinforces the Agency's position, no controls 17 are necessary on Will County 4 to reach attainment. 18 As such, if a control is 19 mandated for Will County 4, the Board would be 20 requiring multi-million dollar controls that 2.1 are not necessary for the area to reach attainment. 22 Furthermore, even as Mr. Gray 23 argued that Will County 4 needed additional 24 control before he later admitted that they didn't,

August 4, 2015

Page 194 1 he showed that they -- that he didn't actually understand the information he was presenting. 2 3 discussed the contribution of Will County 3 and 4 Joliet 6, 7, 8 without apparently realizing the 5 model had already taken into account their planned conversion to no longer combust coal. 6 the reason those units now contribute so little 8 to the receptor because Midwest Generation's 9 planned projects have already been accounted 10 for in the modeling attainment. It should also be noted that 11 12 the Agency has incorporated the proposed changes 13 to Part 225 into its proposed reversions to Section 214.603. The hourly emission rate proposed 14 15 for Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and Will County 3 reflect the conversion of the units to natural gas or 16 17 diesel fuel and the proposed limits for Will 18 County 4 reflects the exemption from the FGD 19 equipment. 20 The proposed changes to Part 225 2.1 are, therefore, inextricably linked to the Agency's 22 proposed changes to Part 214 and, in turn, its plan 23 to attain the SO2 NAAQS. 24 The next topic is ultra-low

August 4, 2015

Page 195 1 sulfur diesel. In his testimony, Mr. Sahu made the claim that sulfur content in diesel fuel, 2 3 quote, fluctuates. By this, he implied that we 4 will never really know how much SO2 is being 5 emitted by sources using ultra-low sulfur diesel and that emission limitations for these sources 6 7 should be included in the rule. 8 However, in discussions with 9 people in the petroleum refinery industry and with the bureau chief for weights and measures 10 of the Illinois Department of Agricultural, who 11 is responsible for the quality of rules in Illinois, 12 13 I have found this suggestion to be extremely 14 misleading. 15 As I mentioned at the second 16 hearing, whatever fluctuation there may be in 17 sulfur content in diesel fuel is in the downward 18 direction. That is lower than 15 ppm. The Motor Fuels and Petroleum Standards Act in Illinois 19 20 requires that all fuels sold in Illinois meet 2.1 ASTM specifications. 22 In other words, there are 23 additional regulations mandated that the seller 24 represents it's distributing ultra-low sulfur

August 4, 2015

Page 196

diesel, the fuel must be at or below 15 ppm sulfur content.

2.1

Industry personnel have further told me that refineries are even more conservative to ensure the sulfur content is well below the standard. The Department of Agriculture tests for sulfur content throughout the state, generally at the fuel dispenser location. I spoke with the bureau chief, as I mentioned, who indicated that to his recollection he has never noticed any issues with sulfur content in diesel fuels.

What this means is that while we might not know if the sulfur content of mandated ultra-low sulfur diesel is exactly 15 or 14 or even ten, we do know what the maximum is per the regulation, 15 ppm. That means all calculations done in the Agency's modeling for sources using ultra-low sulfur diesel have been overly conservative and likely overestimated the SO2 emissions just as the Agency has modeled all sources at their maximum emission rates, their maximum allowable emission rates.

This eliminates any concerns that Mr. Sahu may have raised through his

August 4, 2015

Page 197

suggestion that the Agency doesn't really know what the sulfur content may be.

2.1

Now, I would like to address the topic of the attainment demonstration, which the Sierra Club's witnesses have, in effect, suggested should be a part of this rulemaking process. Contrary to those assertions, the attainment demonstration should not, and for all practicable purposes, cannot be included in this rulemaking process.

Generally, the Agency proposes a rule to the Board first normally after having run a draft by US EPA to ensure they approve of the changes. Then after the status of the rule becomes clearer, usually after the rule is adopted by the Board, but at least after the Board has adopted a final order and proceeded to a second notice, the Agency may proceed with an attainment demonstration if one is appropriate at that time.

The attainment demonstration then takes the limitations and control requirements adopted by the Board in a rulemaking or in some cases in several rulemakings, analyzes those requirements and demonstrates to US EPA that they

August 4, 2015

Page 198 1 are sufficient to demonstrate attainment of the 2 NAAQS. 3 The Agency holds a 30-day 4 public notice and public comment period and, 5 if requested, a separate Agency nearing on the attainment demonstration. 6 7 At that point, the public may 8 provide comments to the Agency about anything 9 and everything within the demonstration including every aspect of the Agency's modeling. Once the 10 Agency has finalized the attainment demonstration, 11 it submits the demonstration and a summary of any 12 public comment the Agency has received to US EPA 13 for approval. 14 15 US EPA then goes through its 16 own public comment period during which the public 17 may again submit comments regarding the Agency's 18 modeling. There is clearly ample opportunity for anyone who would like to examine and comment upon 19 20 the Agency's modeling. 2.1 This is the process the Agency 22 has historically used and that the Agency continues 23 to use. As a final attainment demonstration must 24 include final enforceable requirements, it is not

August 4, 2015

Page 199

advisable for the Agency to put the draft attainment demonstration out for public comment before it knows with reasonably certainty the emission limitations and control requirements that will be adopted by the Board in the underlying rulemaking.

2.1

The Agency would run the risk
that its rulemaking proposal would be changed by
the Board which could necessitate changing
attainment demonstration and restarting public
notice and comments. For practical purposes and
from the standpoint of conserving Agency resources,
the rule must come first and the attainment
demonstration must come second.

In my over 23 years of experience at the Agency, which is including participation in a multitude of rulemakings, the Board regularly relies upon the Agency for extremely technical matters such as air modeling. While this rulemaking and attainment demonstration are certainly linked, just are all of our efforts to reduce air pollution in Illinois, they are separate facets of the process that ends with bringing an area into attainment.

Several other topics that

August 4, 2015

Page 200

1 have come up through testimony, comments or 2 questions and I would like to address them now 3 as well. In Mr. Gray's pre-filed testimony, he 4 claims that using a different set of sources 5 and/or controls may demonstrate attainment at a lower cost. For the record, no source has 6 7 contacted me, Jeff, Rory, Jackie or Dana to 8 state that they are unhappy with the costs for 9 this rulemaking. 10 In another comment the group Care, who I don't believe is represented here 11 12 today, but mentioned at the second hearing that 13 they would be submitting the Agency's TSD for the 14 non-attainment area designation recommendations. 15 However, it should be noted that the document 16 they are referencing as Jeff mentioned in response 17 at the last -- at the second hearing did not use 18 modeling and, thus, did not include all culpable

While the designation of these areas of non-attainment is certainly linked to the Agency's regulations to bring them into attainment. Designation is the a different process with different requirements as compared to the

sources in its discussion.

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

August 4, 2015

Page 201 1 extensive modeling work necessary to determine which sources need to reduce their emissions 2 3 and by how much. 4 That document in process from 5 several years ago certainly did not involve the many months of effort that went into the highly 6 7 detailed modeling to determine which sources need 8 to reduce their limits and by how much. 9 There were two commenters at 10 the second hearing, I believe one of whom is here, who brought up the topic of reasonably available 11 12 control technology, or RACT, and reasonably 13 available control measures, or RACM. Unfortunately, both commenters misunderstand the requirements for 14 15 RACT and RACM for this rulemaking. This was discussed in the 16 17 Agency's statements of reasons, but apparently 18 bears repeating. US EPA interprets RACT and RACM 19 differently for the purposes of sources subject to RACT only under Subpart 1 and those subject 20 2.1 to RACT under a different subpart of Title 1, 22 Part D of the Clean Air Act. 23 Rather than describing specific 24 control systems to be used to address the necessary

August 4, 2015

Page 202 1 SO2 reductions, the US EPA has interpreted the 2 terms RACT and RACM for purposes of 3 Section 172(c)(1) requirements as, quote, the 4 level of emissions control that is necessary to 5 provide for expeditious attainment of the NAAQS within a non-attainment area. 6 7 US EPA further noted, quote, 8 courts have upheld this interpretation of the 9 statute with respect to non-attainment SIPS, end 10 quote. And that is what the Agency has proposed in this rulemaking, a level of emissions control --11 sorry -- levels of emissions control that are 12 13 necessary to provide for attainment of the NAAQS. 14 Finally, at both the first and 15 second hearing and also when we had some comments 16 today at the third hearing, a number of people 17 commented regarding their beliefs as to how SO2 18 from specific sources discussed here contributed 19 to, or perhaps even caused, breathing problems 20 such as asthma with some even suggesting this has 2.1 been a potential reason for increase in asthma 22 cases. 23 One person said we need to put 24 a face on this. Well, I need to add something.

August 4, 2015

Page 203 1 This is my rescue inhaler (indicating). I am the 2 face of asthma. My mother has asthma. My kids 3 have asthma. I have had to hold my kids with the nebulizer. I know what it's like. 4 T do 5 not -- I cannot just brush away what these I understand. But we can't 6 comments have been. 7 speculate what may have caused asthma in any 8 particular cases. 9 What isn't speculation is that the US EPA has determined that the National Ambient 10 Air Quality Standards, or NAAQS, are based upon 11 science and the Agency is endeavoring to bring 12 13 these areas into attainment with the NAAOS. We also can be certain of 14 15 another aspect of our air quality. Contrary to 16 comments suggesting that air quality has been 17 getting course, in fact, the monitor data shows 18 exactly the opposite. I have here with me, and 19 will offer as exhibit to the Board, graphs 20 showing decreases in annual average monitored SO2 2.1 concentrations at both Lemont and Pekin monitors. 22 These decreases are expected to 23 continue due to reductions being mandated in this 24 rulemaking including the cessation of coal burning

```
Page 204
1
     at the three Joliet units and the Will County 3
2
     units. Thank you.
3
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER: And I would
4
     just like to -- this is Dana Vetterhoffer with
5
     the Illinois EPA.
6
                       I would like to move that the
7
     two charts referenced by Mr. Bloomberg be moved
     into the record as an exhibit and I do have
8
9
     extra -- some extra copies just not enough for
10
     everybody in the room.
11
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: I'm
12
     sorry. Did you say -- are those one exhibit or
13
     separate exhibits?
14
                   MS. VETTERHOFFER:
                                      It's up to you
15
                         There's one chart for Lemont
     how you mark them.
16
     SO2 annual averages and one for Pekin SO2 annual
17
     averages. It's two separate charts though.
18
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Are there
19
     any objections to entering those charts as exhibits
2.0
     at this time?
2.1
                   MS. GARLISH: I'm Robin Garlish,
22
     R-O-B-I-N, G-A-R-L-I-S-H. I just wanted to know
23
     again the dates. I didn't hear the dates of the
24
     Pekin monitoring.
```

	Page 205
1	MR. BLOOMBERG: The dates for these
2	charts start in 1983 and go up through 2014.
3	MS. GARLISH: 2014. Okay. Thank
4	you.
5	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Seeing
6	no objections, we are going to enter the Lemont
7	SO2 annual averages 1983 to 2014 chart as Agency
8	Exhibit H.
9	(Document marked as Agency
10	Exhibit H for identification,
11	8/4/15.)
12	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: And the
13	Pekin SO2 annual average 1983 to 2014 chart as
14	Agency Exhibit I.
15	(Document marked as Agency
16	Exhibit I for identification,
17	8/4/15.)
18	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Is there
19	any additional testimony from the Agency?
20	MS. VETTERHOFFER: No. Thank you.
21	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
22	Thank you, Mr. Bloomberg, for your time.
23	I do know that the Board did
24	yesterday issue additional Board questions.

August 4, 2015

Page 206 1 Before we get to those, are 2 there any questions? Ms. Bugel, do you have any 3 follow-up questions? MS. BUGEL: I may just have one or 4 5 two questions. 6 And the -- my first question is are there any sources for which reductions 7 8 were modeled, but the rule -- but there are no 9 reductions required by the rule? MR. BLOOMBERG: If reductions were 10 modeled, then those reductions are either reflected 11 12 in Subpart A of the rule, specific limits. 13 were modeled because we are putting in the ultra-low sulfur diesel limits, so those are effectively in 14 15 the rule, or I believe there were one or two sources 16 that came in kind of during the modeling process 17 and got a TSD permit that -- a construction permit, 18 an enforceable construction permit, that limited 19 their emissions such that it was already done before the rule came out. So it wasn't necessary 2.0 2.1 to put it in the rule as well. 22 MS. BUGEL: And one additional 23 question is would the Agency consider a -- not 24 a different averaging time for Powerton, but a

```
Page 207
1
     supplemental limit in addition to the 3,452 pounds
2
     per hour over the 30-day average? And by a
3
     supplemental limit, I mean a limit on either the
4
     magnitude or the frequency of spikes.
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: You ask if we would
5
6
     consider it and I think the answer is we're open
7
     to considering, you know, anything reasonable.
8
                       At this stage, and without a
9
     requirement that it be done, it is difficult to
     answer that question. You know, it's -- I don't
10
     know what would be suggested. I don't know --
11
12
     you know, it would entail numerous conversations,
13
     calculations and it would be to no real end when
14
     it comes to bringing the area into attainment.
                       As I mentioned, the US EPA
15
16
     has already said that the 30-day average is
17
     substantially equivalent and they have already
18
     reviewed it and said that is acceptable.
19
     it would be a requirement being put on the source
20
     that I can't give a solid legal reason for.
2.1
                   MS. BUGEL: Those are all the
22
     questions that I have.
23
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
24
     you.
```

	Page 208
1	Mr. Bonebrake?
2	MR. BONEBRAKE: I have a couple of
3	additional questions.
4	I seem to be struggling with the
5	microphone here.
6	First, with respect to the new
7	charts that were admitted as Agency Exhibits H and
8	I, I just wanted to confirm were the data points
9	for these charts the attainment monitors in those
10	respective areas?
11	MR. BLOOMBERG: They were the
12	monitors from which the non-attainment areas were
13	based.
14	MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you. When I
15	was listening to your testimony, Mr. Bloomberg,
16	it occurred to me that it was important that we
17	think about why we are here today.
18	What is the purpose of this
19	rulemaking from the IEPA's perspective?
20	MR. BLOOMBERG: This rulemaking
21	is to bring the two non-attainment areas into
22	attainment with the one-hour SO2 NAAQS.
23	MR. BONEBRAKE: And when the US EPA
24	adopts a National Ambient Air Quality Standard

August 4, 2015

Page 209 1 like the new one-hour standard, does it have health protection in mind in adopting that standard? 2 3 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 4 MR. BONEBRAKE: And what health considerations does US EPA take into account 5 6 in adopting National Ambient Air Quality Standards? 7 MR. BLOOMBERG: I mean, it's a wide 8 variety. It's everything from its effect on 9 healthy individuals to its effect on sensitive 10 populations. In some pollutants cases, it's also secondary effects on whether it's animals or plants 11 12 or other things like that. 13 MR. BONEBRAKE: And with respect to 14 the new one-hour SO2 standard, is that standard 15 designed to be protective of human health with a 16 margin of safety? 17 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 18 MR. BONEBRAKE: And is it correct 19 that IEPA believes based upon extensive analyses 20 in modeling, in this case, that its proposed rules 2.1 will attain the one-hour SO2 National Ambient Air 22 Quality Standard in the Pekin and Lemont areas 23 and, therefore, providing for human health with 24 an adequate margin of safety?

```
Page 210
1
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
2
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: I had asked Mr. Sahu a
3
     question about the -- whether or not startup,
     shutdown and malfunction events were counted
4
5
     against the proposed rates in Section 214.603
6
     of the rule and just so the record is clear,
     let me ask you, Mr. Bloomberg; is it true that
8
     the proposed emission rates in Section 214.603
9
     apply to all hours of unit operation?
10
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
11
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And it is correct,
12
     as a matter of fact, that units can experience
     higher emissions during periods of startup and
13
14
     shutdown during normal operation?
15
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Some units can, yes.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is it also true that
16
17
     units can experience higher hourly emission rates
18
     during periods of pollution control malfunction
19
     during normal operation?
2.0
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
2.1
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And does the 30-day
22
     rolling average rate take into consideration then
23
     the variability that can be caused by the inclusion
24
     of SSM periods against the standard?
```

August 4, 2015

Page 211 1 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 2 MR. BONEBRAKE: At a prior hearing, 3 a commenter suggested that with a 30-day rolling 4 average, Powerton could simply operate for a day 5 and then cease operating for 29 days and then if 6 the rates were high in the one day of operation, 7 it simply could be washed out, as I understood 8 the commenter to say, by the 29 days of 9 non-operation. Is that correct under the proposed 10 rule? 11 MR. BLOOMBERG: No. That seems to 12 be a misunderstanding by the commenters -- on the 13 part of the commenters. It is an average over 30 14 operating days. 15 MR. BONEBRAKE: Now, you mentioned 16 I believe, Mr. Bloomberg, that the proposed 17 conversions from the Joliet units from coal to 18 gas and the cessation of coal combustion at Will 19 County 3 provided the state with significant 20 reductions in pollutants other than SO2; is that 2.1 correct? 22 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. 23 MR. BONEBRAKE: And that includes 24 particulate matters and carbon dioxide and I

```
Page 212
 1
     believe you mentioned Mercury as well; is that
 2
     correct?
 3
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                    Yes.
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And did US EPA
 4
 5
     just finalize a carbon dioxide control rule
 6
     yesterday?
 7
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                    Yes.
 8
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And does IEPA
 9
     anticipate that the conversions of the Joliet
     units from coal to gas and cessation of coal
10
     combustion in Will County 3 could assist the
11
12
     state of Illinois with developing its plan for
13
     complying with that new federal CO2 requirement?
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: While I'm not
14
     personally working directly on that, my
15
16
     understanding is the answer is yes.
17
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: Is it true,
18
     Mr. Bloomberg, that Midwest Generation voluntarily
19
     proposed to cease coal combustion at the four units
20
     you mentioned, that is, the three Joliet units and
2.1
     Will County 3?
22
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                    Yes.
23
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And it was under no
24
     obligation to cease coal combustion at those four
```

```
Page 213
1
     units; is that correct?
2
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   It is correct they
3
     were under no obligation.
4
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And it was with the
5
     understanding -- strike that.
                       As you noted, Midwest Generation
6
7
     made one request and that is to switch the FGD
8
     exemption from Joliet 6 to Will County 4 in
9
     connection with the proposal to cease combustion
     at the other four units; is that correct?
10
11
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
12
                   MR. BONEBRAKE: And the net results
     of the cessation of coal combustion of the four
13
14
     units and the switch of the FGD exemption is a
15
     decrease of SO2 emissions from the proposed rule
16
     above and beyond what would have been required by
17
     the CPS; is that correct?
18
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
19
                                   Do you agree with me,
                   MR. BONEBRAKE:
20
     Mr. Bloomberg, that if the final rule, as adopted,
2.1
     would force the conversion -- strike that -- would
22
     force the cessation of coal combustion at the four
23
     units, that is, the three Joliet and Will County 3,
24
     but still retain a requirement to install FGD at
```

	Page 214
1	Will County 4, that that would be inconsistent with
2	the proposal that Midwest Generation had made?
3	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes.
4	MR. BONEBRAKE: Do you agree with
5	me that cherry picking out requirements for coal
6	combustion cessation and then putting those in
7	the rule but rejecting the exemption for the Will
8	County 4 FGD could have a chilling effect on both
9	on Midwest Generation and other sources' willingness
10	to offer up voluntary emission reductions to
11	IEPA in connection with future rulemakings?
12	MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. I could see
13	how companies would be hesitant to come to the
14	Agency in the future with that with a proposed
15	plan with that in the back of their minds.
16	MR. BONEBRAKE: Thank you. I have
17	no further questions at that time.
18	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
19	you.
20	Mrs. Allgire?
21	MS. ALLGIRE: We have no questions.
22	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: At this
23	point, I will open it up. Do any members of the
24	audience have any questions for Mr. Bloomberg?

August 4, 2015

Page 215 1 Yes, sir. If you don't mind, 2 step to the microphone. Thank you. Please just 3 state and spell your name. Thank you. 4 MR. CASHMER: My name is Kevin 5 Cashmer, K-E-V-I-N, C-A-S-H-M-E-R. Am I correct, 6 Mr. Bloomberg, in understanding that there have 7 been health studies done specifically in this 8 area? 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: I am unaware of 10 any specific health studies done in this area. 11 MR. CASHMER: Okay. With the 12 question of transparency, is there any reason why 13 with current technology, monitors cannot be placed 14 on point specific emissions to where they are WiFi capable to where everybody can see specifically 15 16 what's going on at what point? 17 MR. BLOOMBERG: Are you talking 18 about emissions monitors or ambient air monitors? 19 MR. CASHMER: Emissions. 20 MR. BLOOMBERG: Emissions monitoring, 2.1 for example, at the power plants is the already 22 done on a continuous basis. They are uploaded --23 that information is directly uploaded to US EPA's 24 database. I see no reason that the public wouldn't

```
Page 216
     be able to access that information. That's how we
1
2
     access it.
3
                   MR. CASHMER: Okay. Accuracy is the
4
     question.
                Thank you.
5
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
6
     you.
7
                       Are there any additional questions
8
     for Mr. Bloomberg?
9
                       Seeing no questions from the
10
     audience, I believe the Board has a question.
11
                  BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Mr. Bloomberg,
12
     earlier today you mentioned that at the Aventine
13
     facility, they converted to natural gas at some
14
     point and then had a fire where they had to go
15
     back to coal for a time. I was wondering if you
16
     could describe that situation again.
17
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   That pretty well
18
     does summarize it. They came to us and said
19
     we're going to convert to natural gas. We know,
     you know, you're looking at this monitor and
20
2.1
     we're going to need to do some things and so
22
     they are -- converted their coal-fired boilers to
23
     natural gas.
24
                       At some point, there was some
```

```
Page 217
1
     sort of incident, a fire -- it might have been a
2
     small explosion. I'm not entirely sure of all
3
     of the details. Whatever happened, it made at
     least one of the natural gas boilers not usable.
4
5
     So they had to switch back to using the coal-fired
6
     for a time and they fully intend and they
7
     understand that they need to be completely out
8
     of the coal-fired business by January 1, 2017.
9
                   BOARD MEMBER BURKE: And then just
10
     to clarify for the record, the Aventine facility
     is located in Pekin township, correct.
11
12
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   I believe so, yes.
13
                   BOARD MEMBER BURKE: And it would be
14
     located in the Pekin non-attainment area?
15
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Definitely.
                   BOARD MEMBER BURKE: And is that
16
17
     reflected in the chart you just gave us marked as
18
     Agency Exhibit I?
19
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   It looks -- it might
20
     be part of that last little downward move there in
2.1
     2014, but it was -- the change was pretty recent.
22
     I don't remember exactly when, but it's within the
23
     last year, year-and-a-half maybe, but they have
24
     been making the switch. So it may be somewhat
```

```
Page 218
1
     reflected in that last little bit downwards there.
2
                   BOARD MEMBER BURKE:
                                       Okay.
3
     you.
4
                   MR. RAO: Mr. Bloomberg, I have
5
     a question for you. Dr. Sahu referred to possibly
6
     the spreadsheets in his testimony and we have not
7
     seen those in the record earlier. I just wanted
8
     to confirm whether those were part of the new
9
     information that you submitted to the Board?
10
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
11
                   MR. RAO: Okay.
12
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Mr. Robertson, did
13
     you want to go over the --
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
14
                                                I was
15
     going to just ask does the Agency wish to respond
16
     to any of these questions today?
17
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: We can respond to
     a few of them. Most of them will need more time.
18
19
     As you know, they are extremely detailed and we
20
     only saw them late yesterday afternoon.
2.1
                       I can give some response to
22
     Question 63. Whatever I say here, by the way,
23
     we will probably go into more detail in a written
24
     response, but I at least wanted to give some
```

```
Page 219
1
     response.
2
                       Do you want me to read the
3
     question or can we --
4
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                If you
5
     don't mind. I know it's a lengthy guestion.
6
     you.
7
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: I'm getting used
8
     to reading questions.
9
                       In response to Question 47
10
     regarding Midwest Generation's reasoning for
     requesting the switch from Joliet 6 to Will County
11
12
     4 for an exemption to install FGD equipment, IEPA
13
     states that, quote, Midwest Generation is
     contributing a great deal of SO2 reductions as
14
15
     well as reductions in other pollutants for the
16
     area for its overall plan to switch four units
17
     from burning coal to burning primarily natural
18
     again.
19
                       Since Midwest Generation is
20
     going far beyond FGD in making these changes
2.1
     and since the company is expending more resources
22
     than anticipated in doing so, Midwest Generation
23
     requests that the exemption be changed to a
24
     different unit, end quote, PC 5 at 8.
```

	Page 220
1	Comment on whether IEPA's
2	proposed changes to Part 217 and Part 225 could be
3	taken up at a separate proceeding before the
4	Board such as a rulemaking adjusted standard
5	or variance proceeding.
6	The short answer to IEPA's
7	position would be no. As I mentioned a little
8	while ago, these are inexplicably linked. For
9	example, the coal the cessation of coal burning
10	is prescribed in Part 225. The limit appears in
11	214. The conversion is discussed in Part 217.
12	Subpart (a)(a) includes limits that rely on these
13	on these conversions and they reflect that the
14	FGD would no longer be required.
15	So that's my short answer to
16	that. As I said, we can give more or if you have
17	any follow-up questions right now.
18	Okay. I can also discuss some
19	of
20	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Just a
21	moment.
22	MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay.
23	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: You can
24	continue. Thank you.

August 4, 2015

Page 221 1 MR. BLOOMBERG: Questions 64, I can 2 give some answer to as well. That question stated: 3 "Referring to the above-quoted language, provide 4 additional information, including quantifying 5 emissions, regarding the collateral benefits of reductions of other pollutants through Midwest 6 7 Generation's proposal to cease using coal at 8 Joliet 6, 7 and 8 and Will County 3." 9 And this I actually discussed 10 in my testimony. If you would like, I can repeat it or -- but basically, as mentioned, there would 11 be a conservative estimate of over 3,000 tons of 12 NAAQS reduced, a conservative estimate of over 13 14 7.5 million tons of CO2, more than 1,900 tons of 15 particulate matter and 400 pounds of Mercury 16 annually. 17 The next question that I can 18 address somewhat is 67, which has four parts. 19 So it says, "IEPA's proposed language at 35 Illinois 20 Administrative Code 225.296 B provides: " Do you 2.1 need me to reread what that says? 22 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: I think 23 that's okay. Thank you. 24 MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay.

August 4, 2015

Page 222 1 "a. Address whether the proposed 2 revision to this section are necessary for making 3 the attainment demonstration for the one-hour 4 SO2 NAAQS or if the limitation in proposed 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part 214 are 5 sufficient." 6 7 As I mentioned a couple of 8 minutes ago, they are linked. Part -- the limits 9 in Part 214 reflects what is in Part 225. For b, "In particular, if Will 10 County 4 does not receive the FGD exemption, 11 12 address whether fuel conversions at the other 13 facilities are necessary to demonstrate attainment." 14 They would not be necessary to 15 convert, but they would definitely need some sort 16 of control. We don't know how much control exactly 17 because we haven't modeled those scenarios and, 18 quite frankly, there isn't time to do so. 19 not sure if we've ever spoken about how much time 20 it takes to run one of these models, but every 2.1 scenario, every change that we have made, takes 22 approximately one week of time just for the 23 computers to go. We're using some of the fastest 24 computers, you know, biggest computers that we

August 4, 2015

Page 223 1 can get. 2 So there simply isn't the time 3 to go through and -- at this stage pick and 4 choose what would happen if we adjusted this 5 one by five percent here or something like 6 that. 7 And we didn't model those 8 specifically because we had the proposal from Midwest Generation to eliminate coal combustion 9 10 at those units. So there was no need to go in and say what would happen at different reduction 11 points. We believe we knew what was going to 12 13 happen. 14 c, "Comment on the Board amending 15 the proposal that second notice to not propose the change in the parenthetical in this section. 16 17 In other words, comment on the Board not making 18 the following change." Which basically replaces 19 Joliet 5 with Will County 4 for the exception. 20 Clearly, the Agency opposes such 2.1 a change. We can detail it more in comments, but 22 I think it's been clear here that we are in favor 23 of exempting Will County 4. 24 D, "Address whether the emission

August 4, 2015

Page 224 1 limitations in proposed Section 214.603 would need to be revised if Will County 3 and Joliet 6, 7, 8 2 did not cease burning coal and Will County 4 3 installed FGD." 4 5 The answer is, yes. Actually, 6 it would bring a total set of numbers into 7 It would involve a lot of this modeling, play. 8 this multi-iteration modeling that I was talking 9 about and it would not only impact Midwest 10 Generation. 11 As we mentioned, when we were 12 doing these iterations, we looked at what sources 13 were most impacting an area or -- I'm sorry --14 the receptors as whatever came up as highest 15 receptors before we brought it into attainment. 16 It may be that some other source was equally or 17 more impacting some receptor in the model as Will 18 County 3 or Joliet 6, 7 and 8, but because of the 19 voluntary efforts that Midwest Generation has taken, we never even had to look at those sources. 20 2.1 They didn't need to make an 22 equivalent reduction. So if we now go back and 23 suggest that maybe, you know, Midwest Generation 24 wouldn't make these conversions for some reason,

August 4, 2015

Page 225 1 we would now need to go back and do multiple iterations to see if some other company who hasn't 2 3 even been brought into the proceedings might be 4 impacted and might be -- it might be necessary for them to make a reduction to offset the 5 reductions that Midwest Generation would under 6 7 this hypothetical not be making, so it's really a 8 big question mark in that regard. 9 Now moving on to Question 69, 10 "Comments during the hearings indicated that environmental groups were not included in the 11 12 development of IEPA's proposed revisions at 13 Section 225.296(b) based on Midwest Generation's 14 proposal to convert Joliet 6, 7, 8 and Will 15 County 3 and exempt Will County 4 from the 16 requirement to install FGD. During IEPA's 17 outreach efforts, explain whether IEPA discussed 18 Midwest Generation's proposals with environmental 19 groups or other stakeholders." 20 The answer is, yes. 2.1 discussed it. We sent out the draft rule for 22 comment prior to submittal to the Board and that 23 included Part 214, Part 217, Part 225 and we 24 solicited comments at that time again before

```
Page 226
1
     submitting it to the Board and environmental
2
     groups were included in that distribution.
3
                       Those are all that we can answer
4
     right now.
5
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
6
     you.
7
                       Did any members of the Board or
8
     Board staff have follow-up questions?
9
                   MS. ZALEWSKI: I just want to
     follow-up to your last answer.
10
11
                       Were there any changes made as
12
     a result from the comments from the environmental
13
     groups?
14
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   I don't remember.
15
     As I said, it was an outreach to lots of
16
     different -- you know, we got lots of different
17
     comments so for -- we can look and we can see what
18
     we can reconstruct. I just don't remember.
19
     sorry.
20
                   MS. ZALEWSKI:
                                   Thank you.
2.1
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Okay.
22
                   MR. RAO: Mr. Bloomberg, you said
23
     you shared the draft rules with the environmental
24
     groups. Was it after the modeling was completed
```

August 4, 2015

Page 227

1 or before?

2.1

MR. BLOOMBERG: It was after the then at the time what we now laughingly call final modeling was completed. You had to do the modeling to figure out what numbers would go in the rule and then -- so then we did that, we released it and then when comments came back in, we had to do more modeling because of certain things, like, for example, in the rule, there is an exemption for couple of different sources to use non-ultra-low sulfur diesel for a longer period of time.

Those were as a result of companies seeing the draft rule saying, oh, wait a minute, we have a huge tank of diesel still here. So we had to model run specifically, okay, what will happen if this one source doesn't use ultra-low sulfur diesel and as I said, each one of those took at least a week, so I don't know. I think I have answered your question, but I'm not sure at this point.

MR. RAO: I think so. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER BURKE: When you shared
the draft during the outreach with the environmental
group, did they include proposed changes to Part 217

```
Page 228
1
     and Part 225.
2
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   Yes.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                               Did
4
     anybody have any follow-up questions for
5
    Mr. Bloomberg?
6
                   MS. GARLISH: Robin Garlish.
7
     need to spell it again? R-O-B-I-N, G-A-R-L-I-S-H.
8
                       When you -- when -- the question
9
     is how come the general public can't also be
10
     informed when you contact the environmental
     groups because I'm -- I speak with Moms for Clean
11
12
     Air. I'm loyal to Central Illinois Health &
13
     Community Alliance. I work with my daughter's
14
     respiratory specialist and I have walked and
15
     talked with parents and I recognize their children
16
     as they're home from school with the blackness
17
     in their eyes. They don't even have to tell me.
18
     I can already see why they are home from school,
19
     because their asthma -- the oxygen flow is bad
20
     because as a mom of an asthmatic, I recognize
2.1
     that and I believe the general public is the most
22
     important person here.
23
                       Is there a reason why the
24
     general public is not also being informed of this
```

August 4, 2015

Page 229 1 information? I'm not a member -- I'm not here 2 with a bus of Sierra Club. I'm sorry. 3 MR. BLOOMBERG: We do our best when we do this -- that sort of outreach to get it to, 4 5 you know, whatever interested parties there are. 6 We do rely on group like the Sierra Club and other environmental groups to, I guess, represent that 7 8 aspect of the public. It really would not be 9 10 feasible -- I can't think of how we would get to 11 out to everyone. If you wanted to be advised of 12 those things, there is -- I'm trying to think if 13 there is. 14 MS. GARLISH: Most cities, they have 15 like air alerts and even some smaller cities have 16 air alerts, which would be so helpful and then 17 again, that probably goes along with modeling and 18 a lot of things that you guys are all talking about. MR. BLOOMBERG: 19 Yes. The air alerts are -- I'm not sure what air alerts there are here. 20 2.1 I know that there are air alerts in the Chicago 22 area, in the Metro East St. Louis area. 23 As far as, you know, getting 24 drafts of a rule out to general public, we do

	Page 230
1	the best we can. If we know someone is interested
2	and for example, when we did the presentation
3	last August, we sent it out to every list
4	email list that our community relations people
5	knew about that would be interested and then
6	when people you know, if they showed an
7	interest, we certainly would have shared the
8	draft rule with them.
9	But otherwise, you know, I
10	think this rulemaking, this process is also for
11	the public and it's just there is no good
12	way for the Agency to share a draft with every
13	member of the public prior to the rulemaking
14	process, none that I can think of at least.
15	MS. GARLISH: Maybe that could be
16	something that we can consider.
17	MR. BLOOMBERG: I can certainly talk
18	to our community relations people about it.
19	MS. GARLISH: Thank you.
20	HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Are there
21	any additional questions for Mr. Bloomberg?
22	Are there any additional questions
23	from the Board?
24	Seeing none, thank you,

August 4, 2015

Page 231 Mr. Bloomberg, for your time today. 1 2 Was anyone else -- we did have 3 some sign-in sheets. Before we get to public 4 comments, we had a number of people sign in that 5 requested to give comments. We also had a 6 testimony sheet. That sheet is blank. 7 Was there anyone here that 8 specifically wanted to testify on anything today 9 before we get to public comments? 10 Thank you. Seeing none, we will proceed with the public comments and we will 11 start with the people who signed in and following 12 that, if there is time, if you have not signed in 13 14 and you would like to comment, we will allow that 15 t.oo. 16 We have about 18 people. 17 do request that, if you can, keep the comments 18 to around three minutes so we can make sure we 19 can get all the comments in today. Again, I want 20 to note, you are always welcome to file comments 2.1 with the Board too. 22 When going up to comment again, 23 please step up to the microphone and just state 24 your name and spell it for the court reporter.

```
Page 232
1
     some of these people I think have spoken, but I will
2
     call their names again, Michael Inman? Lee Ann
3
     Garlish, I believe spoke. Next, we have Larry
4
     Kerrigan.
5
                   MS. GOLDEN:
                               I think I might be
6
     next on your list anyway. I am Chris Golden,
7
     C-H-R-I-S, G-O-L-D-E-N.
8
                       Because Larry had to leave,
9
     and he wanted his testimony to be heard, I
10
     just have a brief part to read here.
11
                       Hi.
                            My name a Larry Kerrigan,
12
           I am a retired union laborer. I live on
     the south side of Peoria.
13
14
                       Through the years, in my
15
     occupation as a laborer, I have worked in the
16
     power plants in this area. As a result of my
17
     choice to work in the power plants, I have asthma,
18
     COPD and other pulmonary issues. I am well-aware
19
     of the technology available today to lower
2.0
     emissions of sulfur dioxide.
2.1
                       I do not agree with the way
22
     that the system is being manipulated putting off
23
     bringing ED Edwards power station and Powerton
24
     station up to Clean Air Act standards. By not
```

```
Page 233
1
     putting our power plants up to the standards,
2
     the owners of the power plants are putting our
3
     communities and beyond at risk.
4
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
5
     you.
6
                       And did you wish to offer comment
7
     for yourself too?
8
                                      I will just pass.
                   MS. GOLDEN:
                                No.
9
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
10
     you.
11
                                 This is Larry's here.
                   MS. GOLDEN:
12
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Again,
     if -- are there any objections? We will except
13
14
     those as an additional public comment and we will
15
     follow-up with the Board.
16
                       Seeing none, that will be added
17
     as an additional public comment.
18
                       Thank you. Next we have Brian
19
     Urbaszewsi.
20
                                    Hello, my name is
                   MR. URBASZEWSI:
2.1
     Brian Urbaszewsi. I'm the Director of Environmental
22
     Health Programs for Respiratory Health Association.
23
     We're a lung health charity based in Chicago focused
24
     on promoting lung health and preventing lung disease
```

August 4, 2015

Page 234 1 through advocacy, education and research. And I 2 want to thank you for allowing public comments again 3 today. 4 While Respiratory Health 5 Association appreciates the effort made to craft 6 regulations to control SO2 emissions by the Agency, 7 we still ask the Board to improve the proposal 8 before finalizing the regulation. 9 As people mentioned earlier, the Clean Air Task Force numbers for the health 10 impacts of the claims that we have been discussing 11 12 here today, they ARE significant. Those numbers 13 are primarily driven by SO2 emissions and, hence, 14 strict SO2 pollution controls on major sources 15 especially those within non-attainment areas are needed to reduce those numbers. 16 17 Even if we look at the Powerton 18 plant and it's eventual use of DSI in a complete 19 plant, we're likely getting about an 80 percent 2.0 reduction from uncontrolled levels. Even after 2.1 it has its most bare bones SO2 removal equipment 22 fully installed in all units, it will still be 23 the dirtiest way to generate electricity. 24 It will still be a huge source

August 4, 2015

Page 235

of global warming pollution that will continue
to accelerate in extreme weather events,
agricultural and economic health damage, worsen
air quality and lung health, in particular, by
increasing wild fire smoke, allergenic pollen and
ozone smog.

2.1

Respiratory Health Association remains concerned about allowing a 30-day rolling average to be used to determine compliance rather than an hourly average at Powerton. While others may make more detailed technical on this issue, we simply urge the Board to closely examine and to the greatest extent possible, require the shortest possible timeframe averaging in order to minimize the possibility of short-term spikes that would violate the SO2 health standard and exacerbate lung disease.

The ED Edwards plant doesn't have any SO2 scrubbing equipment installed nor has Dynegy proposed any plan to install that equipment. By requiring basically reasonably available control technology for this major source, it could be reduced by at least 80 percent or more.

August 4, 2015

Page 236

1 No coal plant built in the 2 last 30 years has been built without this type 3 of basic equipment. The numbers from the 4 Clean Air Task Force study that show the deaths, 5 the heart attacks, the asthma attacks from the 6 Edwards plants won't change if only allowable 7 emissions for the plant are restricted to what 8 it emits now. 9 The same number will get sick 10 and die. The only way to reduce the damage is to cut the pollution being emitted. Actual emissions 11 must be cut not what the plant hypothetically 12 13 emits. 14 We still believe that RACT 15 should be required on those plants because it 16 will be the single largest source of SO2 in the 17 peak and non-attainment area that Edwards coal 18 That plant has also self-reported hundreds 19 of violations to the Illinois EPA over the last several years. Adding modern SO2 scrubbing 20 2.1 equipment may also help address that problem.

As you hold this hearing a day after the US EPA finalized the Clean Power Plant Rule, which will dramatically increase the share

22

23

24

August 4, 2015

Page 237 1 of power from clean renewable sources between now 2 and 2030, coal power nationally is now projected to fall to providing 27 percent of our electricity 3 by 2030, nearly half of what it has provided in 4 5 recent history. 6 It's also clear that burning 7 less coal will bring quickly -- will quickly 8 bring health benefits to Illinois by reducing 9 sulfur emissions perhaps by as many as 2,100 lives saved between 2020 and 2030 as calculated 10 by researchers at Harvard University. 11 12 Lastly, on behalf of the well over a million people in Illinois dealing with 13 lung disease for which we advocate, my organization 14 15 wishes to thank the Board for recently denying 16 Dynegy the permission to sell excess SO2 credits 17 that would have resulted in the release of an 18 extra 60,000 tons of sulfur dioxide. That's 19 more than six times the annual amount emitted by the Dynegy Edwards power plant that we have 20 2.1 been discussing today. 22 For US EPA modeling, emissions 23 from sources in Florida, SO2 sources in Florida, 24 have a negative impact on air quality as far away

August 4, 2015

Page 238 1 as Ohio. So whether those 60,000 tons Dynegy wanted to sell were emitted elsewhere in Illinois 2 3 or in neighboring states, it would have worsened 4 air quality here and increased the number of 5 deaths and illnesses that now occur due to air 6 pollution. 7 Thank you again for the work 8 on this and we look forward to seeing the final 9 product. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 10 We have 11 a couple more names of people that I think have 12 already spoken, but I'll double-check. Joyce Harant spoke earlier. Gary Hall also spoke earlier. 13 14 Next, we have Kevin Cashmer. 15 MR. CASHMER: My name is Kevin 16 Cashmer, K-E-V-I-N, C-A-S-H-M-E-R. 17 Well, over 500 years ago when 18 the first Europeans came to this continent, they 19 were astonished that the indigenous people here 20 actually drank the water because the water in 2.1 Europe had been polluted 300 years prior to that. 22 That's 800 years. We've been warned for over 23 500 years that if we don't change the way we do 24 things, there will be irreversible repercussions

```
Page 239
1
     from it.
2
                       In regard to fossil fuels in
3
     general, I will give you a native perspective
4
     on that. What do we do with our dead? In the
5
     most basic terms, we put them in the ground.
6
     For what reason, to stop the spread of death
7
     and disease.
                       Where do fossil fuels come
8
9
     from and in what form? The ground. What do
     fossil fuels come from? Dead and decayed plant
10
     and animal matter from millions of years ago.
11
12
                       So what are we doing in reality
13
     if we're not digging and pumping death out of the
14
     ground in the two most basic elements necessary
15
     to sustain life?
16
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
17
     you.
18
                       We have next Robin Garlish.
19
                   MS. GARLISH: Robin, Garlish,
20
     R-O-B-I-N, G-A-R-L-I-S-H. I shouldn't be nervous
2.1
    by now, but I'm more nervous now.
22
                       First of all, I want to thank
23
     you for coming here. It shows that all of you
24
     care about my area. I don't know who planned
```

August 4, 2015

Page 240 1 this particular hearing here, but it means a lot 2 to us here. 3 Most mothers are working today that I know and can't be here. It's the first 4 5 time my daughter ever got up and spoke and it was hard for me to hear her because it reminded 6 7 me of when I performed child CPR on her when her 8 lips were blue and brought her back. It reminded 9 me of the week-long stays in the hospital. 10 It reminded me of every day at this age at 17 now, she is not only with an inhaler. 11 12 She takes Prednisone which is a steroid. She takes 13 Advair 100. My doctors give me a preventative since we have gone through this so many years. So we have 14 Advair 500 as soon as she starts coughing or the 15 16 black comes under her eyes. 17 We've never been able to keep 18 her into sports. This is happening with many, 19 many children in this town. Worldwide, we're already dealing with obesity and I shouldn't have 20 2.1 to move from here. I want my children to be 22 stable and I -- it just was really hard on me. 23 When I'm up here, I'm up here 24 as a mother first who has struggled and watched

August 4, 2015

Page 241 1 her to make sure she was breathing and the one time that I did look and she wasn't, thank God 2 3 I did. 4 I don't wish it on anybody. 5 don't wish people to lose their jobs. I believe 6 that the solar and wind energy -- my husband is 7 a union ironworker and these are union jobs 8 coming in. 9 I am also a member or an advocate 10 for Moms for Clean Air. It was -- it might not make sense to you, but I met thousands of mothers 11 from 18 other states now. We went to D.C. here 12 recently and spoke with some others and it doesn't 13 14 seem like we're on the same page here like we were 15 out there. 16 I don't know why -- you know, I 17 don't understand controversy when we're all trying 18 to keep good jobs, healthy jobs, and keep our 19 people healthy. I found a lot of today really 20 disconcerting. 2.1 I, this year, for the first 22 time, because I don't know we got to keep it 23 down, had started developing respiratory problems. 24 I'm from the middle of Iowa. I grew up around a

August 4, 2015

Page 242 1 bunch of corn. No allergies ever. I had to take the nebulizer and also to take the Prednisone, 2 3 which is a steroid. Also, I want to add there 4 are very large side effects of these for my 5 daughter possibly in the future. It's the choice 6 of that or the choice of possibly fatal -- not 7 living the life she's lived already. That nebulizer and that 8 9 Prednisone, that steroid, made me shake out of 10 my skin. My daughter doesn't know any different. She doesn't know any different. 11 12 This is the first time she spoke 13 because she couldn't figure out how to share with 14 people what it felt like. She is used to the 15 elephant sitting on her chest. She's used to that 16 commercial you see with the fish that's out of the 17 water. I didn't know that she didn't 18 19 know how to compare that to us that can breathe 20 and I -- they're troopers. I am also -- I was a 2.1 Girl Scout leader and a preschool teacher and the 22 kids all had these inhalers. You've got a lot of 23 attachments on yours. Lee Ann had that when she

was little. She doesn't need that anymore with

24

```
Page 243
1
     all the extensions, but different people breathe
2
     differently.
3
                       To these kids now that were
4
     sitting here today as just an example, them and
5
     all their friends, I'm very fortunate they hang
6
     out at my house. Some may say that's not
7
     fortunate, but I'm very lucky to have the children
8
     I do, they all carry inhalers.
9
                       I'm 56 years old. We didn't
10
     all carry inhalers. That is not the norm today.
     That should not be the norm. I mean, it is the
11
     norm today and it should not be the norm. That
12
13
    makes no sense to me.
                       I have a lot to say. I just
14
15
     wish people wouldn't play with our lives. I know
16
     there is a good solution and I personally am
17
     always looking for the solution. So on all sides,
18
     can we, I ask, find a solution? Make people
     healthier. I'm probably up. I have more to say.
19
     I'm disappointed.
20
2.1
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
22
     you. As I mentioned earlier, too, if you have
23
     additional comments, you are also welcome to file
24
     written comments to the Board too. Thank you for
```

```
Page 244
1
     your time.
2
                       Next, we have Joyce Blumenshine.
3
                   MS. BLUMENSHINE: My name is Joyce
4
     Blumenshine, J-O-Y-C-E, B-L-U-M-E-N-S-H-I-N-E.
5
                       Thank you to Illinois Pollution
6
     Control Board and to IEPA.
                                 I really appreciate
7
     the discussion today.
8
                       I must say as a citizen, I am
9
     very concerned. I grew up Tazewell County.
10
     lived in Pekin two years. I am currently chair
     of Heart of Illinois Sierra Club. I'm here
11
12
     speaking for Heart of Illinois Sierra Club.
13
     are a coalition member with a Central Illinois
     Healthy Community Alliance and I still don't
14
15
     understand.
16
                       And I do apologize because it's
17
     been a long discussion about Powerton that even
18
     though the prescription from IEPA will say tons
19
     of sulfur dioxide, I don't hear how it's going
2.0
     to save a life that within a one-hour spike of
2.1
     SO2 pollution might result in asthma emergency, a
22
     heart attack or COPD. I don't hear that and I
23
     apologize if I fail to understand.
24
                       I'm not technician, but I
```

August 4, 2015

Page 245 1 still would like to ask this Board to look at 2 the one-hour spike because even if you average 3 and you said 3,000 tons of sulfur total, what 4 happens to the individuals here for decades? 5 You've heard this before, we 6 are in a river valley that holds in air pollution 7 and for decades we've had this pollution. is a directive that we can have better air and I 8 9 would be glad that the state averaging and the 10 regional averaging would take out tons of sulfur, but if it doesn't reduce the one-hour spikes, 11 12 what does it mean to the individuals here? 13 I just think that's a question 14 that we hope that you will answer in favor of the 15 people and in favor of the over \$3,300 or more 16 that individuals spend for asthma medications 17 and all the costs that the public has born for 18 decades. It's time to change that equation. 19 While it may have a chilling 20 affect on corporations that volunteer to change 2.1 from coal to gas, which it sounds really 22 commendable, I don't wonder that it might also, 23 as was discussed earlier, give them some extra 24 advantages on their bottom corporate line which,

August 4, 2015

Page 246 1 of course, that's what they're after. That's 2 what they're all about. They're an investor 3 They're making money off of this. 4 think that equation needs to change in the direction of fairness too. 5 We need good jobs and clean 6 7 We have a cleaner energy future being 8 promoted for our country. Let's latch on to 9 Let's get the regulations that help move 10 this along for Illinois. This is a key part of that. 11 12 I urge you please to go for 13 the one-hour and the overall improvement and 14 what we haven't heard enough about today is the 15 ED Edwards plant because that affects me most 16 closely and my friends in the Hollis Township. 17 And I wonder how it is that 18 corporation can go decades and decades without 19 the pollution controls and now there is no 20 timeline, there is no prescription for the right 2.1 and necessary upgrades to best technology. 22 need to ask you please to be sure that is included

Thank you again for your patience

23

24

about Edwards.

```
Page 247
1
             Thank you for being here in Pekin.
                                                  We look
2
     forward to your decision.
3
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
4
     you.
5
                       Next we have Tracy Fox followed
6
     by Frank Hubble.
7
                   MS. FOX: My name is Tracy Fox.
8
     I'm a member of Peoria Families Against Toxic
9
     Waste, another coalition partner of the Central
10
     Illinois Healthy Community Alliance.
11
                       I'm here today as an unpaid
12
     volunteer to talk about some of my concerns with
13
     the changes that are being discussed.
                       First of all, I would like to
14
15
     raise an issue related to public involvement. I
16
     find it very disconcerting that on January 9th of
17
     this year, and that would be a month-and-a-half
18
     practically before the first release of these
19
     adjusted standards on February 17th, a memorandum
20
     of agreement between Dynegy and the Illinois EPA
2.1
     was released to the public.
22
                       It was greeted with much fanfare
23
     in the media and heralded as a massive reduction
24
     in Dynegy's polluting footprint. My group, as well
```

August 4, 2015

Page 248

1 as the Central Illinois Healthy Community Alliance, 2 spent quite a long time looking at the agreement 3 and finally understood that, in fact, not one 4 piece of sulfur dioxide was being decreased. 5 Instead, it was a 90 percent reduction in 6 allowables, which was disappointing to us because 7 we know that the permits have been oversized for decades. 8 9 I don't know if it's the people 10 in this room or others at the Illinois EPA or people at the US EPA who are to blame, but I know 11 12 the permits haven't been updated. They do not 13 reflect current pollution levels. So we are left 14 with, you know, a great heralded announcement that 15 means absolutely nothing to people attempting to 16 breathe in the Illinois River valley. 17

But as I read over the memorandum of agreement, I was most disconcerted when I got to the end of it where the Illinois EPA promises in writing that they are going to look out for Dynegy's interest through these proceedings and I quote directly Illinois EPA -- talking about Illinois EPA, insert brackets here, agrees to use best efforts to support SO2 reductions for the

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

August 4, 2015

Page 249 1 Edwards station that are no more stringent than 2 agreed to in the MOA. 3 As a citizen, this really I do not believe that that is intent 4 upsets me. 5 of the Clean Air Act. I do not believe that the 6 Illinois EPA was acting within their authority 7 and I believe that you, as the Illinois Pollution 8 Control Board, should be outraged by this. 9 Now, I don't know where the 10 modeling fell in all of this and who released what and certainly, as a citizen of a small kitchen 11 12 table environmental group, I am not privy to the 13 models and appendices and I have no opportunity to 14 submit jump drives and get additional information, 15 but certainly from my perspective, the existence 16 of this MOA absolutely cuts the public out of 17 the equation altogether as well as all of the 18 environmental groups because that announcement, 19 that public heralding of Dynegy's great achievement, 20 came to as a surprise to all of us. If the Board is not familiar 2.1 22 with this, I would be happy to submit this document 23 as part of my testimony.

The second thing is that I have

24

August 4, 2015

Page 250

some experience with modeling myself. I am a technical writer, so I'm not a modeler, but I find it very disconcerting the way that these models are being presented and there is no effective summary of what was done or how it worked whatsoever. No attempt was made to include that sort of information so that the public can begin to understand.

2.1

I read through and I thought a lot about, hey, they're going to use this Potomac data because they don't have the Trona controls on the Powerton unit and that sounds very reasonable, but I didn't understand why Mr. Bloomberg was so reluctant and so unwilling to talk about using historic data to validate the model.

Once he used some historic data and said, hey, my model is capable of projecting what happened in 1995 and 2005, et cetera, but then he would take the data from the Powerton unit and plug that into the model, he would know his ratio that he was using to set everything up and he could say this is all hunky-dory and it worked. But instead he tells me over and over that it takes him a full week to run the modeling.

August 4, 2015

Page 251 1 Now, in my experience, every 2 modeling team I've ever worked with, each iteration 3 got shorter because you're culling out the junk 4 and you're focusing on the numbers that you need 5 to know. You know, so I just find this whole explanation of modeling to be -- in many 6 7 ways I feel like they're using it as an excuse. 8 The other thing that I 9 never found anywhere in the document, and I admit, 10 you know, I did not have hours and hours to page through this, is I didn't see any competence levels, 11 any discussion of variability. I mean, typically, 12 13 the teams I've worked with, when they get results 14 out of the model, there are certain results at a 99 percent competence level, results at a 99.5 15 16 percent competence level, et cetera, et cetera. 17 Nowhere in the documentation available to the public 18 did I find any of that. 19 Furthermore, usually the way 20 that -- and I'm going to use manufacturing 2.1 tolerance as an example because it's really easy 22 to understand. If you're out of tolerance on 15 23 parts that you're trying to screw together, your 24 problems get worse and worse the more things you

August 4, 2015

Page 252 1 have out of tolerance. So a lot of times I will 2 pick the three to five most important things and 3 I'll focus the modeling on those, but then I 4 have a plan. I say when I get to that area 5 where I'm just at this edge, I'm going to have 6 a backup plan to look at all these other parts. 7 Again, there doesn't seem to be any reactivity 8 and maybe that's part of the attainment 9 demonstration. Although I don't really think it should be. I consider an attainment demonstration 10 something a little different. 11 12 You know, but I think you, 13 Illinois Pollution Control Board, you need to 14 press your internal experts to really go over 15 and say process-wise, procedure-wise, does this 16 testimony really make sense or are we just hiding 17 behind modeling because we want to implement 18 something we already rubber stamped in January and 19 that unfortunately is where I'm left and what I 2.0 believe. 2.1 A few other comments specific 22 to the Edwards plant, I find it very disappointing 23 that a plant that is the number one polluter in 24 Peoria County by any measure still continues to

August 4, 2015

Page 253 1 run without any perceptible reduction in the actual amount of SO2 emitting. I find that abominable that 2 3 Illinois EPA could not find some way to give relief to our community who has suffered under 4 5 this plant for 50-plus years. You know, when I look at the 6 7 allowables, in the 90 percent reduction, I'm happy 8 that the permits have been right-sized. I think 9 all permits should be right-sized on automated 10 basis and have to appeal if they are not, but unfortunately, that's not the way the law is 11 written, but unfortunately, the emissions are still 12 13 below what the permitted levels are. 14 So, you know, they can increase 15 their emissions under this scenario and still be 16 within what Illinois EPA feels is hunky-dory for 17 Peoria County. 18 I don't really know what the 19 longstanding envy against our hometown is, but it 20 does seems like we are always the last in line for any sort of Pollution Control Board improvements. 2.1 22 The other thing is I don't 23 understand the specifics of how this is set up. 24 They've got a grouping of stacks one and two and

August 4, 2015

Page 254

those have to be considered together and stack
three separately until they got to the point where
only stack three is left running. And what I
find curious is that if both one and two close,
stack three is actually allowed to increase it's
SO2 output to the 4,000 and that doesn't make
sense to me.

2.1

If I take the whole station, yes, it's a little bit less, but why isn't it less by 2,100, the amount from using stack one and stack two? I mean, they just makes some great leaps of assumption and I assume they thought that would okay for Peoria. That place is a polluted hellhole anyway. You know, it's disappointing to continue to come to these hearings and feel like that's the way that we're viewed.

You know, in the end, I almost disappointed to see the Illinois EPA recommend allowing NRG to renege on the CPS. I feel like those agreements were hammered out in 2006 and 2007. You know, in good faith, the environmental community said if you do the Mercury controls, it's going to allow you to put off doing some of sulfur things and I sat through variances requested by NRG,

August 4, 2015

Page 255 1 variances requested by Dynegy having to do with a fleet-wide SO2 things and now this, they want to 2 3 roll, you know, this change from Will County to 4 Joliet into this. 5 I just feel like, you know, it 6 may have a chilling effect on company's willingness 7 to come up with voluntary things, which from a 8 Peoria perspective, it don't amount to anything 9 at all, but I also think you need to consider it's going to have a chilling impact on public input to 10 just throw out these longstanding agreements that 11 12 people have waited for years and years for pollution improvement in their neighborhoods, not pollution 13 improvements across the state. 14 15 I hope that this Board will look 16 carefully at the work that the Illinois EPA has 17 done, will consider the fact that it's already 18 three months late and ask them to do things 19 correctly, provide a real venue for public input 20 and start tackling the hard work of actually 2.1 cleaning up Illinois' air. 22 Thank you and thank you for the 23 indulgence of my extra time. 24 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank

```
Page 256
 1
     you.
 2
                       Next we have Frank Hubble.
 3
                   UNIDENTIFIABLE SPEAKER: He had to
 4
     go.
 5
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
 6
     you.
 7
                       I believe the next two people
 8
     spoke earlier; Larry Jones and Jo Lakota.
 9
                       So next we have Doug Vougvtas.
                   MR. VOUGVTAS: Good afternoon and
10
     welcome to Pekin. My name is Doug Vougvtas,
11
12
     V-O-U-G-V-T-A-S.
13
                       I'm here today to represent the
14
     International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
15
     Local 15. Our members work at Powerton. We work
16
     with Will County, Joliet, pretty much all the
17
     generating stations across Illinois.
18
                       Many of our members are working
19
             We've got a couple handfuls of people left,
20
     but we work 24/7. So they've got to work tonight
     and this afternoon.
2.1
22
                       I've personally lived in Pekin
23
     the last 50 years. My whole family lived in Pekin.
24
     My parents are still -- thank God, still healthy
```

August 4, 2015

Page 257 1 and alive in their late 70s. I've got a son, 2 brother. So all of our families are pretty much 3 still around here. I've worked at Powerton for 4 5 28 years, but what I want to touch on today real briefly was over 130 people who do work on Powerton 6 7 and that's not counting multiple contractors, as 8 someone mentioned earlier, the ironworkers, the 9 scaffolders, et cetera. 10 A lot of those guys are hunters, 11 fisherman, pretty much what a lot of people 12 consider the original environmentalists. 13 live in this area. They work at that station. 14 They have their children here, their grandchildren. I know most of them from my 28 years at the station. 15 I know their parents. They aren't going to do that 16 17 they could -- they'll do anything that they can 18 not to harm them. 19 Powerton's top two priorities 20 are pretty much safety and the environment. station has continued to spend -- has and continues 2.1 22 to spend hundreds of millions of dollars on 23 pollution control equipment. They'll do just 24 about anything they can that's financially

August 4, 2015

Page 258 1 responsible to reduce their emissions. 2 They have been working with the 3 Illinois EPA for the last year and a half -- or 4 for approximately the last year on this rule and 5 we do support it as written and we would like to 6 Illinois Pollution Control Board also support it. 7 Thanks for your time. 8 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 9 you. There are two names left on the 10 11 sign-in sheet; Dale Green followed by Mark Bannaken. 12 MR. GREEN: My name is Dale Green, 13 D-A-L-E, G-R-E-E-N. 14 I just want to thank you. 15 The conversation that took place today helps us understand what's going on. I have lived in this 16 17 area my entire life and most of our employees that 18 are here today have also. We enjoy the outdoors. 19 We have a vested interest in making sure that our 20 environment is as safe as it possibly can be. 2.1 We do support the rule as it 22 is written for the SO2. Under the CPS, we have 23 drastically reduced our emissions over the last 24 few years. It shows our willingness and our

August 4, 2015

Page 259 1 commitment to the environment. 2 We do care about the environment. 3 We care about where we work, how we work and how 4 that affects the community where we operate and 5 we want to make sure that we first operate safety 6 and that we are environmentally responsible in the 7 community where we operate. 8 With that said, we are installing 9 additional equipment next year, which will be 10 operational at the end of next year that does further support the rule here that we discussed 11 12 today. 13 So thank you for your time. 14 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank 15 you. 16 Next, we have Mark Bannaken. 17 MR. BANNAKEN: Yes. My name is Mark 18 Bannaken, M-A-R-K, B-A-N-N-A-K-E-N. 19 I also have lived in this area 20 all my life. I have lived in Delavan, which is a 2.1 few miles south of here. I raised my family there. 22 I was on the Board for the United Way here in Pekin 23 for a while and currently the vice-president for 24 the Delavan school board.

August 4, 2015

Page 260 1 I just want to say that Powerton 2 employees and the company are working very hard 3 every day to reduce our emissions. Starting back 4 in the '70s, we switched to -- the late '70s about 5 the time I hired in, we switched to low-sulfur coal and from there on, just every year it seems 6 7 like we are doing more and more to reduce our emissions. 8 9 We are spending a lot of money 10 in the next couple years here for -- on the back end controls and I just want the Board to know 11 12 that we support the Illinois EPA and their rulings. 13 So thanks. HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: 14 Thank 15 you. That's the end of the crowd 16 17 who signed in. Was there anyone else here today 18 who wished to offer any public comment? 19 Ms. Bugel? 20 MS. BUGEL: I actually have one 2.1 last question for the Agency. 22 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Can we 23 just finish with public comments and then we will 24 come back?

```
Page 261
1
                   MS. BUGEL:
                               Sure.
2
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
3
     you.
                       Any other -- yes, Ms. Garlish?
4
5
                   MS. GARLISH:
                                 I have -- I was
     involved in a teleconference with the -- would
6
7
     it have been the IEPA or the Illinois Pollution
8
     Control Board, I'm not sure who it was, but they
9
     had given me the locations of the air monitors,
     one on Jefferson Street in Peoria at the fire
10
     department and also one in Pekin on Derby Street
11
12
     at the fire department.
13
                           I went the first time to
14
     check it out because I had never seen one before
15
     and it was interesting. The reading -- it was --
16
     I don't know. It was right around 60 point
17
     something. I'm new to the ppb's and all of this
18
     stuff.
19
                       I was there last week and it
20
     was 78 point something and when I tried to look
2.1
     at these things online, I can't find them.
22
     I look for things like that little monitoring, I
23
     couldn't find them available to me three years
24
     ago when I started realizing my gut instincts
```

```
Page 262
1
     were true about when my daughter goes outdoors,
     she can't breathe. When she comes into controlled
2
3
     breathing, she can breathe.
4
                       And so I'm wondering how come
5
     none of this was presented? Because now, they
     won't let me in. Now, the firemen told me they
6
7
     don't want to get in trouble. So they said they
8
     want to talk to somebody, I quess, with your Board
9
     to make sure that I can come in and check it out and
10
     read the monitor.
                       But I just -- I wonder -- I
11
12
     just want to make that last comment. I am
13
     checking them. I'm trying to figure them out.
     I know the 78 was pretty high and it was a pretty
14
     high day and Aventine, who hasn't been brought
15
16
     up a lot, had a lot of black stuff coming out,
17
     too, along with the Edwards plant.
                       I think these should be also
18
19
     offered to the public whether it be online or --
     you know, that possibly would be easier online
20
     than an air alert or something like that.
2.1
22
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                Thank
23
     you.
24
                       Were there any other public
```

August 4, 2015

Page 263 1 comments? 2 Before we move on, I just want 3 to say thank you to everyone that came out to 4 offer public comment or also to those who did 5 not speak but came out. I know it's been a 6 long day. We appreciate you coming out and 7 saying what you have to say or just participating 8 in the public hearing. So thank you all for your time. 9 10 Ms. Bugel, you had a question? 11 MS. BUGEL: Thank you. Hopefully, 12 this will be quick. 13 I noticed that the Board's 14 Question 69 talked about development of IEPA's 15 proposed revision based on Midwest Gen's proposal 16 and I don't deny that Sierra Club and other groups 17 were included in the outreach after the draft rule 18 was written, but did the Agency reach out to any 19 of the environmental groups that were part of the negotiation of the CPS and the NPS to include them 20 2.1 in discussions with Midwest Gen when Midwest Gen 22 was renegotiating the CPS? 23 MR. BLOOMBERG: Those negotiations 24 were done under a confidential situation because

```
Page 264
1
     Midwest Generation had not announced those, I
2
     believe, and I could be wrong about this, but I
3
     believe even to stockholders because they needed
4
     to make sure first that the negotiations would
5
     work or rather that they would reach attainment.
6
                       As I said in my testimony, if
7
     we had seen that reductions were necessary on
8
     Will County 4, then there would have been no
     point in going forward were that aspect of the
9
10
     negotiation.
                       So after that was done, after
11
12
     they made their announcement, that was when, you
13
     know, it was publicly available, but that's still
14
     all part of the rule development process.
15
                   MS. BUGEL:
                               Thank you.
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Thank
16
17
     you.
18
                   MR. BLOOMBERG: Since this is the
19
     last hearing, I hope you wouldn't mind if I just
20
     mentioned a couple things in response to a couple
2.1
     of the comments?
22
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON:
                                                That's
23
     fine.
            Thank you.
24
                   MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                   I know everyone just
```

August 4, 2015

Page 265

1 | wants to be here longer.

2.1

In response to the Dynegy MOA, it was not a rubber stamp, as the commenter said. In fact, there was quite a lot of modeling that went into it before those limits were agreed upon and those limits were put in the MOA before they went into the rule because Dynegy wanted to lock in and say, look, we're definitely making these reductions.

So we already knew at that point from that level of modeling what the numbers would be, what it could be, and had gone back and forth with Dynegy in order to ensure that attainment would be modeled in that area.

Also, regarding the modeling,

I'm not sure what the commenter was talking about
when the modeling, but I suspect that it was a

very different type of modeling than we do. I

can assure you can it takes quite a long time,

no matter how many iterations we are into it.

Regarding the question on the monitors, I wanted to answer her, but she's out there. Regarding the questions on those monitors, they are on -- I'm going to take your word that

August 4, 2015

Page 266 1 they are on fire department property. I don't know off the top of my head where they are. 2 3 such, it would be up to fire department whether or not you can go on that property or where it 4 5 The Pollution Control Board would have nothing to do with that. It is Illinois EPA monitors. 6 7 And, you know, generally they 8 are in a place where they are safe, you know, 9 sometimes fenced off. Quite frankly, sometimes they're on roofs. They're dangerous to get to. 10 So that may have been more of a consideration for 11 I don't know. I can't speak for them. 12 them. 13 one has ever asked me about that. 14 And as far as where you can get 15 the numbers, those numbers, I believe, are recorded 16 in US EPA's air quality system. I don't know the 17 exact -- you know, the exact domain name or web URL 18 that. 19 The logbook -- the MS. GARLISH: last recorded entry in the logbook, and this was 20 not on the monitor, the monitor looks like a 2.1 22 stereo system, like a Yamaha stereo system, and 23 there's a tube going up apparently, you know, 24 obviously in the roof, but the log -- the last

August 4, 2015

Page 267 1 date on the log-in was June of 2011 at that 2 particular book on that particular air monitor 3 model. It is on just a shelf because it is like 4 a stereo receiver. 5 MR. BLOOMBERG: I'm not sure what 6 logbook you're talking about. The operators of 7 the monitor should fill out, you know, entries 8 when they're there doing testing and, you know, 9 I have no reason to believe that it's been four 10 years since they have been there. I'm fairly certain I would know about that if it were. 11 12 MS. GARLISH: It probably wouldn't 13 be running, I agree. It was somebody from the 14 Illinois EPA that gave me permission and gave me 15 the locations. I don't remember offhand, but I 16 would like to find out about getting permission. 17 Actually, the last time I took the high schoolers 18 with me -- and it was very interesting, this has 19 been educational for them and hopefully this will 20 be healthier for them at some point. 2.1 MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay. 22 HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Again, 23 thank you all for your time. 24 We are going to start wrapping

```
Page 268
1
              Does anyone have any closing statements
2
     today?
3
                       Seeing none, we have just
4
     one housekeeping item to discuss, which again
5
     we mentioned at the last two hearings.
                       The Board did request that the
6
7
     Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity,
8
     abbreviated DCEO, perform an economic impact study
9
     on the Agency's proposal.
10
                       DCEO responded that it was unable
     to do so and copies of these letters are available
11
12
     on the Board's website.
13
                       Are there any comments on the
14
     Board, or DCEO, letters at this time?
15
                       Seeing none, we are just going
16
     to go off the record for a minute to discuss filing
17
     deadlines. Let's go off the record. Thank you.
18
                        (Whereupon, a discussion
19
                        was had off the record.)
                   HEARING OFFICER ROBERTSON: Let's
20
2.1
     go back on the record now. Thank you.
22
                       So we were off the record now
23
     just to discuss filing deadlines. The Board has
24
     requested that the Agency file an updated version
```

	Page 269
1	of its proposal following the Agency's amendments
2	and responses to questions.
3	The hearing transcript should
4	hopefully be in by Friday, August 7th in the
5	morning, possibly earlier.
6	The Agency will be filing its
7	proposal and responses to the Board's August 3rd,
8	2015, hearing officer order with Board questions.
9	The Agency will be filing its
10	responses and updated proposal by August 14th.
11	Public comments and post-hearing
12	comments for today's hearing will be due, and final
13	comments are due, August 28th and responses to those
14	comments will be due September 11th.
15	Does any members of the Board or
16	Board staff have any final comments to make? Any
17	other final comments?
18	Again, thank you all for coming
19	out today. We appreciate your time and with that,
20	this hearing is adjourned. Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, the proceedings
22	in the above-entitled cause
23	were adjourned.)
24	

```
Page 270
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
                            SS.
 3
     COUNTY OF C O O K
 4
 5
 6
                        I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR,
 7
     do hereby state that I am a court reporter doing
 8
     business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook,
 9
     and State of Illinois; that I reported by means
10
     of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the
11
     foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true
12
     and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
13
     taken as aforesaid.
14
15
16
17
                            Lori Ann Asauskas, CSR, RPR.
18
                            Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
```

August 4, 2015

				1 age 271
	51:5 89:24	113:20 148:2	221:18 222:1	192:8 229:11
A	accounts 152:12	154:21 169:7,9	222:12 223:24	advocacy 234:1
A-N-N 177:15	Accuracy 216:3	172:12 183:6	236:21	advocate 237:14
A.D 1:18	achievable	189:1 236:11	addressed 16:24	241:9
a.m 1:18	147:18	253:1	18:11 19:6	affect 109:18
abbreviated	achieve 82:8	actuals 168:9	20:19 76:16	118:4 119:23
268:8				155:1 245:20
Abby 2:18 104:3	109:14,19 111:22 112:2	171:24 172:2,5 172:9	181:15	
ability 171:7			adequate 42:15	aforesaid 270:13
173:11	112:22 154:6,8	Adams 2:16	168:3 209:24	afternoon 159:7
able 6:22 30:16	achieved 78:15	add 40:3 69:8	adjourned	159:9 218:20
77:3 84:1,3	110:9 113:18	79:2,16 112:20	269:20,23	256:10,21
109:14,15,19	achievement	191:12 202:24	adjusted 186:18	age 175:22
156:23 216:1	80:5 249:19	242:3	220:4 223:4	240:11
240:17	acknowledged	add-on 189:23	247:19	agency 2:11,15
abominable	7:5,5 181:19	added 233:16	adjustment	3:3,7,8,9,15,16
253:2	ACLU 102:6	adding 25:24	184:7,10,14	3:17,18 5:11
above-entitled	acquisition	40:9 69:4	185:21 186:7	5:18 6:11,12
269:22	91:18	236:20	186:20 187:2	8:4,15,18,18
above-quoted	acronyms 7:15	addition 19:4	187:20	8:21 9:10,11
221:3	act 5:2 8:19 9:3	41:12 45:8	adjustments	9:16,17 10:2
absolutely 31:10	9:9,15 105:15	172:13 207:1	185:16	13:22 14:15,21
38:12 248:15	115:20 195:19	additional 5:17	ADM 1:5	15:4,5,12,18
249:16	201:22 232:24	5:18 6:11	Administrative	15:23 16:6,7
accelerate 235:2	249:5	15:12,15 17:16	4:11 9:4,5	16:11,12,21
accept 40:8	acting 117:18,22	34:16 35:14	221:20 222:5	17:11,22 18:1
acceptable	117:23 249:6	46:2,22 60:1	admission 11:21	18:4,6,16,19
127:16 151:24	action 53:8,12	68:12 79:22	133:14	21:6 22:8
184:15 207:18	83:7,20 102:9	103:21,21	admit 251:9	28:24 29:19
accepting 12:24	133:7 176:20	134:13 135:18	admitted 3:14	30:3,12 32:15
access 60:2	177:11	141:2 148:8	178:21,24	34:5,7,15 35:5
216:1,2	actions 56:8	158:20 180:1	193:24 208:7	35:13 36:1
accomplish	active 105:12	188:12 193:23	adopted 102:24	38:6,17,21
155:16	175:12	195:23 205:19	135:10 136:12	45:24 81:11
accomplishing	activist 102:8	205:24 206:22	197:15,17,22	123:8 124:18
188:24	actual 23:22	208:3 216:7	199:4 213:20	125:4 127:12
account 21:16	31:4,20 38:11	221:4 230:21	adopting 23:19	134:14 135:17
73:1,2 102:21	38:13 43:24	230:22 233:14	209:2,6	136:8 137:13
146:2 155:13	50:21 60:13	233:17 243:23	adopts 208:24	137:18,21
188:21 194:5	70:12 72:4	249:14 259:9	adult 177:22	138:4 140:11
209:5	76:10,13 77:5	additionally	Advair 240:13	140:17,20,24
accountability	77:17 78:3,5	7:14 190:1,13	240:15	141:4 143:11
131:12	80:2 83:8,20	address 69:17	advantages	143:15 148:4,4
accounted 194:9	85:6 94:1	170:23 183:15	245:24	149:24 158:22
accounting	103:12 107:22	184:18 197:3	advisable 199:1	170:20 172:18
21:14 33:4	108:1,16	200:2 201:24	advised 46:1	176:18 180:7,7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

August 4, 2015

				1 age 272
180:22,24	160:5 181:12	229:16,19,20	155:16 162:10	253:2 254:10
181:8,11,13,15	187:19 201:5	229:21 232:24	168:17 169:17	255:8
181:16,23	220:8 222:8	234:10 235:4	169:18 186:21	amounts 142:20
182:3,5,11,21	238:17 239:11	236:4 237:24	187:22 188:11	ample 198:18
183:4,23 184:9	261:24	238:4,5 241:10	191:22 193:14	Anad 2:9 4:19
184:16 186:1	agree 30:17 40:2	245:6,8 249:5	196:22 236:6	analyses 157:19
186:10,14,16	45:1 86:5 90:9	255:21 261:9	allowables	209:19
186:23 187:9	92:4 158:20	262:21 266:16	109:9,10	analysis 17:20
187:10,12	213:19 214:4	267:2	124:21 148:12	19:21 23:5
188:18 190:9	232:21 267:13	airspace 105:9	168:9 172:13	34:6 42:15
190:13 191:7	agreed 186:24	alert 262:21	248:6 253:7	66:12 85:16
191:10 192:9	191:10,15	alerts 229:15,16	allowed 168:20	107:24 108:4
194:12 196:20	249:2 265:5	229:19,20,21	254:5	135:4 156:18
197:1,11,18	agreement	Alisa 4:19	allowing 55:16	169:14
198:3,5,8,11	101:6 247:20	alive 257:1	56:14 234:2	analyze 40:13
198:13,21,22	248:2,18	allergenic 235:5	235:8 254:19	analyzed 38:4
199:1,6,11,15	agreements 93:2	allergies 242:1	alluded 26:9	analyzes 197:23
199:17 202:10	254:20 255:11	Allgire 2:18	alternate 186:10	and/or 157:14
203:12 205:7,9	agrees 248:23	47:1 104:3,4	alternative	200:5
205:14,15,19	agricultural	104:13,15	156:11,12	Andrew 11:11
206:23 208:7	195:11 235:3	165:24 166:1	altogether	132:24 134:4
214:14 217:18	Agriculture	214:20,21	249:17	animal 239:11
218:15 223:20	196:6	Alliance 98:15	ambient 59:8	animals 98:2
230:12 234:6	ahead 10:5	102:10 175:10	71:17 185:17	209:11
260:21 263:18	46:17 159:6	228:13 244:14	203:10 208:24	Ann 1:14 177:14
268:24 269:6,9	aid 190:18	247:10 248:1	209:6,21	232:2 242:23
Agency's 5:4,23	air 10:9,10,11	Allison 174:18	215:18	270:6,17
10:9 41:5,7	10:13,15 15:21	allow 26:18 27:1	amended 59:22	announced
42:14 43:10	51:23 55:21	42:7 55:17,24	106:23	192:10,17
44:13 45:6	59:6,8 71:17	103:4 169:16	amending	264:1
46:14 135:2,11	99:9,9 101:11	231:14 254:23	223:14	announcement
135:13 143:14	136:5 154:10	allowable 31:3	amendments 1:5	177:1 248:14
145:11 183:21	158:3 168:13	72:20,21 76:3	4:10 184:1	249:18 264:12
189:14,16	170:1,14	76:7 77:2,16	186:7 189:15	annual 186:20
190:8 191:21	175:16 176:10	78:5,8,8 79:11	190:1,14	188:11 191:18
193:16 194:21	176:24 178:8	79:15,19,21,24	192:18,19,23	203:20 204:16
196:17 198:10	180:16,17	80:21,22 81:1	269:1	204:16 205:7
198:17,20	185:18 188:13	81:15 82:8,12	Ameren 101:14	205:13 237:19
200:13,22	188:14 190:19	82:19 93:19,24	101:15	annually 190:12
201:17 268:9	199:18,20	112:10,11,14	America 102:7	190:17 221:16
269:1	201:22 203:11	112:19 113:5	amount 24:13	answer 18:18
ago 14:6 69:12	203:15,16	113:22 127:12	24:14 50:24	30:15 32:9
79:1 134:24	208:24 209:6	128:7 147:4,5	106:10 143:6	38:14 44:16
138:10 141:9	209:21 215:18	147:5 151:2	153:10 162:11	50:13 51:8
148:3 152:17	228:12 229:15	152:3 153:5	164:23 237:19	58:24 62:21

August 4, 2015

1 02 15 04 2 2 6 1 4 1 20 14 1 40 6 124 24 1 1 100 15 1 00 14 06	2.0
83:15 84:2,3,6 Appeared 2:14 40:6 134:24 aside 108:15 88:14 89) :8
90:7 92:23 2:19,24 142:13 165:13 asked 6:5 18:8 91:6	
113:1 115:3 appears 139:3 187:4 222:22 29:2,11,19 Association Association	
126:10 143:13 183:13 220:10 258:4 30:9,18 47:19 233:22 2	234:5
149:7 181:4 appendices April 160:11 78:2 79:1,3,15 235:7	
207:6,10 138:15,17 area 31:24 33:13 80:24 116:19 assume 91	
212:16 220:6 139:2 141:16 33:19 38:9 120:4,9 125:9 155:20 1	
220:15 221:2 141:19 167:23 55:16 56:19,21 125:11 126:13 192:3 25	-
224:5 225:20 168:4 249:13 56:24 57:3,7,9 127:2 130:5 assumed 2	22:1
226:3,10 Appendix 58:6 61:10,15 131:4,5,17,18 149:3	
245:14 265:22 184:19 78:23 84:23 138:16 143:24 assuming	34:17
answered 5:12 applaud 176:24 85:10,19 90:5 148:4 159:11 60:2 105	5:14
45:9 128:14 application 96:11 103:1 160:2,15 122:13 1	142:12
152:10 154:12 161:15,20 120:8 123:18 161:10 181:5 assumption	on 51:4
181:23 227:19 applies 71:18 128:20,21 182:16 183:1 110:12 1	164:8
answering 41:16 apply 63:18 71:5 137:10 153:16 183:11 184:17 164:14 2	254:12
93:4 126:18 210:9 153:20 154:4 210:2 266:13 assumption	ons
answers 36:4,10 applying 102:3 158:16 163:5,6 asking 15:21 20:3 89:	14
anticipate 212:9 appointed 4:8 171:7,11 28:15 29:18 113:21	127:18
anticipated appreciate 175:15,18 36:24 38:2 128:1 14	48:19
219:22 83:14 90:4 177:17 191:9 45:11,18 85:1 assurance	e 41:18
anticipating 97:24 103:20 191:14 193:21 94:4 124:1 111:3 11	18:9
116:9 174:2 244:6 199:22 200:14 125:11 129:5,7 assurance	es
anybody 131:4 263:6 269:19 202:6 207:14 129:11 131:15 121:5	
134:2 228:4 appreciates 215:8,10 131:16,17,20 assure 32:	:12
241:4 174:2 234:5 217:14 219:16 131:21 142:21 33:9 110	
anymore 49:24 approach 155:6 224:13 229:22 143:2 147:9 113:17 2	
242:24 155:13 229:22 232:16 148:1 152:22 asterisk 1	
anyplace 100:24 appropriate 236:17 239:24 156:1 157:6 asthma 10	
anyway 232:6 18:11 114:16 252:4 257:13 164:24 170:12 102:23 1	
254:14 120:17 197:19 258:17 259:19 178:6 177:24 1	
apologize 4:3 appropriately 265:14 asks 35:22 202:20,2	
10:6 35:13 117:13 areas 19:14 asparagus 96:19 203:2,2,	
59:13 131:23 approvable 21:13 38:8,8 aspect 43:5 228:19 2	
133:15 174:8 187:16 155:14 163:8 198:10 203:15 236:5 24	
179:6 244:16 approval 118:1 189:20 200:21 229:8 264:9 245:16	. 1.41
244:23 119:10 184:13 203:13 208:10 assertions 197:7 asthmatic	•
apologizing 198:14 208:12,21 assess 181:9 131:14 1	
180:19 approve 135:24 208:12,21 assess 181.9 131:14 180:19 177:17 208:12,21 assist 212:11 177:17 208:12,21 209:22 234:15 209:22	
Tr	
apparently 189:3 197:13 Argonne 122:15 assistant 8:13 ASTM 19 149:22 194:4 approved 43:10 argued 193:4,23 14:1 astonished	
	u
appear 105:20 105:4 Asauskas 1:14 assists 85:9 atmosphe	re
108:23 approximately 270:6,17 associated 23:18 115:18	

August 4, 2015

				Tage 274
attachment	198:1,6,11,23	143:11,12,21	18:15 21:5,11	80:18 89:9
80:18 107:3,11	199:1,9,12,19	167:4,5 180:11	25:2,6,11	94:14 95:6
123:11	199:23 200:5	182:3,7 186:14	26:10 30:3,8	124:15 129:21
attachments	200:23 202:5	201:11,13	30:10,12 31:1	139:14 140:6
242:23	202:13 203:13	232:19 235:22	32:5 42:18	141:5 142:8
attack 177:24	207:14 208:9	251:17 261:23	43:9,19 44:4	151:6 160:16
244:22	208:22 222:3	264:13 268:11	45:24 57:13	167:1,9 173:7
attacks 101:12	222:13 224:15	Aventine 124:9	63:17 68:23	173:8 175:1
101:13 102:22	252:8,10 264:5	124:11 154:15	69:3,21 77:13	179:22 214:15
101:13 102:22	265:13	154:24 172:24	83:5,17,23	216:15 217:5
attain 82:18			, ,	224:22 225:1
	attainment/no	173:9 216:12	84:11,12,18	
85:9 145:20	57:5	217:10 262:15	87:2 92:8,14	227:7 240:8
194:23 209:21	attempt 250:6	Aventine's	92:18 93:3,11	260:3,10,24
attainable	attempting	154:20	104:8 124:14	265:12 268:21
189:22 190:6	188:20 248:15	Avenue 2:11	126:20 128:19	backs 78:16
attained 125:1	attended 15:3,8	average 41:6,9	135:6 136:1,10	80:5
attainment	136:8 181:13	41:12 42:14,20	137:24 138:3	backup 252:6
19:15,15,18	attending	43:3,21 44:14	140:19,23	backwards
32:13,20 33:10	144:10	58:6 60:22	143:9,17,18	140:2
38:19 40:21,24	attention 107:6	61:8 66:24	152:8,11,22	bad 101:15
41:18 42:5,5	107:8	69:18,23 70:22	154:14 155:10	151:17 228:19
58:20 61:15,17	attenuates 74:23	118:2,4 183:18	171:14 172:24	balance 26:11
62:18 78:23	attenuation	183:20,24	173:3,6	26:17,20 30:4
81:7,12 84:22	116:2	185:14,19		74:17
135:5,6,13,16	attorneys	186:6,17,24	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$	bang 56:16
135:24 136:8	182:21	187:4,13,14,19	b 3:6,13,20	157:24
136:12,20,21	attributes	187:20,23,24	39:10 40:4,21	Bannaken
137:9,14	101:11	188:6,6,8,10	79:2,17 122:8	258:11 259:16
138:11 139:5	audience 130:13	188:19,20	134:6,8 156:4	259:17,18
139:11 145:3,6	130:14 132:11	189:7 203:20	156:4,7 221:20	bare 234:21
146:11,16,23	173:18 175:21	205:13 207:2	222:10	based 24:23
147:6 149:9,10	214:24 216:10	207:16 210:22	B-A-N-N-A-K	28:13 33:10
150:17 151:14	August 1:18	211:4,13 235:9	259:18	39:15 40:14
151:15 152:6	15:5 181:12	235:10 245:2	B-L-O-O-M-B	41:15 42:15
154:4 155:8,14	230:3 269:4,7	averaged 184:9	180:15	48:20 58:6
157:14 158:13	269:10,13	averages 204:16	B-L-U-M-E-N	61:9,15 63:23
158:16,19	authority 249:6	204:17 205:7	244:4	112:11 122:11
166:11 168:16	automated	averaging 70:19	B-O-N-E-B-R	123:3 157:21
171:7,10,13	253:9	99:23 116:1	13:4	162:17 203:11
172:10 186:3	available 5:20	184:17,21,23	back 6:18 19:23	208:13 209:19
189:7,20 191:9	16:12 18:16,17	185:6 186:11	24:24 29:23	225:13 233:23
191:14 193:11	43:23 57:14	206:24 235:14	34:17 47:10	263:15
193:15,17,21	58:21 64:9,11	245:9,10	51:4 54:21	basic 236:3
194:10 197:4,8	68:21 112:15	aware 11:19	61:23 62:11	239:5,14
197:18,20	125:5 137:19	15:2 16:5	63:5 69:6,14	basically 58:17
<u> </u>				

August 4, 2015

				Page 275
121 4 221 11	256726422	100 10 210 1	217.12.15.10	226 8 227 22
121:4 221:11	256:7 264:2,3	180:19 218:1	217:12,15,19	226:8 227:22
223:18 235:21	266:15 267:9	254:9	218:4,10,12,17	230:23 231:21
basin 168:13	believes 209:19	black 240:16	219:7 220:22	233:15 234:7
170:1,14	belong 102:14	262:16	221:1,24	235:12 237:15
basis 77:2	bends 55:7	blackness	226:14,22	243:24 244:6
105:12 119:12	benefit 88:1	228:16	227:2 228:2,5	245:1 249:8,21
215:22 253:10	90:8 162:19	blame 248:11	229:3,19	252:13 253:21
bat 141:15	188:13 189:1	blank 231:6	230:17,21	255:15 258:6
bears 201:18	benefits 91:21	blanketing	231:1 250:13	259:22,24
beautiful 98:2,3	92:2,5 188:12	55:11	263:23 264:18	260:11 261:8
100:17	221:5 237:8	blends 74:11	264:24 267:5	262:8 266:5
becoming 51:24	berries 96:18	Bloomberg	267:21	268:6,14,23
began 65:17	best 56:16 81:7	10:10 34:9	blowers 55:8	269:8,15,16
beginning 35:2	97:10 156:10	35:21 36:2,13	blue 100:16	Board's 4:16,18
72:12 118:7	171:11 229:3	36:18 37:7,12	240:8	4:20 5:20 6:7
begins 136:20	230:1 246:21	38:6,16 40:12	Blumenshine	59:21 90:7
behalf 2:14,19	248:24	121:17 123:10	244:2,3,4	167:12 263:13
2:24 7:7 11:8	bestowing 176:7	123:14,20	board 1:1,16 2:2	268:12 269:7
11:12,22 13:9	better 100:22	124:5,15,18	2:5,7,7,8,8 4:9	boiler 74:15,21
49:19 59:22	103:3 155:6	125:2,8,14,17	4:17,21 5:3,9	115:11,11
95:18 106:23	156:4 157:7	125:21 126:4,7	6:5,23 8:1,2,16	boilers 173:1,1,7
133:5 134:5	176:13 245:8	126:12,17	12:19 19:9	216:22 217:4
175:9 237:12	beyond 189:17	127:4,11 128:5	25:9 26:5 30:1	Bonebrake 2:23
behoove 169:6	189:19,21	128:13,22	31:7 42:2,24	13:2,3 36:16
170:19	213:16 219:20	129:5,8,11,22	60:3 71:5	37:4 46:21,22
beliefs 202:17	233:3	130:1,9,22	98:16,20 99:2	47:2,5,9,13,16
believe 8:17	bias 6:8	131:17 144:3	101:2 102:5	47:23 48:4,10
16:10 17:12	bifurcated 38:22	152:17 153:19	103:11 119:8	48:15,19,23
29:21 32:24	big 142:20	153:22 158:9	132:15,15	49:3,7,10,13
37:16 38:2	150:12 225:8	172:19,22	133:19 136:4	49:16 50:2,5,9
39:20 41:2	bigger 111:14	173:5,16	136:13 148:2	50:17,23 51:6
42:14 45:22	149:14	180:10,14,15	160:8 174:2	51:9,12,16,20
46:23 49:15	biggest 17:2	204:7 205:1,22	177:9 178:6	52:6,9,12,16
71:21 104:2	77:9 78:1	206:10 207:5	180:4,19	52:22 53:1,5
122:5 140:12	122:5,7,17	208:11,15,20	188:18,19	53:11,19,22
140:16 161:18	157:24 222:24	209:3,7,17	193:19 197:12	54:3 56:7,18
166:13,19	bill 49:5 50:17	210:1,7,10,15	197:16,16,22	57:6,13,17,23
178:24 200:11	97:18,20	210:20 211:1	199:5,8,16	58:4,10,13,18
201:10 206:15	billed 50:24	211:11,16,22	203:19 205:23	59:3,13,15,18
211:16 212:1	billing 51:1	212:3,7,14,18	205:24 216:10	60:6,9,14,18
216:10 217:12	billion 108:11	212:22 213:2	216:11 217:9	60:21 61:3,7
223:12 228:21	bit 59:14 75:2	213:11,18,20	217:13,16	61:13 62:16
232:3 236:14	98:4 109:22	214:3,12,24	218:2,9 220:4	63:16 64:1,12
241:5 249:4,5	140:2 146:18	215:6,9,17,20	223:14,17	64:18 65:8,22
249:7 252:20	164:18 174:10	216:8,11,17	225:22 226:1,7	66:1,6,14,18

August 4, 2015

T				
67:3,8,15 68:2	books 156:3	256:14	196:9	84:5 109:11
68:11,23 69:13	born 177:17	brought 12:14	Burke 2:7 4:18	127:13 237:10
69:16,21 70:5	245:17	26:4 72:23	216:11 217:9	calculation
70:8,12 71:3	bottom 19:1	201:11 224:15	217:13,16	26:20 80:20
71:16 72:2	245:24	225:3 240:8	218:2 227:22	110:12,21
73:6,17,24	bought 101:16	262:15	burn 27:18	111:5 114:17
74:4,12 75:1,5	boundaries	brush 203:5	90:20 109:2,4	124:21,24
75:12,16,20,23	121:14	buck 56:17	110:2 112:8	127:16,24
76:15,19 77:13	boundary	158:1	128:8	calculations
78:4,11,14,19	121:14	Bugel 11:2,5,5	burned 110:17	29:5,12 30:9
79:19 80:4,10	Box 2:12	11:17,20 12:14	112:8	30:16 82:11
81:17 82:13,17	brackets 248:23	12:22 13:17	burner 110:15	86:10 94:4
83:1,5,13,17	BRB 74:6,6,10	46:12,13 60:4	burners 90:19	113:22 125:1
84:6,10,16,20	break 94:12,13	104:18,19	burning 27:10	125:12,20
85:2,5,21 86:5	94:19 95:2	105:4,13,18,24	27:19 29:9,10	127:19 183:3
86:12,19,22	174:10 175:2	106:7,15,22	86:13,14,18,23	184:12 187:18
87:18,23 88:6	179:15,18	107:2,5,11,13	87:1 109:23	196:17 207:13
89:17 90:1,4,9	breathe 176:1	107.2,3,11,13	112:11,14	calendar 167:17
90:15,24 91:4	242:19 243:1	109:12 111:7	115:12 127:13	California 54:8
91:8,11,17	248:16 262:2,3	111:20 112:24	192:5,21	call 29:15 76:1
92:4,17,24	breathing	113:6,8,16	203:24 219:17	76:13 140:13
93:8,12,14,23	103:12 154:10	114:22 116:5	219:17 220:9	227:3 232:2
94:6 114:23	156:8 202:19	116:21 117:4	224:3 237:6	called 128:24
115:10 116:15	241:1 262:3	117:24 119:14	bus 229:2	calls 16:13 18:8
117:9,20	Brian 233:18,21	120:20 121:24	business 166:23	18:22 29:3
118:18 133:23	brief 174:6	120.20 121.24	167:17 217:8	125:13,18
159:1,3,7,10	187:7 232:10	123:4 133:4,9	270:8	140:17 181:14
159:14,17,21	briefly 257:6	133:12 134:10	businessman	182:24
159:23 160:7	bring 12:15	166:3,4,15,20	51:1	capable 215:15
160:11,14	54:12 106:21	166:22 167:3	button 8:11	250:17
161:6,19 162:3	155:14 158:16	167:11,15,20	Dutton 6.11	capacity 26:12
162:17 163:16	183:10 189:19	168:2,7 171:14		27:5 30:5 55:6
163:21 164:7	191:9,14	171:19,22	c 2:1 75:12,19	capital 89:1,18
164:12 165:5	200:22 203:12	171:19,22	75:19 80:19	89:21 90:2,8
165:11,16,20	208:21 224:6	179:6,9 206:2	223:14 270:3	90:11 91:5,24
178:16 208:1,2	237:7,8	206:4,22	C-A-S-H-M-E	93:6,21
	bringing 91:6	200:4,22	215:5 238:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
208:14,23 209:4,13,18	133:15 199:22	260:20 261:1	C-E-M-S 113:12	capture 55:2 captured 56:2
210:2,11,16,21	207:14 232:23	263:10,11	113:21	captured 56:2
211:2,15,23	brings 176:11	264:15	C-H-R-I-S	car 90.10 carbon 87:19
211:2,13,23	broader 52:18	build 6:6	232:7	88:2 211:24
	90:8		calculate 22:6	212:5
213:4,12,19 214:4,16	broadly 73:11	built 236:1,2 bunch 242:1	26:13 27:23	card 180:2
bones 234:21	brother 257:2	bureau 10:9	186:10	
book 267:2	Brotherhood	180:17 195:10	calculated 27:14	cards 178:13,22
DUUK 20 / .2	Dromernoou	100.1/193.10		180:3
	l	l		l

August 4, 2015

•				Page 2//
2272 00.10 14 10	112.21 114.10	101.6 217:21	2.2.22.02.4	20.20.20.12
care 99:10,14,18	113:21 114:18	191:6 217:21	2:3,22 82:4	30:20 39:12
200:11 239:24	Center 6:1 11:9	222:21 223:16	229:21 233:23	41:6 43:17
259:2,3	11:13,23 133:1	223:18,21	270:8	52:4 135:2
carefully 90:7	133:6,13 134:6	236:6 238:23	chief 195:10	140:7 155:4
255:16	Central 98:14	245:18,20	196:9	157:13,16
Carl 180:2	102:9 130:20	246:4 255:3	child 96:8 240:7	181:21 195:2
Carrie 2:8 4:22	175:9 228:12	changed 61:24	children 97:2,6	claiming 18:1
carry 243:8,10	244:13 247:9	90:18 199:7	97:11 98:2	20:19 23:24
carve 28:8	248:1	219:23	131:15 175:22	31:13 41:20
case 55:12 64:24	century 97:13	changes 11:17	228:15 240:19	claims 200:4
67:23 77:19	certain 24:13,14	45:7 88:19	240:21 243:7	234:11
89:16 116:12	61:24 68:9,9	126:19 133:9	257:14	clarification
121:20 148:15	151:12 166:8	148:13 151:7	chilling 214:8	21:1 35:19
169:18 209:20	172:12 203:14	194:12,20,22	245:19 255:6	75:21 86:17
case-specific	227:8 251:14	197:14 219:20	255:10	129:8 136:17
118:15	267:11	220:2 226:11	choice 156:5	178:17
cases 112:16	certainly 61:20	227:24 247:13	232:17 242:5,6	clarify 18:1
170:8 171:22	73:12 112:16	changing 88:18	choices 38:1	41:10 86:21
197:23 202:22	124:3 139:20	124:8 199:8	56:12	136:19 157:15
203:8 209:10	143:24 144:4	Chapter 102:6	choose 83:3	217:10
Cashmer 215:4	161:3 199:19	characteristic	156:3 157:8	classes 39:3
215:5,11,19	200:21 201:5	24:12	223:4	clean 99:9
216:3 238:14	230:7,17	characteristics	choosing 19:17	101:11 120:14
238:15,16	249:11,15	109:18 114:15	chose 156:7	176:16,19,24
cat 81:9	certainty 199:3	characterizati	157:1,2	177:8 201:22
category 38:15	Certificate 3:10	17:8	chosen 81:13	228:11 232:24
cause 73:8,12,15	cessation 85:7	charge 49:8	Chris 232:6	234:10 236:4
73:18 74:13	92:5 161:16,21	charity 233:23	chronic 131:14	236:23 237:1
269:22 270:11	162:20 191:17	chart 122:4	circumstances	241:10 246:6
caused 175:16	203:24 211:18	204:15 205:7	42:6 62:5,14	249:5
202:19 203:7	212:10 213:13	205:13 217:17	73:22	cleaner 97:22
210:23	213:22 214:6	charts 204:7,17	citation 23:4	246:7
causing 33:18	220:9	204:19 205:2	cite 43:12	cleaning 255:21
151:5 163:9,10	cetera 137:10	208:7,9	136:18,20	clear 36:17
169:5,6 170:20	250:18 251:16	cheapest 157:23	137:5	65:15 72:20
170:21	251:16 257:9	check 261:14	cited 193:9	109:8 156:9
caution 122:11	chair 244:10	262:9	CITGO 122:15	160:23 177:7
cease 82:14	Chairman 2:6	checking 262:13	cities 229:14,15	178:8 210:6
88:10 192:20	4:20	chemical 55:17	citing 7:17	223:22 237:6
211:5 212:19	challenge 69:10	105:9 110:4	citizen 103:10	clearer 7:9
212:24 213:9	chance 40:13	chemistry 26:18	244:8 249:3,11	197:15
221:7 224:3	60:9	26:20	citizens 119:10	clearly 139:7
CEMS 30:22	change 62:12	cherry 214:5	city 98:16 107:6	145:7 149:18
31:9,15,19	89:2 126:19	chest 242:15	107:7,8 270:8	182:12 198:18
113:11,12,14	147:20 178:2	Chicago 1:17	claim 23:11 26:5	223:20
, ,				
	-	-	-	-

August 4, 2015

				Page 2/6
-ll- 100.4	Cl-1.1. 102.14	222.5	241.0 2(2.16	202.6.16
clerk 180:4	Club's 182:14	222:5	241:8 262:16	203:6,16
client 105:2	191:15 193:6	codified 192:20	263:6 269:18	223:21 225:10
clients 50:14,17	197:5	collateral 221:5	commendable	225:24 226:12
51:2 104:22,24	co-located 164:4	collection 155:8	245:22	226:17 227:7
105:1,3,5,8	CO2 190:16	college 97:3	comment 3:5	231:4,5,9,11
climate 176:22	212:13 221:14	column 80:20	5:22 6:17,21	231:17,19,20
climb 62:11	coal 74:3,4,9	94:5	6:22 7:15	234:2 243:23
close 19:22	82:15 83:3	combination	34:13 35:7,20	243:24 252:21
21:12 40:24	85:7,13 86:6	70:18 74:9	94:18 95:16	260:23 263:1
79:12 172:7	86:15 87:1,2	191:22 192:6	120:10 122:12	264:21 268:13
254:4	87:24 88:10,13	combined	131:24 135:17	269:11,12,13
closely 235:12	88:22 89:7,7,9	102:21 144:19	135:23 175:5	269:14,16,17
246:16	89:9 90:11	146:2 189:15	178:23 179:7	Commerce
closer 8:10	92:6 101:4	combust 194:6	198:4,13,16,19	268:7
59:14	102:19 114:24	combusting	199:2 200:10	commercial
closest 91:14	115:12 153:1	82:15 83:3	220:1 223:14	242:16
closing 3:9	154:15,21	85:23 88:10	223:17 225:22	commitment
268:1	161:16,21	152:14 164:9	231:14,22	259:1
Club 3:4,6,19,20	162:20 164:10	164:13	233:6,14,17	committed
5:24 6:10 9:21	164:13 173:7	combustion 1:9	260:18 262:12	177:4
10:23 11:6,8	175:17 177:3,8	4:14 27:16	263:4	common 105:22
11:12,22 13:10	191:3,17	85:8 92:6	commented	119:5 121:13
13:10,11 14:17	192:21 194:6	109:24 161:16	202:17	communicate
14:23 15:3,13	203:24 211:17	161:21 162:20	commenter	26:2
15:20,22 16:7	211:18 212:10	191:17 211:18	211:3,8 265:3	communities
16:8,18 28:24	212:10,19,24	212:11,19,24	265:16	233:3
46:1,7 47:15	213:13,22	213:9,13,22	commenters	community
48:2,15,18,20	214:5 216:15	214:6 223:9	188:3 201:9,14	98:15 99:4
49:14,20 50:12	219:17 220:9,9	come 19:14 63:4	211:12,13	102:9 175:9,11
51:1 68:16	221:7 223:9	109:23 177:6	commenting	175:12,13
125:7,10	224:3 236:1,17	177:10 178:7	75:6	177:5,16 178:4
132:24 133:5	237:2,7 245:21	199:12,13	comments 3:7,8	228:13 230:4
133:12 134:5,6	260:6	200:1 214:13	3:9 5:6,13,18	230:18 244:14
134:8 137:19	coal-fired 62:3	228:9 239:8,10	6:19 37:3	247:10 248:1
137:23 138:2,5	85:24 86:2,12	254:15 255:7	48:11,13,14,16	253:4 254:22
138:6 140:12	86:14,17 87:5	260:24 262:4,9	48:21 95:13	259:4,7
141:1 143:19	87:9,16,20,24	comes 77:12	103:19,22	companies 93:5
144:1 160:3	173:1 176:14	97:11,12	132:1 174:12	105:9,10,11
166:17 181:7	184:23 216:22	207:14 240:16	174:14 175:2	214:13 227:13
181:13,14,16	217:5,8	262:2	178:17 179:1,3	company 92:19
181:20,24	coalition 244:13	coming 30:21	179:11,13	92:24 93:9
182:5,8,20	247:9	40:13 44:20,24	180:5 181:24	116:15 157:16
193:4 229:2,6	coals 74:7,10,13	58:16 74:21	183:16 198:8	219:21 225:2
244:11,12	Code 1:5 4:11	91:14 103:19	198:17 199:10	260:2
263:16	9:4,5 221:20	179:13 239:23	200:1 202:15	company's
203.10	7.7,3 221.20	117.13 437.43	200.1 202.13	company s
	l	I	I	

August 4, 2015

255:6 comparable conceded 41:22 d4:19 conceded 81:23 conceded 81:23 conceded 81:23 conceded 81:23 conceded 81:23 conceded 81:23 confism 16:8 confirm 16:8 construction 23:19,21 24:8 d4:19 confirm 16:8 d2:21 16:11 d6:13 162:12 comparably 20:24 d4:13,17,20 d6:14 110:3,7 d6:13 162:12 concentrations 20:224 d4:13,17,20 d6:14 110:3,7 d6:13 162:12 concentrations compensation 105:22 106:11 d6:13 162:12 concentrations compensation 105:22 106:11 d6:13 162:12 concentrations 25:11,1,15,16 d6:20 concern 39:13 d64:9 142:21 d64:9 142:21 d64:9 142:21 d64:9 142:21 d7:12 203:21 d64:9 142:21 d7:12 203:21 d64:9 142:21 d7:12 203:21 d64:9 142:21 d7:12 203:21 d7:12 203	1				Page 279
comparable 87:4 89:15 conceded 41:22 44:19 70:21 conceded 81:23 comparably 185:7 188:9 concided 81:23 conceived confidentiality 93:2 confine 89:17,20 90:5 confirm 166:8 consistent 43:11 184:10,15 constrain 83:8 constrain 83:12 255:13 14:10 206:17,18 consultant 51:22 contain 14:11 contain 14:11 contained 65:11 186:21 contained 65:11 186:21 199:14 contain 14:11 contained 65:11 186:41 203:15 203:15 contain 14:11 contained 65:11 186:41 203:15 203:15 contain 17:12 203:15 contain 14:11 contained 65:11 186:21 221:12,13 2221:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 223:12,13 233:12 2	255.6	222.22.24.24	262.24	120.10	151.0 170.24
87.4 89:15 44:19 70:21 93:2 184:10,15 235:1 254:15 confine 89:17,20 257:21 constrains 83:8 continued continued 257:21 continued continued continued 257:21 continued continued continued 257:21 252:242 257:21 252:242 257:21 252:242 257:21 252:242 257:21 252:242 257:21 252:242 257:21 255:11 251:13 251:13 251:13 251:14 255:21 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:7 257:12 257:7 257:12 257:12 257:7 257:12 257:7 2					
188:7					
comparably conceived 110:16 confirm 166:8 constraints 257:21 continues 73:13 compare 87:13 23:19,21 24:8 208:8 218:8 confirmed 184:9 91:18 126:21 73:14 198:22 252:24 257:21 252:24 257:21 252:24 257:21 continues 73:13 73:14 198:22 252:24 257:21 252:24 257:21 continuing 69:8 contact 22:10 contact 22:10 contact 22:10 contact 22:10 contact 22:10 contact 22:10 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>,</th><th></th></td<>				,	
185:7 188:9					
compare 87:13 12:21 169:17 concentration 23:19,21 24:8 confirmed 184:9 242:19 206:17,18 continuous 73:14 198:22 252:24 257:21 continuous compared compared 187:23 191:20 200:24 comparing 187:23 191:20 200:24 comparing 270:17 172:23 compensation 106:20 163:10,13 106:20 251:11,15,16 complete 6:6,15 64:9142:21 184:5 234:18 completed 226:24 227:4 completed 26:31 188:31 196:23 187:7 61:8 235:9 complicated 127:24 conclude 35:13 complicated 127:24 conclude 35:13 complicated 127:24 concluding 23:13 20:20:29:37 116:10 188:24 completed 21:13 189:22 concluding 29:29:37 116:10 188:24 conclude 30:3 135:11 comploints 135:11 conforcere 135:12 188:14 182:24 207:7 208: 218:8 18 conservation conformed 184:9 218:18 160:12 20:20:18 184:14 182:24 207:7 construction 91:18 126:21 20:20:17,18 consultants consultants 113:12 215:22 continuous 30:22 65:18 consultants 113:12 215:22 continuous 30:22 65:18 consultants 113:12 215:22 contacted 200:7 contacted 200:7 contacted 200:7 contacted 200:7 contain 14:11 20:31:4 consequence 25:11,15,16 content 24:17,24 concerns 17:13 20:32:14 20:21:14:11 20:32:14 136:21 contain 14:11 136:21 co					
122:21 169:17					
242:19 compared compared 24:9,18 34:4 fol:4 110:3,7 (20) confusing 187:23 191:20 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):24 (20):25 (20)	_				
compared 61:4 110:3,7 confusing consultant 51:22 continuous 200:24 111:3,17,127:3 144:13,17,20 confusion 24:4 51:23 30:22 65:18 200:24 146:13 162:12 37:13 146:19 105:14 consultants 105:14 contracted 200:7 contracted 200:7 contacted 200:7					
187:23 191:20 111:3,17 127:3 128:11 confusion 24:4 comparing 70:17 172:23 compensation 106:20 163:10,13 consequence 251:11,15,16 conpetence 251:11,15,16 concern 39:13 concernd 17:13 186:4 192:3 consequence 226:24 227:4 completed 226:24 227:4 completed 226:24 227:4 completed 226:24 227:4 completed 235:8 244:9 concernd 17:13 186:4 192:3 concernd 17:13 186:4 192:3 conservatively 188:22 182:15 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contibute 32:24 consplicated 127:24 concluding 140:3 206:23 128:15 concluding 140:3 206:23 129:12,13 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 concluding 222:26:24 237:4 concluding 188:10 255:17 257:12 contibuted 22:10:15 161:10 188:24 concluding 210:22 266:11 contibuted 22:35:17 257:12 contibuted 22:35:17 257:12 contibuted 22:35:17 257:12 contibuted 22:35:17 257:12 contibuted 33:31 conservatively 26:12,23 27:4 concluding 24:5,14 76:21 sas:19 concluding 25:10 255:9 concluding 25:17 257:12 contibuted 25:15,21 26:7 contributing 25:15,21 26:7 contributing 25:15,21 26:7 contribution 25:15 contitions 209:5 content 24:17,24 conclusion 25:17 257:12 content 24:17,24 conclusion 25:17 257:12 considerations 209:5 content 24:17,24 conclusion 25:17 257:12 content 24:17,24 conclusion 24:18;14 18:24 considered 25:18 contribution 25:18 contitions 209:5 content 24:17,24 conclusion 24:4 conclusion 25:17 257:12 content 25:17 257:12 contition 25:17 257:12 content 25:17 25:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
200:24 comparing 70:17 172:23 concentrations compensation 105:22 106:11 106:20	_		U		
comparing 146:13 162:12 37:13 146:19 105:14 contract 228:10 contract 228:10 contract 228:10 contact 228:10 contain 4:11 contact 228:10 contact 28:11 contact 28:12 contact 28:13 contact 28:13 contact 28:13 contain 4:11 contact 28:13 contain 4:11 contain 4:11 contain 4:11 contain 4:11 contain 4:12 contain 4:12 contain 4:12 contain 4:12 contain 4:12 contain 4:12 22:13 contain 4:12 conta					
70:17 172:23 concentrations 149:5 contact 228:10 257:7 Contact 20:1,2					
compensation 85:18 150:22 connect 20:1,2 contacted 200:7 Contrary 197:7 Contain 14:11 203:15 Contribute 32:24 competence 251:11,15,16 165:2 169:9 88:5 consequence 136:21 63:5 114:10 63:1 60:4,19 20:12,23 27:4 20:18 2:15 20:18 2:15 20:18 2:12,21 20:18 2:14 20:18 2:22 20:1					
105:22 106:11 151:8 163:3,3 163:10,13 165:2 169:9 171:12 203:21 88:5 concern 39:13 164:19 123:18 163:2 182:15 190:13,13 complete 6:6,15 235:8 244:9 221:12,13 25:4,7,12,16 202:18 207:6 230:16 247:12 concluding 127:24 compliance 45:6 45:12,21 59:7 61:8 235:9 concluding 127:24 compliance 45:6 45:14,76:21 concluding 127:24 compliance 45:6 45:14,76:21 concluding 127:24 concluding 127:25:17 257:12 concluding 127:25:17 25					
106:20 competence 163:10,13 165:2 169:9 213:9 214:11 consequence contained 65:11 136:21 containment contribute 32:24 63:5 114:10 150:10 163:22 170:9 194:7 containment 251:11,15,16 complete 6:6,15 conjected 24:17,24 completed 226:24 227:4 completely 180:22 182:15 217:7 conjectely 180:22 182:15 217:7 conclude 35:13 consider 61:20 182:29 199:11 consider 61:20 45:12,21 59:7 61:8 235:9 concluding 127:24 complicated 127:24 complicated 127:24 comply 43:20 44:5,14 76:21 82:23 83:20 88:9 92:13,15 92:22 93:7 16:10 188:24 complying 135:11 conjected 212:13 component 135:11 conference 135:12 181:14 182:24 18:12 conference 135:12 181:14 182:24 181:12 conference 135:12 181:14 182:24 181:14 182:24 181:12 conference 135:12 163:10,13 123:9 214:11 136:21 containment 78:15 162:22 contains 17:5 contains 17:4 in 17:10 in					
competence 165:2 169:9 consequence 136:21 63:5 114:10 251:11,15,16 171:12 203:21 88:5 containment 150:10 163:22 64:9 142:21 109:13,13 109:13,13 186:4 192:3 containment 78:15 162:22 170:9 194:7 completed 235:8 244:9 221:12,13 content 24:17,24 contributed completely 102:3 183:11 190:9,14 25:4,712,16 50:11 63:8 180:22 182:15 188:3 196:23 conservatively 26:12,23 27:4 contributes 217:7 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributes 61:8 235:9 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributes 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 127:24 conclusion 255:17 257:12 150:19 169:8 comply 43:20 18:12 255:17 257:12 contention 25:15,21 26:7 88:9 92:13,15 60:1 25:17 257:12 contention 25:15,21 26:7 88:9 92:13,15 6		-			
251:11,15,16 171:12 203:21 88:5 conservative 78:15 162:22 150:10 163:22 170:9 194:7 containment 78:15 162:22 170:9 194:7 contributed 50:11 163:8 221:10:9 194:7 containment 78:15 162:22 containment 25:11 163:8 25:11 163:8 25:11 163:8 25:17 12 25:17 12 25:17 12 26:12 2,3 27:4 25:17 12 26:12 2,3 27:4 27:11 3 11:3 27:11 3 11:3 27:11 3 11:3 27:11 3 11:3 27:11 3 11:3 27:11 3 11:3 <t< th=""><th></th><th>,</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>		,			
complete 6:6,15 64:9 142:21 184:5 234:18 concern 39:13 109:13,13 conservative 186:4 192:3 196:4,19 78:15 162:22 contains 17:5 contributed 50:11 63:8 170:9 194:7 contributed 50:11 63:8 completed 226:24 227:4 completely 180:23 183:11 180:22 182:15 217:7 235:8 244:9 conservatively 190:9,14 conserving 180:23 183:11 188:3 196:23 247:12 conserving 247:12 conserving 247:12 conclude 35:13 consider 61:20 at 12:21 114:1 concluding 35:11 concluding 35:11 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 at 120:15 150:24 concluding 25:17 257:12 concluding 25:17 257:12 concludion 38:18 98:10 at 12:22 93:7 at 12:21 13 conditions 18:12 conditions 18:12 conditions 18:12 conditions 19:22 29:37 at 14:20 148:14 at 182:24 at 185:21 15:11 considered 135:11 conference 135:12 at 18:14 182:24 at 18:14 18:24 at 18:14 18:24 at 18:14 18:24 at 18:14 18:14 ta 18:24 at 18:14 18:14 ta 1			_		
64:9 142:21 109:13,13 concerned 17:13 contains 17:5 contributed 184:5 234:18 235:8 244:9 221:12,13 25:4,7,12,16 20:18 20:18 completed 226:24 227:4 concerns 17:2 conservatively 26:12,23 27:4 202:18 completely 102:3 183:11 190:9,14 27:11 30:5 contributes 180:22 182:15 188:3 196:23 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributes compliance 45:6 45:12,21 59:7 63:1 consider 61:20 114:24 127:10 32:19 33:22 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 contention 82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 25:15,21 26:7 30:1 contributes 82:9 2:13,15 conditions 209:5 contention 25:15,21 26:7 contribution 92:22 93:7 116:10 188:24 conditions					
184:5 234:18 concerned 17:13 196:4,19 content 24:17,24 50:11 63:8 completed 235:8 244:9 concerns 17:2 conservatively 25:4,7,12,16 164:1,23 202:18 completely 102:3 183:11 190:9,14 27:11 30:5 contributes 180:22 182:15 188:3 196:23 conserving 74:13 111:3,9 150:8 164:4,5 217:7 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributes compliance 45:6 63:1 61:21 89:22 127:15 128:2 62:2 78:1 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 comply 43:20 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 255:17 257:12 consideration 25:15,21 26:7 27:13:10 193:13 88:9 92:13,15 go. 20:22 266:11 30:1 25:15,21 26:7 20:11:4 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 20:25:59 content 23:18 163:14 193:12 16:10 188:24 complying 14:20 148:14 <th>_ ·</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	_ ·				
completed 226:24 227:4 completely 180:22 182:15 217:7 235:8 244:9 concerns 17:2 188:3 196:23 247:12 221:12,13 conservatively 190:9,14 25:4,7,12,16 26:12,23 27:4 27:11 30:5 74:13 111:3,9 27:11 30:5 74:13 111:3,9 112:21 114:1 112:21		· /			
226:24 227:4 concerns 17:2 conservatively 26:12,23 27:4 202:18 completely 180:22 182:15 188:3 196:23 conserving 74:13 111:3,9 150:8 164:4,5 217:7 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributing compliance 45:6 63:1 61:21 89:22 127:15 128:2 62:2 78:1 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 conclusions 38:18 98:10 30:1 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 155:11 considered 35:4 85:1 163:14 193:12 component			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
completely 102:3 183:11 190:9,14 27:11 30:5 contributes 217:7 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributing compliance 45:6 63:1 63:1 61:21 89:22 127:15 128:2 62:2 78:1 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 30:1 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 55:9 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 116:10 188:24 189:22 156:12 185:6 238:18 163:14 193:12 component 135:11 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 135:12	_		,		_
180:22 182:15 188:3 196:23 conserving 74:13 111:3,9 150:8 164:4,5 217:7 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributing compliance 45:6 63:1 61:21 89:22 127:15 128:2 62:2 78:1 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 25:15,21 26:7 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 156:12 185:6 254:1 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 component 155:11 considering					
217:7 247:12 199:11 112:21 114:1 contributing compliance 45:6 45:12,21 59:7 63:1 61:21 89:22 127:15 128:2 62:2 78:1 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 conclusions 38:18 98:10 25:15,21 26:7 contribution 82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 30:1 34:2 40:6 82:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 complying 114:20 148:14 considered 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 155:11 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</th><th></th><th></th></td<>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
compliance 45:6 45:12,21 59:7 61:8 235:9 conclude 35:13 63:1 consider 61:20 61:21 89:22 114:24 127:10 127:15 128:2 32:19 33:22 62:2 78:1 complicated 127:24 35:11 conclusion 252:10 255:9 195:2,17 196:2 196:5,7,11,13 120:15 150:24 156:19 169:8 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 consideration 38:18 98:10 25:15,21 26:7 30:1 contribution 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 92:22 93:7 116:10 188:24 conditions 114:20 148:14 212:13 consideration 209:5 consideration 209:5 continent 238:18 16:12 185:6 238:18 continue 5:22 165:12 185:6 continue 5:22 254:1 contributions 32:14 34:4 135:11 155:11 considering 167:8 186:12 35:4 85:1 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 103:24 108:5 124:10 162:2,4 103:24 108:5			_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
45:12,21 59:7 63:1 61:21 89:22 127:15 128:2 62:2 78:1 61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 conclusions 38:18 98:10 25:15,21 26:7 30:1 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 16:10 188:24 14:20 148:14 156:12 185:6 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 continue 5:22 8:5 17:3 18:7 32:14 34:4 10mponents 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
61:8 235:9 concluding 140:3 206:23 195:2,17 196:2 120:15 150:24 complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 conclusions 25:18 98:10 30:1 contribution 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 156:12 185:6 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 conference 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor	_				
complicated 35:11 207:6 230:16 196:5,7,11,13 156:19 169:8 127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 conclusions 38:18 98:10 30:1 contribution 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 complying 114:20 148:14 considered 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 194:3 component 135:11 conference 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
127:24 conclusion 252:10 255:9 197:2 173:10 193:13 comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 conclusions 18:12 consideration 38:18 98:10 25:15,21 26:7 contribution 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 156:12 185:6 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor		U		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
comply 43:20 186:1 255:17 257:12 contention 219:14 44:5,14 76:21 25:15,21 26:7 30:1 34:2 40:6 82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 30:1 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 complying 114:20 148:14 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 component 189:22 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 194:3 components 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor	-				
44:5,14 76:21 conclusions consideration 25:15,21 26:7 contribution 82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 30:1 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 156:12 185:6 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 conducted 30:3 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
82:23 83:20 18:12 38:18 98:10 30:1 34:2 40:6 88:9 92:13,15 55:9 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 189:22 considered 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 194:3 component 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 conference 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor	1 0				
88:9 92:13,15 conditioning 210:22 266:11 context 35:16 102:3 120:17 92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 189:22 considered 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 194:3 component 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor				,	
92:22 93:7 55:9 considerations 43:24 62:23,24 145:6 152:18 116:10 188:24 conditions 209:5 continent 152:23 153:7 complying 114:20 148:14 considered 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 conducted 30:3 254:1 s:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 conference 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
116:10 188:24 complying conditions 209:5 considered 152:23 153:7 212:13 189:22 component 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 sign of s	/				
complying 114:20 148:14 considered 238:18 163:14 193:12 212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 194:3 component 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 conference 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
212:13 189:22 156:12 185:6 continue 5:22 194:3 component 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
component conducted 30:3 254:1 8:5 17:3 18:7 contributions 135:11 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor	1 0				
135:11 155:11 considering 35:4 85:1 32:14 34:4 components 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor					
components conference 167:8 186:12 94:11,14 98:24 124:10 162:2,4 135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor	_				
135:12 181:14 182:24 207:7 103:24 108:5 contributor			_		
	_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
computers confidential consistency 129:21 133:2 40:18 85:17					
	computers	connuential	consistency	129.21 133.2	40.18 85.17
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

August 4, 2015

				Page 280
			l i	
124:14	73:15 74:19	converting 88:5	145:3,8,14,20	39:20 40:4,17
contributors	87:4,6 89:10	90:10	145:23 154:2	75:7,11,24
121:18 122:5,7	89:10 99:21	convey 54:18	154:23 155:2	76:3,12,20
control 1:1,8,16	101:6,9 115:4	55:8	155:17 158:17	77:7,12 78:22
2:2,5 4:13 6:23	115:15,18	conveying 89:9	161:17 163:18	79:5 80:1,7,11
52:10,13 53:9	117:20 118:24	Cook 1:15 270:8	163:23 164:10	81:20 82:14,20
53:18 55:2,20	124:7,8 136:13	270:18	166:12,13,17	83:18 84:21
55:20 56:2	145:18 147:8	cooperative	166:19 167:1,5	85:8,16 88:8
73:7,11 74:22	147:12,23	16:14	167:13,18,24	98:16 102:5
74:24 87:8,17	148:2,7,8,19	coordinator	209:18 210:11	122:14,20,24
88:22 98:20	148:21,21,22	102:6	211:9,21 212:2	131:7 144:13
101:2 115:23	149:4 154:5,7	COPD 232:18	213:1,2,10,17	144:18 145:6
116:24 117:3	156:2 157:8,9	244:22	215:5 217:11	145:12,17
117:17,18	157:11,14,24	copies 12:15	270:12	146:5 148:9,11
118:22 136:4	158:2,5,11,14	60:1 204:9	correctly 255:19	149:3,11 150:7
147:19 148:14	158:15,20	268:11	cost 88:14,18,21	150:7,13
149:19,20,21	170:24 171:1,4	copy 7:19 12:15	88:24 89:1,12	152:13,19
153:11 154:18	189:23 190:6	12:18,18 59:23	89:13,20,22	153:13,13,17
156:3,4,4,7,9	193:8,14,16,20	60:4,7 133:15	90:8,8 99:7	153:18 161:17
157:18,19,19	200:5 234:14	133:18	157:15 200:6	162:21 163:16
157:20 170:6,6	246:19 250:11	corn 242:1	costs 89:4,15,18	163:17 164:3
170:22 184:3	254:22 260:11	corner 163:4,9	89:21,23 90:2	164:15,16,18
191:13 193:5	controversy	corporate	90:10,10,11	164:20,21
193:18,24	241:17	103:13 131:9	91:6,12,23,24	164.20,21
193.18,24		131:12 245:24	92:1 93:6,22	170:12 171:5
	conversation		93:22 97:17	
201:12,13,24	140:11 258:15	corporation 246:18		175:8 189:12
202:4,11,12 210:18 212:5	conversations		99:10,14	189:12 190:11
	207:12	corporations	106:12 200:8	191:4,7,8,14
222:16,16	conversion 86:6	99:17 245:20	245:17	191:17,18,23
234:6 235:22	87:23 88:12,14	correct 14:23	coughing 240:15	192:4,10 193:5
244:6 249:8	150:2 190:10	15:24 27:7	counsel 8:7,13	193:7,12,13,17
252:13 253:21	194:6,16	31:4 36:20	14:2 46:23	193:19,23
257:23 258:6	213:21 220:11	43:21 44:7	47:4 68:19	194:3,15,18
261:8 266:5	conversions	47:10 49:19	69:3 74:17	204:1 211:19
controlled 33:3	88:16 152:13	52:14 53:12	77:8 80:3 81:5	212:11,21
150:13 153:6	191:2 192:15	56:10 57:9	104:4 109:22	213:8,23 214:1
157:1 162:9	193:1 211:17	58:4 59:9	117:9 118:18	214:8 219:11
169:23 184:24	212:9 220:13	60:14 62:19	count 178:4	221:8 222:11
185:1 187:3	222:12 224:24	64:7 66:3	counted 49:22	223:19,23
191:16 262:2	convert 82:7,22	67:16 69:19	210:4	224:2,3,18
controlling	216:19 222:15	70:5 73:9	counting 27:15	225:15,15
116:2 150:10	225:14	84:13 85:2,5	257:7	244:9 252:24
169:20	converted 82:2	88:3 91:19	country 114:5	253:17 255:3
controls 43:4	110:4 216:13	94:2 135:7	119:22 246:8	256:16 264:8
46:3 72:8	216:22	137:15 144:24	County 1:15	270:3,8,18

August 4, 2015

				Page 201
couple 16:13	cross-section	201:22 223:24	dates 166:9	245:18 246:18
18:22 34:9	55:16	D-A-L-E 258:13	204:23,23	246:18 248:8
60:1 95:7	crowd 260:16	D.C 241:12	204.23,23	decayed 239:10
127:21 138:12	CSR 1:14 270:6	daily 186:24	daughter 240:5	December
146:8 159:3,10	270:17	187:4,20,24	242:5,10 262:1	149:23
161:13 166:4,8	cubic 41:1 77:10	188:6	daughter's	decide 38:4
175:1 208:2	122:24 123:2	Dale 258:11,12	228:13	
222:7 227:10			David 10:10	54:13,19 55:4
238:11 256:19	144:14,19,22 144:23 146:3	damage 103:8 235:3 236:10	34:9 59:22	55:5,14 decided 129:3
260:10 264:20	161:11 163:23		106:23 180:15	177:22
		dampen 115:20		
264:20	169:3,23	dampening 116:3	Davis 10:12 36:2	decision 6:7
course 17:4 32:7	culling 251:3		36:15	247:2
54:10 55:1	culpability 34:6	dampens 74:22	day 1:18 55:7	decisions 116:11
67:5,7,22	108:3 156:18	Dana 2:13 8:6	68:9 103:7,20	decrease 213:15
68:17 71:20	169:14 181:18	8:12 14:1	140:20,24	decreased 248:4
72:7 74:16	culpable 33:12	152:22 200:7	141:13 142:13	decreases
85:12 203:17	33:15 37:10,17	204:4	169:11 176:2	203:20,22
246:1	38:3 39:3,4	dangerous 103:6	211:4,6 236:22	deemed 186:8
court 3:10 7:9	120:11,12	266:10	240:10 260:3	deems 154:7
12:1,4 36:7	129:2 155:15	Daniel 1:13 2:4	262:15 263:6	defensive 119:11
69:6,11 96:4	169:13 200:18	4:8	days 114:12	deferred 171:4
116:11 231:24	cumulative	data 15:20 16:19	116:13 138:12	defined 74:7
270:7	144:20	44:1 56:22	166:23,23	definitely 73:10
courts 202:8	curious 160:2	57:3,4,8 58:7	167:16,17,22	73:15 75:19
CPC 122:14	254:4	58:19,22 59:1	169:9 172:3	81:14 168:6
CPR 240:7	current 14:11	59:4,6 60:13	184:9 211:5,8	217:15 222:15
CPS 189:16,18	25:3 40:14	60:16,18 61:1	211:14	265:8
192:1 213:17	80:21 112:10	61:3,8,9,16,20	DCEO 268:8,10	definition 72:9
254:19 258:22	112:13 113:5	62:5,14,15,17	268:14	Delavan 259:20
263:20,22	123:3 132:5	62:17,22,24	dead 239:4,10	259:24
craft 234:5	147:4 151:5	63:6,13,21,22	deadline 45:6,12	delay 141:9,11
crap 131:9	192:23 215:13	64:4,7,9,11	45:21 167:11	141:11 161:3
create 42:6	248:13	65:2,7,16 66:5	deadlines 6:23	delivered 141:16
created 62:15,24	currently 20:20	66:10 67:16	268:17,23	Democrats
creates 63:22	25:3 76:4	100:4 107:7,14	deal 219:14	102:7
creating 115:13	192:9 244:10	107:16 116:22	dealing 188:14	demographic
credit 97:3	259:23	142:20 182:9	237:13 240:20	102:15
credits 237:16	cut 129:16	184:21 203:17	dealt 56:5	demonstrate
Creek 96:15,16	236:11,12	208:8 250:11	121:15	19:18 81:12
crisis 176:22	cute 97:15	250:15,16,19	Deanna 2:6 4:21	146:11 150:17
cross 13:3	cuts 249:16	database 215:24	death 239:6,13	151:14 155:8
121:11 133:24	CV 49:17	date 14:6 101:5	deaths 101:12	157:4,14
cross-examina	D	134:23 138:12	102:21 236:4	168:15 171:10
11:23 13:18		140:16 160:6,9	238:5	185:13 186:3
134:11	D 3:1 184:19	183:10 267:1	decades 245:4,7	198:1 200:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

				rage 202
222:13	design 55:23	201:7 235:9	171:8 184:22	241:20 247:16
demonstrated	56:5,11 68:18	determined	185:5 186:11	250:3
146:16 150:23	116:18 150:6	191:7 203:10	200:4,23,24	discuss 94:19
189:7 191:11	153:17 156:20	developed 22:19	201:21 206:24	189:12 220:18
demonstrates	163:17	developing	219:24 223:11	268:4,16,23
197:24	designating 57:7	212:12 241:23	226:16,16	discussed 6:24
demonstrating	designation	development	227:10 242:10	39:6 43:7,15
171:9	56:24 58:20,21	225:12 263:14	242:11 243:1	109:1 144:12
demonstration	61:14,16 62:18	264:14	252:11 265:18	144:17 186:5
38:19 118:14	62:19 63:20	device 52:10	differently	194:3 201:16
135:5,7,13,16	200:14,20,23	53:10,18 55:2	201:19 243:2	202:18 220:11
135:24 136:8	designations	56:3 87:8	difficult 21:24	202.18 220.11 221:9 225:17
	58:5		29:17 114:1	
136:12,22 137:14 138:11		117:17 191:13	29.17 114.1	225:21 245:23
	designed 53:19	191:16 193:6		247:13 259:11
139:6 149:9,11	87:7,16 115:22	die 177:24	digging 239:13	discussing 43:24
166:11 197:4,8	117:23 209:15	236:10	dioxide 31:18	115:11 128:15
197:19,20	despite 25:15	diesel 25:4,8,12	87:19 88:2	234:11 237:21
198:6,9,11,12	143:21 147:24	25:17 27:18,22	101:6 103:5	discussion 75:13
198:23 199:2,9	151:9,19	28:3,5,9,13,17	112:6 114:4	97:4 129:18
199:13,19	destroy 100:20	28:21 29:9,24	115:13 117:12	172:22 174:22
222:3 252:9,10	desulfurization	104:10 109:2,7	211:24 212:5	187:9 189:4
deny 263:16	145:14,19	110:1,17 112:9	232:20 237:18	200:19 244:7
denying 237:15	detail 27:13 39:1	112:11,12,13	244:19 248:4	244:17 251:12
department 2:9	101:8 182:17	112:15,18	direct 84:2,6	268:18
195:11 196:6	218:23 223:21	113:2 124:16	107:8	discussions
261:11,12	detailed 125:11	127:13 128:8,9	directed 107:6	65:15 182:4
266:1,3 268:7	183:2 189:16	153:1 171:16	183:23	183:19 189:13
depend 55:18	201:7 218:19	192:5,6 194:17	direction 195:18	195:8 263:21
91:13	235:11	195:1,2,5,17	246:5	disease 99:12
dependent 39:5	details 14:12	196:1,11,14,18	directive 245:8	100:8 233:24
depending 37:1	17:8 22:7 23:8	206:14 227:11	directly 144:3	235:17 237:14
55:4 69:9	29:4,16,17	227:14,17	180:21 212:15	239:7
114:12 127:19	68:21 83:12	difference 139:3	215:23 248:22	diseases 99:12
depends 31:19	92:16 125:20	150:14 170:17	Director 233:21	dismissing 135:3
54:20 72:9	184:22 217:3	177:23	dirtiest 234:23	dispenser 196:8
73:22 89:14,15	determination	different 22:19	disagree 22:13	dispersion 15:21
90:17	61:19 63:15	27:19 39:3	22:16	disregard
deposition 47:10	determinations	49:21 53:9	disappointed	101:17
Derby 261:11	57:14 63:22	70:16,24 71:24	243:20 254:18	distinctions 39:2
derived 72:21	determine 31:14	74:8 88:17	disappointing	distinguishes
describe 216:16	59:5 128:20	110:22 111:16	248:6 252:22	117:19
described 56:8	139:9 155:12	117:1,1 121:12	254:14	distribute 55:14
60:23	169:8,12,19	126:20 150:17	disconcerted	distributing
describing	172:2,9 173:11	157:13 161:13	248:18	195:24
201:23	185:3,4 201:1	164:18 170:15	disconcerting	distribution
L	•	•	•	•

August 4, 2015

				Page 203
105:10 226:2	doubt 16:10	171:18,21	65:10 115:19	256:8 257:8
dive 156:15	18:20,24 42:22	172:1 173:3,9	117:21 185:1,9	269:5
docket 4:16	77:22 122:20	173:19,22,24	DSI 46:3 52:17	early 160:12
doctors 240:13	Doug 256:9,11	174:3 181:19	52:18,20,21,22	167:9
document 7:17	downward	218:5	53:5,8,10,20	earnings 50:10
7:18,20 9:11	184:7,10,14	draft 48:8,11,16	65:5 68:20,24	50:15
9:17 13:11	185:16,21	48:20 102:18	69:4,8 116:6,9	earth 100:16
42:24 121:6,20	186:7,18,20	138:11,12	116:12 117:1,6	easier 262:20
134:7 141:12	187:1,20	140:21 141:12	117:11 234:18	easily 155:16
141:17,19	195:17 217:20	166:10 168:4	DSIs 117:22	East 2:11,16
147:11 200:15	downwards	177:10 178:7	duct 55:15	229:22
201:4 205:9,15	218:1	197:13 199:1	117:15	easy 251:21
249:22 251:9	dozen 105:12	225:21 226:23	due 26:7 27:20	eat 174:12
documentation	138:15	227:13,23	34:10 72:22	economic 235:3
20:14 139:4	Dr 3:6 4:21	230:8,12	109:24 112:7	268:7,8
140:4 143:15	11:11 98:21	263:17	167:18 176:9	ED 175:16
251:17	133:4,8,11,14	drafts 48:7	182:19 203:23	232:23 235:18
documented	134:10,15,23	229:24	238:5 269:12	246:15
135:4	135:8,14,20	dramatic 79:24	269:13,14	edge 170:14
documents	136:1,6,9,15	82:24	dumped 160:19	252:5
14:10 20:17	136:24 137:4,7	dramatically	160:20	education
21:14 23:9	137:11,16,24	81:15 86:3	durations 99:24	106:18 234:1
39:7 57:15,18	138:9 140:5,15	88:20 114:7	Dynegy 98:22	educational
128:24 144:1,5	140:22 141:6	123:2 236:24	98:23 99:21	267:19
183:21	141:10 142:12	drank 238:20	101:4,7,13,14	Edwards 99:22
doing 10:7 25:5	142:24 143:5	drastically	101:15 235:20	101:4,13
49:23 114:15	143:16,23	258:23	237:16,20	102:13,21
116:2,8,14,18	144:9 145:1,4	draw 18:12	238:1 247:20	175:16 232:23
124:8 127:19	145:9,15,21	drive 2:21 15:22	255:1 265:2,7	235:18 236:6
171:11 219:22	146:7,18	15:22 16:20,21	265:13	236:17 237:20
224:12 239:12	152:16 153:3	17:16 77:17	Dynegy's 247:24	246:15,23
254:23 260:7	153:21,24	125:23 126:8,9	248:21 249:19	249:1 252:22
267:8 270:7	154:9,17,24	138:18 141:3,4		262:17
dollar 191:13	155:18 157:17	141:21 142:7	<u>E</u>	effect 116:10
193:20	158:18 159:9	142:13 166:16	E 2:1,1 3:1,13	164:9 197:5
dollars 90:12,16	159:13,16,19	166:24 167:4,9	earlier 81:23	209:8,9 214:8
90:21,23 92:12	160:4,9,13,18	167:21	107:5 109:4,22	255:6
92:12,21,22	161:18,24	driven 234:13	113:10 114:22	effecting 84:22
127:21 131:12	162:7,23	drives 249:14	119:15 132:1	effective 158:2
131:13 157:22	163:19,24	driving 28:18	147:4 150:21	188:1 250:4
257:22	164:11,17	dropped 173:2	168:7 180:2	effectively 56:10
domain 266:17	165:7,14,18	drops 123:1	181:22 216:12	206:14
dots 20:2	166:6,13,18,21	dry 46:3 52:24	218:7 234:9	effects 175:17
double-check	167:2,7,14,19	53:6,9,11,15	238:13,13	209:11 242:4
238:12	168:1,6,12	53:15 64:14	243:22 245:23	efficacy 117:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

efficient 178.8 20:11,19,24 23:13 24:19 188:11 190:2 113:23 114:17 effort 85:9 97:24 21:2,8 22:1,2,4 25:2 27:1,23 191:20,22 17:5,9 193:2 88:9 134:21 22:23 23:7,20 30:13,22 31:3 196:20 20:1:2 198:24 20:618 88:9 134:21 29:5,11 30:2 44:1 63:8 65:4 213:15 215:14 21:114 124:19 180:23 188:14 30:12 31:23 33:2 41:6 64:4 68:8 71:22,22 215:18,19,20 221:518,19,20 205:17 248:24 67:1,3,17 68:4 68:8 71:22,22 221:52 32:20 234:61 32:6 221:24 52:1 20:20 221:24 52:1 236:11 237:9 236:12 237:2 23:12 23:12 127:1,20 23:12 53:12 24:12 13:13:13 23:12 53:12 23:12 53:12	_				Page 204
efforts 18:9 97:24 21:2,8 22:1,2,4 25:2 27:1,23 191:20,22 127:5,9 193:2 181:2 183:13 22:23 23:7,20 30:13,22 31:3 191:20,22 127:5,9 193:2 efforts 14:4,16 26:13 27:6 31:3 23:33:3 202:4,11,12 188:93 188:14 26:13 27:6 37:22 39:5,5 206:19 210:13 111:14 124:19 180:23 188:14 30:12 31:23 67:21,22,24 215:18,19,20 enginer 10:13 111:14 124:19 190:18,23 33:2 41:6 64:4 68:8 71:22,22 221:5 23:20 23:6:10:37:9 cengines 126:23 199:20 224:19 64:6 66:2,9,23 72:4,14.17 236:11 237:9 237:22 255:17 23:20:2 cengines 126:23 192:20 72:3,20,22 76:21 77:2,16 233:15 258:1 258:23 260:3,8 127:1,20 enjoy 258:18	off of on 4 170.0	20.11 10 24	22.12.24.10	100.11 100.2	112.22 114.17
181:2 183:13 22:23 237;20 30:13,22 31:3 196:20 201:2 198:24 206:18 efforts 14:4,16 26:13 27:6 37:22 39:5,5 206:19 210:13 11:14 124:19 88:9 134:21 30:12 31:23 44:1 63:8 65:4 213:15 215:14 126:20 190:18,23 32:2 41:6 64:4 68:8 71:22,22 221:5 232:0 52:14 52:1 199:20 224:19 64:6 66:2,9,23 72:4,14,17 234:6,13 236:7 221:7:22.20 52:4 52:1 199:20 24:19 64:6 66:2,9,23 72:4,14,17 234:6,13 236:7 236:11 237:9 221:7:1,20 205:17 248:24 67:1,3,17 68:4 73:3,16,19 235:15 258:1 253:15 258:1 212:1,20 192:20 72:3,20,22 76:21 77:2,16 253:15 258:1 253:15 258:1 217:1,20 eight 124:12 73:2,8,19 78:5,6,8,8 79.9 278:5,6,8,8 79.9 278:1,28 11:16 168:18 170:3 115:51 514:1 169:5 197:13 117:9 125:3 83:6 85:6 86:7 87:2,9 11:1 80:1,2 81:1,16 168:18 170:3 115:51 514:1 168:18 170:3 207:12 207:13 207:12 206:13 <					
201:6 234:5 efforts 14:4,16 26:13 27:6 88:9 134:21 180:23 188:14 190:18,23 33:2 41:6 64:4 190:18,23 33:2 41:6 64:4 190:18,23 33:2 41:6 64:4 190:20 224:19 225:17 248:24 67:13,17 68:4 72:3,16;1 192:20 ergincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 232:20 ergincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 232:13 233:2 192:20 ergincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 232:13 233:2 192:20 ergincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 233:12 123:9 102:10 237:22 233:12 102:10 237:22 233:12 102:10 237:22 233:12 102:10 237:22 233:12 102:10 23 13:10 elements 53:24 164:2 155:8 119:22,23 239:14 elephant 242:15 elements 53:24 156:16 157:10 56:8 135:3 24:16 162:1 10:21 17:2 13 10:21 17:2 13 10:21 11:13 111:14 124:19 206:19 210:13 111:14 124:19 206:19 210:13 111:14 124:19 213:15 215:14 213:15 215:14 213:15 23:20 ergincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:12 1237:9 238:22 26:7 238:23 260:3,8 emits 23:68,13 23:10 21:11 11:3:0 23:12 13:2 11:11 13:11:11 11:14 124:19 11:13 11:14 124:19 126:20 engincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:12 132:9 10:23:15 23:20 engincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 236:11 237:9 236:12 132:9 238:22 26:7 236:11 237:9 238:23 26:7 236:11 237:9 238:23 25:12 238:23 239:14 elements 53:24 154:12 13:2 11:13 11:14 124:19 126:20 engincer 10:13 51:24 52:1 221:52 23:20 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 236:12				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
efforts 14:4,16 26:13 27:6 37:22 39:5,5 206:19 210:13 111:14 124:19 88:9 134:21 29:5,11 30:2 44:1 63:8 65:4 213:15 215:14 126:20 180:23 188:14 30:12 31:23 67:21,22,24 215:18,19,20 engineer 10:13 190:18,23 33:2 41:6 64:4 68:8 71:22,22 221:5 23:20 51:24 52:1 199:20 224:19 64:6 66:2,9,23 72:41,41,7 234:6,13 236:7 127:1,20 2eight 124:12 73:2,8,19 78:5,6,8,8 79:9 233:12 253:12 English 67:13 199:20 72:3,20,22 76:21 77:2,16 253:15 258:1 enjore 516:13 199:20 72:3,20,22 76:21 77:2,16 253:15 258:1 enjore 516:13 199:20 72:3,20,22 79:11,16,20,21 emits 30:24 61:23 ensure 192:24 either 6:19 21:9 76:4 77:17 80:1,2 87:19 80:1,2 87:19 80:1,2 87:19 85:1,2 22:14 115:515 154:11 168:18 170:3 entited 115:9		,	,		
88:9 134:21 29:5,11 30:2 44:1 63:8 65:4 213:15 215:14 126:20 180:23 188:14 30:12 31:23 67:21,22,24 215:18,19,20 engineer 10:13 190:18,23 33:2 41:6 64:4 68:8 71:22,22 221:5 232:20 51:24 52:1 199:20 224:19 64:6 66:2,9,23 72:4,14,17 234:6,13 236:7 regines 126:23 225:17 248:24 67:13,17 68:4 73:3,16,19 236:11 237:9 236:11 237:9 127:1,20 EGU's 184:23 69:23 71:4 76:21 77:2,16 253:15 258:1 regines 126:23 199:20 27:10 74:18 75:7,24 79:11,16,20,21 rest,21 72:2 rest,21 72:2 rest,21 72:2 rest,21 72:2 rest,21 72:2 rest,21 72:2 rest,22 72:2 rest,22 72:2 rest,22 8:3 rest,24 52:1 rest,22 8:3 rest,22 8:3 rest,22 8:3 rest,23 8:1 rest,22 9:3 rest,22 9:3 rest,22 9:3 rest,24 8:2 rest,22 9:3 rest,24 8:4 rest,22 9:3 rest,24 8:4 rest,22 9:3 rest,24 8:4 rest,22 9:3 rest,24 8:4 rest,22 9:3 rest,22 9:3 rest,22 9:3 rest,22 9:3 rest,22 9:		-		7 7	U
180:23 188:14 30:12 31:23 33:2 41:6 64:4 68:8 71:22,22 221:5 232:20 221:5 232:20 221:5 232:20 221:5 232:20 222:17 248:24 67:1,3,17 68:4 73:3,16,19 236:11 237:9 127:1,20 236:12 237:22 253:12 237:22 253:13 237:22 253:13 237:23 256:38 237:23 257:23 258:3 258:38 237:23 257:23 258:3 258:38 237:23 257:23 258:3 258:38 237:23 257:33 237:23 257:	,				
190:18,23		,			
199:20 224:19					0
225:17 248:24 67:1,3,17 68:4 73:3,16,19 236:11 237:9 127:1,20 EGU's 184:23 69:23 71:4 74:14 76:10,13 237:22 253:12 258:15 258:1 english 67:13 enjoy 258:18 en	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
EGU's 184:23 69:23 71:4 74:14 76:10,13 237:22 253:12 English 67:13 enjoy 258:18 ensure 192:24 196:31 196:24 111:18 207:13 265:13 ensure 192:24 196:31 207:12 entire 30:24 111:18 ensure 192:24 ensure 192:24 ensure 192:24 ensure 192:24 ensure 192:24 ensure 192:24 ensure 20:24 ensure 192:24 ensure 20:31:18 entire 170:1 enter 192:18				,	U
192:20		· · ·	, ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
eight 124:12 73:2,8,19 78:5,6,8,8 79:9 258:23 260:3,8 ensure 192:24 167:16,17,17 74:18 75:7,24 79:11,16,20,21 emit 30:24 61:23 196:5 197:13 36:4 108:21 79:9 80:14 81:19 82:9,12 115:15 15:4:11 265:13 117:9 125:3 83:6 85:6 86:7 83:8,20 85:12 emitts 236:8,13 entail 91:18 128:16,17 86:8,12 87:19 85:14,22,24 emitted 115:9 entail 91:18 144:7 206:11 87:24 101:3 86:2,4,14,24 170:2,15 195:5 207:12 elaborate 24:3 118:21 125:16 88:1,2,2 91:22 238:2 emitted 115:9 enter 8:22 9:8 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 76:6 149:14 179:3 205:6 entered 178:17 180:5 256:14 145:12,18 102:16 103:5,7 253:2 employed 186:3 12:13,21 elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 employed 186:3 12:13,21 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 encountered 196:23 186:8 188:9 146:11 147:4 203:12			,		C
Teither 6:19 21:9		, ,			
either 6:19 21:9 76:4 77:17 80:1,2 81:1,16 115:15 154:11 265:13 36:4 108:21 79:9 80:14 81:19 82:9,12 168:18 170:3 entail 91:18 117:9 125:3 83:6 88:6 86:7 83:8,20 88:12 emits 236:8,13 entail 91:18 128:16,17 86:8,12 87:19 85:14,22,24 emitted 115:9 ethited 115:9 144:7 206:11 110:6 111:15 87:4,10,19 236:11 237:19 ether 8:22 9:8 207:3 110:6 111:15 88:1,2,2 91:22 238:2 emitted 115:9 ether 8:22 9:8 elaborate 24:3 118:21 125:12 92:7 93:20,24 236:11 237:19 134:4 178:13 62:21 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:25,9 emitting 31:7,15 76:6 149:14 180:5 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employed 186:3 entering 9:6 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entireing 9:6 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 encountered 171:11 258:17 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 ende		′ ′			
36:4 108:21 79:9 80:14 81:19 82:9,12 168:18 170:3 entail 91:18 117:9 125:3 83:6 85:6 86:7 83:8,20 85:12 emits 236:8,13 enter 8:22 9:8 128:16,17 86:8,12 87:19 85:14,22,24 emitted 115:9 enter 8:22 9:8 144:7 206:11 87:24 101:3 86:2,4,14,24 170:2,15 195:5 12:2 13:8 207:3 110:6 111:15 87:41,0,19 236:11 237:19 134:4 178:13 ejection 115:19 113:12 115:16 88:1,2,2 91:22 238:2 emitting 31:7,15 elaborate 24:3 118:21 125:12 92:7 93:20,24 emitting 31:7,15 entered 178:17 62:21 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 253:2 employed 186:3 12:13,21 256:14 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employed 186:3 12:13,21 elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 188:18 encourages 17:11 258:17 elemants 223:9 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 203:12 entited 4:10 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>, , ,</td> <td></td> <td></td>		-	, , ,		
117:9 125:3					
128:16,17					
144:7 206:11 87:24 101:3 86:2,4,14,24 170:2,15 195:5 12:2 13:8 207:3 110:6 111:15 87:4,10,19 236:11 237:19 134:4 178:13 ejection 115:19 113:12 115:16 88:1,2,2 91:22 238:2 emitting 31:7,15 62:21 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 76:6 149:14 180:5 Electrical 128:2 136:13 102:16 103:5,7 76:6 149:14 180:5 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 12:13,21 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 15:8,17 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 encourages 171:11 258:17 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 188:18 188:18 203:12 entirely 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 edeavoring 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 15:3 155:1 156:19 154:15 177:8 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td>			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
207:3 110:6 111:15 87:4,10,19 236:11 237:19 134:4 178:13 ejection 115:19 113:12 115:16 88:1,2,2 91:22 238:2 179:3 205:6 elaborate 24:3 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 emitting 31:7,15 76:6 149:14 180:5 electrical 128:2 136:13 102:16 103:5,7 253:2 entered 178:17 256:14 145:12,18 108:19 109:23 employed 186:3 204:19 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 encountered entire 170:1 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 188:18 encourages 217:2 2eliminate 223:9 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 entirety 7:16 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 10:23 13:10 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 152:1 64:19 152:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,		
ejection 115:19 113:12 115:16 88:1,2,2 91:22 238:2 179:3 205:6 elaborate 24:3 118:21 125:12 92:7 93:20,24 emitting 31:7,15 180:5 62:21 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 76:6 149:14 180:5 256:14 145:12,18 108:19 109:23 employed 186:3 12:13,21 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entering 9:6 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 155:16 157:10 155:16 157:10 15:8,17 encountered 171:11 258:17 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 188:18 entirely 70:24 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 146:11 147:4 endeavoring entirety 7:16 elevated 188:2 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:15 177:8 241:6 246:7 enforce 127:7 enforce 127:7 enforce 127:7 257:			, , ,	,	
elaborate 24:3 118:21 125:12 92:7 93:20,24 emitting 31:7,15 entered 178:17 62:21 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 76:6 149:14 180:5 256:14 145:12,18 108:19 109:23 employed 186:3 253:2 entering 9:6 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 encountered 171:11 258:17 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:5 157:8 154:5 157:8 eliminates 195:6 196:21 155:7 156:19 24:6 246:7 entries 267:7 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 embrace 1					
62:21 125:20 127:2 94:1 100:2,5,9 76:6 149:14 180:5 Electrical 128:2 136:13 102:16 103:5,7 253:2 entering 9:6 256:14 145:12,18 108:19 109:23 employed 186:3 12:13,21 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entities 121:2 entities 121:2 elewated 188:2 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 entrices 267:7 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20	•				
Electrical 128:2 136:13 102:16 103:5,7 253:2 entering 9:6 256:14 145:12,18 108:19 109:23 employed 186:3 12:13,21 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 encountered 171:11 258:17 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entities 121:2 elwated 188:2 186:8 188:9 146:11 147:4 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· ·</td><td></td></td<>				· ·	
256:14 145:12,18 108:19 109:23 employed 186:3 12:13,21 electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 encountered 171:11 258:17 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 entirely 70:24 239:14 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 encourages 217:2 entirely 70:24 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entitled 4:10 entitled 4:10 eleminate 223:9 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:15 177:8 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entries 267:7 entry 266:20 email 126:8 214:10 223:24					
electricity 146:9,22,22 111:23 112:6 employees 204:19 234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 encountered 171:11 258:17 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entities 121:2 elewated 188:2 186:8 188:9 146:11 147:4 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 159:22 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 environment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environment emergency			,		O
234:23 237:3 148:23 150:1 112:11 113:20 258:17 260:2 entire 170:1 elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 105:14 encountered 171:11 258:17 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entitled 4:10 eliminate 223:9 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:15 177:8 entres 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 155:1 156:19 154:15 177:8 entres 267:7 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 164:21,23 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency<		′			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
elements 53:24 154:22 155:7 114:4 115:2,4 encountered 171:11 258:17 54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entitled 4:10 eliminate 223:9 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 159:9 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entries 267:7 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 enforceability 259:1,2 emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 5:4,16,24		, ,		_ · ·	
54:4 55:24 155:16 157:10 115:8,17 105:14 entirely 70:24 56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 217:2 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entitles 121:2 elevated 188:2 186:8 188:9 146:11 147:4 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 entirely 7:16 196:23 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:15 177:8 entries 267:7 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 162:1 163:19 241:6 246:7 environment 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 24:19 257:20 258:20 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8					
56:8 135:3 164:2 165:8 119:22,23 encourages 217:2 239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entitles 121:2 elevated 188:2 190:10,15 146:11 147:4 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td>			,		
239:14 171:3 172:13 121:21 126:19 188:18 entirety 7:16 elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminate 223:9 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 entres 267:7 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 196:23 195:6 196:21 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entry 266:20 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 20:21 21:8 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12					
elephant 242:15 184:7 185:5 127:13 128:7 endeavoring entities 121:2 elevated 188:2 186:8 188:9 146:11 147:4 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 129:9 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 enforce 127:7 environment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 5:4,16,24 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12			*		
elevated 188:2 186:8 188:9 146:11 147:4 203:12 entitled 4:10 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 129:9 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 environment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12					· ·
eliminate 223:9 190:10,15 147:12 148:20 ends 199:22 129:9 eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 entroment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12				<u> </u>	
eliminates 191:2,8 194:14 150:4,5 151:3 energy 89:8 entries 267:7 196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 environment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12					
196:23 195:6 196:21 151:7 152:2 154:15 177:8 entry 266:20 ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 environment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 211:97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12		′			
ELPC 6:10 9:21 196:22 199:3 155:1 156:19 241:6 246:7 environment 10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environment emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12		-	,	0.0	
10:23 13:10 210:8,17 162:1 163:19 enforce 127:7 2:11 97:23 email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12					
email 126:8 214:10 223:24 163:22 164:19 152:4 257:20 258:20 140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12					
140:9 230:4 emissions 1:7 164:21,23 enforceability 259:1,2 embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12		,			
embrace 177:8 4:12,13 16:24 168:17 169:7 24:19 environmental emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12					
emergency 17:3,6,8,10,13 169:17,24 enforceable 20:5 2:15,16,19 5:2 127:20 244:21 17:23 18:6,8 170:13,13,15 20:21 21:8 5:4,16,24 emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12				•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
127:20 244:21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
emission 1:8 18:10 19:19 171:6 184:2,21 22:11,12 23:4 10:12 11:8,12			,		1 1
19.5,9,10 20.5 20:10 21:10 187:22 188:2 20:8 78:9 11:22 104:5				-	
I I I	19.5,9,10 20:3	20.10 21:10	187.22 188.2	20.8 / 8.9	11.22 104:5
		l l		<u> </u>	l

August 4, 2015

				Tage 200
133:1,6,13	237:22 247:20	221:13	251:21	203:19 204:8
134:5 176:17	248:10,11,19	estimates 190:9	examples 56:4	204:12 205:8
188:12 189:1	248:22,23	192:2	104:9	205:10,14,16
225:11,18	249:6 253:3,16	et 137:10 250:18	exceed 81:21	217:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	254:18 255:16	251:16,16		
226:1,12,23 227:23 228:10		257:10,10	111:4,10 118:21	exhibits 3:3,8
	258:3 260:12			8:22 12:2
229:7 233:21	266:6 267:14 EDA: 14.12	etiology 172:12	exceedances	204:13,19
249:12,18	EPA's 14:12	Europe 238:21	67:6,9	208:7
254:21 263:19	41:8 42:13,15	Europeans	exceeded 43:18	exhilarating
environmenta	71:17 73:4	238:18	104:10	42:9
257:12	136:11 215:23	evaluating 47:21	exception	existence 249:15
environmenta	266:16	evaluation 119:6	223:19	existing 44:21
259:6	equal 150:4	189:4	excess 237:16	44:22 45:3
envy 253:19	170:13 171:6	evaluations 57:5	exchange 56:18	189:18
EPA 8:8,14 14:2	176:4	evenings 68:7	excited 180:20	expect 99:6
41:13,20 42:12	equally 85:19	event 114:9,11	excuse 59:12	103:10 124:20
42:17,19,20	224:16	events 72:4	131:9 169:4	169:19 172:11
43:10,14,15	equates 40:5	210:4 235:2	251:7	expected 85:19
57:6,11,12,20	equation 26:11	eventual 38:19	exempt 225:15	101:5 203:22
57:21,23 58:5	92:1,2 245:18	234:18	exempting	expedited 5:5
58:21 61:14,18	246:4 249:17	everybody 4:3	223:23	expeditious
65:19,24 68:15	equations 30:4	29:15 42:3	exemption	202:5
71:21 79:1	equipment	97:9,10 204:10	149:19,22	expending
85:22 105:2	54:15 55:11	215:15	150:3 189:13	219:21
112:3 116:10	113:24 145:14	everybody's	191:6,10	expensive 158:5
118:1,3,11	145:19 184:3,4	97:24	194:18 213:8	158:11
119:7,10	185:10 187:3	evidence 25:23	213:14 214:7	experience
135:11,22	194:19 219:12	exacerbate	219:12,23	105:13 106:20
136:11,22	234:21 235:19	235:17	222:11 227:9	117:4 120:21
137:6,14 142:7	235:21 236:3	exact 14:5 66:11	exercise 19:13	121:7 168:2
146:15 151:13	236:21 257:23	140:16 160:6,9	19:19 20:7	199:14 210:12
155:11 180:17	259:9	266:17,17	42:9 145:22	210:17 250:1
184:11,11,12	equivalent	exactly 44:17	161:20 162:4	251:1
, , ,	185:13 207:17		161.20 162.4	
184:13,19,22		123:18 143:24		expert 105:15
186:1,9,9	224:22	150:19 196:14	exercises 161:13	106:4,16
187:1,11,16	especially 106:5	203:18 217:22	161:14	experts 106:6,8
188:4 189:3	178:1 234:15	222:16	exhaust 54:21	252:14
191:1 192:22	essentially	examine 198:19	exhibit 3:4,6,15	experts' 106:1
197:13,24	115:23 152:20	235:12	3:16,17,18,19	explain 20:14
198:13,15	171:3	example 27:12	3:20 9:6,10,12	26:23 107:14
201:18 202:1,7	establish 114:16	90:22 110:23	9:16,18 13:10	111:24 115:5
203:10 204:5	established	114:3 115:10	13:12 59:20,23	119:17 120:20
207:15 208:23	101:7	157:18 215:21	60:10 133:22	121:19 168:10
209:5 212:4	estimate 187:7	220:9 227:9	134:6,8 178:13	225:17
236:19,23	190:14 221:12	230:2 243:4	178:19,22	explained

August 4, 2015

				Page 200
185:24	fact 21:8 22:11	247:8 257:2	225:16	56:13 81:3
explaining	27:5 47:9	family 131:10	fifth 81:2	121:22 188:15
108:4 112:19	61:13 63:17	131:15 176:5	figure 156:16	264:23
explains 62:7	68:18 70:9	256:23 259:21	227:5 242:13	fingerprint
-	71:15 72:18	fanfare 247:22	262:13	173:14
explanation 62:7 108:1	73:4 78:24		file 6:22 16:2	finish 32:9 34:14
112:23 251:6		fans 95:8,9 far 17:12 21:15		
	85:19 88:7		231:20 243:23	126:9 260:23
explanations	97:15 112:13	22:7 87:14	268:24	fire 87:2 173:6
17:9	117:15,19	91:14 144:2	filed 4:24 12:19	216:14 217:1
exploratory	118:3 124:7,13	148:17 219:20	48:5 160:8	235:5 261:10
117:2	138:13 139:17	229:23 237:24	files 14:22 15:1	261:12 266:1,3
explosion 217:2	142:6 147:14	266:14	15:20 16:7,11	fired 89:5
express 6:7	147:24,24	fashion 20:4	16:19 21:3	firemen 262:6
extends 72:10	149:13 150:20	fastest 222:23	28:8 29:13	firing 153:1
72:15,16	151:9,20	fastforward	126:10 141:18	first 5:6,10 7:17
extension	156:14 160:19	118:9	142:20,21	23:14 34:15
167:13	168:21 182:16	fatal 242:6	181:18 182:9	39:19,19 41:10
extensions 243:1	185:20 191:11	favor 223:22	filing 14:7	46:24 54:11
extensive 116:18	203:17 210:12	245:14,15	268:16,23	60:11 63:14
155:11 201:1	248:3 255:17	favorable 63:9	269:6,9	98:17 122:17
209:19	265:4	favored 156:7	filings 6:24	122:19 125:3,9
extensively	factor 128:2	feasible 229:10	fill 8:18 267:7	137:8 140:9
109:1	factors 54:20	February	final 3:9 73:4	146:19,20
extent 154:1	facts 44:3 81:5	247:19	85:16 136:11	147:22 148:1
235:13	89:16 90:18	federal 25:3,16	197:17 198:23	153:4 157:22
extra 204:9,9	182:15	190:20 212:13	198:24 213:20	157:24 158:5
237:18 245:23	factual 80:3	fee 50:22	227:3 238:8	158:11 159:11
255:23	fail 244:23	feeds 91:1	269:12,16,17	172:5 180:18
extreme 235:2	failed 18:1	feel 251:7	finalize 212:5	182:1 183:19
extremely 76:4	143:19 182:2,8	254:15,19	finalized 176:16	197:12 199:12
87:3 195:13	fair 106:11,20	255:5	198:11 236:23	202:14 206:6
199:17 218:19	129:14	feels 121:17	finalizing	208:6 238:18
eyes 228:17	fairly 20:8 56:12	253:16	136:13 234:8	239:22 240:4
240:16	153:14 267:10	fell 38:15 65:6	finally 148:4	240:24 241:21
	fairness 246:5	249:10	202:14 248:3	242:12 247:14
F	fairyrooms	felt 242:14	financially	247:18 259:5
F 3:3,15 9:10,12	96:21	fenced 266:9	257:24	261:13 264:4
face 100:6,6,7	faith 11:5	fewer 87:15	find 94:19 170:8	fish 96:16,18
202:24 203:2	254:21	FGD 149:23	176:13 183:8	242:16
facets 199:21	fall 237:3	189:13 191:6	243:18 247:16	Fisher 174:13
facilities 27:17	falling 97:6	194:18 213:7	250:2 251:5,18	174:18,19
30:24 222:13	familiar 14:14	213:14,24	252:22 253:2,3	fisherman
facility 27:20	45:5 137:20	214:8 219:12	254:4 261:21	257:11
124:13 216:13	249:21	219:20 220:14	261:23 267:16	fits 114:21
217:10	families 176:22	222:11 224:4	fine 36:6 55:15	five 76:5,10
	1411111C5 1 / U.22	222.11 22 7 .7	11110 30.0 33.13	1110 /0.5,10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>

August 4, 2015

				Page 201
163:23 185:22	223:18 231:12	256:2	56:12	239:18,19,19
223:5 252:2	269:1	frankly 21:22	fungibility	261:4,5 266:19
flare 114:9	food 96:17	142:23 222:18	63:23	267:12
flares 27:16,16	foolish 158:4,10	266:9	funny 89:24	Gary 98:8
27:18,19 72:23	158:14	frequency 207:4	further 37:13	100:13,15
109:5 110:1	footprint 247:24	frequently 119:3	46:9 54:15	238:13
114:2,3	force 28:18	Friday 166:18	79:7 123:5	gas 54:18,21
,	101:11 213:21	166:20 269:4	145:18 153:14	55:9 56:1 82:2
flaring 114:9				
fleet-wide 192:1	213:22 234:10	friends 96:12	165:20 172:14	82:7,22 85:14
255:2	236:4	176:5,8 243:5	182:4 187:24	85:23 86:7,13
flies 47:12	foregoing	246:16	189:4 191:8	86:18,23 87:24
flip 107:2	270:11,11	front 7:10	196:3 202:7	88:5,13 89:4
Florida 237:23	forget 89:23	106:24 132:14	214:17 259:11	90:11,20,21
237:23	110:1,1	139:16 165:8	Furthermore	91:1,15,22
flow 228:19	form 53:5 56:13	fuel 19:4 21:18	189:2 193:22	145:14,19
fluctuate 50:15	105:22 192:23	23:12,23 24:2	251:19	153:1 154:21
fluctuates 25:18	239:9	24:8,9,17,24	future 45:1	173:7 190:20
105:6 195:3	formal 121:15	25:1,4,6,7,12	79:13 80:22	192:6,7 194:16
fluctuation	122:14	25:17,24 26:8	84:5 122:13	211:18 212:10
195:16	forth 102:12	26:11 27:11	148:20,20	216:13,19,23
fluctuations	265:12	28:9 29:9,10	177:23 178:3	217:4 245:21
23:13,15,17,21	forthcoming	29:24 30:4	190:23 192:13	gas-fired 62:2
24:1 25:2	18:24	39:5 74:1	214:11,14	86:4 87:4,10
flue 53:14 54:18	fortunate 96:8	104:10 108:22	242:5 246:7	87:14,18 173:1
145:14,19	243:5,7	109:3,23 110:3		gaseous 56:14
focus 99:3,8	forward 238:8	110:3,15,16	<u>G</u>	gasses 27:19
177:22 252:3	247:2 264:9	111:4,9,21	G 3:3,16 9:16,18	53:14,14
focused 17:7	fossil 239:2,8,10	112:1,7,21	G-A-R-L-I-S-H	117:12 190:5
233:23	found 43:11	113:24 115:12	130:21 177:15	gears 95:14
focusing 251:4	76:2 173:14	127:10,14,15	204:22 228:7	Gen 263:21,21
folks 48:17	176:8 195:13	128:2 192:5	239:20	Gen's 263:15
174:13	241:19 251:9	193:1 194:17	G-A-R-Y 100:15	general 71:21
follow-up 34:9	four 64:21 65:1	195:2,17 196:1	G-O-L-D-E-N	131:4 228:9,21
46:13 59:18	74:9 92:6	196:8 222:12	232:7	228:24 229:24
104:21 123:8	103:18 123:1	fuels 25:8,10	G-R-E-E-N	239:3
132:14 166:3,5	153:8 161:21	30:14 61:23	258:13	generalities
173:21 206:3	161:22 167:22	111:9 195:19	garbage 97:20	182:23
220:17 226:8	175:21 192:20	195:20 196:11	Garlish 130:19	generally 23:17
226:10 228:4	212:19,24	239:2,8,10	130:20 131:3	106:14 107:13
233:15	213:10,13,22	full 64:10 68:1	131:23 132:6	112:15 196:7
followed 6:3	219:16 221:18	181:12 250:24	177:14,15	197:11 266:7
98:8 100:13	267:9	fully 26:16,22	204:21,21	generate 234:23
247:5 258:11	Fox 247:5,7,7	33:16 217:6	205:3 228:6,6	generated
following 35:20	fraction 164:6	234:22	229:14 230:15	110:11 115:8
95:2 179:7,18	Frank 247:6	fundamental	230:19 232:3	generating
				<i></i>
	•	•	•	·

August 4, 2015

				Page 288
256.17	120 10 10	17.1.55.11	110 10 150 7.0	177 (12 10 21
256:17	138:18,18	goes 17:1 55:11	119:12 159:7,9	166:6,13,18,21
generation 13:5	141:21 175:4	198:15 229:17	230:11 241:18	167:2,7,14,19
46:2 68:14,24	207:20 218:21	262:1	243:16 246:6	168:1,6,12
88:7 92:20	218:24 220:16	going 4:2 24:24	254:21 256:10	171:18,21
93:4 100:22	221:2 231:5	33:5,9 35:4	government	172:1 173:3,9
115:9,17	239:3 240:13	41:18 54:13,14	105:1 151:13	173:19,22,24
149:18 175:23	245:23 253:3	54:20 55:2,5	gram 24:5	174:3 181:19
183:24 186:15	given 6:14 17:15	55:19 59:19	grams 22:24	193:9,22
186:24 187:10	23:23 24:10	60:15 62:10,11	110:6,19 111:1	Gray's 133:14
187:15 190:24	30:14 80:18	81:14 82:5,6	Grand 2:11	179:7 200:3
191:12 192:4,8	84:18 106:11	82:23 85:13	grandchildren	great 10:22 87:9
192:11,15,17	106:12 166:16	89:22,23 92:9	257:14	96:6 97:13
212:18 213:6	172:11,12	92:10,20 93:6	granted 5:3	173:15 219:14
214:2,9 219:13	261:9	93:18 94:1,12	granting 150:3	248:14 249:19
219:19,22	gives 26:24	95:6,13 97:3	graphs 203:19	254:11
223:9 224:10	giving 103:19	99:16 100:21	Gray 3:6 11:11	greater 86:8
224:19,23	179:13	100:23,24	12:11 132:24	99:13 102:6
225:6 264:1	glad 245:9	111:4 112:21	133:4,8,11	106:5 185:21
Generation's	global 235:1	113:20 114:12	134:4,10,15,20	190:12
40:4 194:8	Glosser 2:6 4:21	115:15 116:9	134:23 135:8	greatest 235:13
219:10 221:7	98:21	118:13,16,21	135:14,20	greatly 190:18
225:13,18	go 10:5 34:14	120:15 121:4	136:1,6,9,15	greatness 176:7
generations	42:8 46:17,24	122:12 124:15	136:24 137:4,7	Green 258:11,12
177:6	51:4,12,14	129:16 130:12	137:11,16,24	258:12
generators 82:5	79:7 94:20	139:14 147:13	138:9 140:5,15	greenhouse
Gerald 2:8	99:11 100:21	150:19 158:8	140:22 141:6	91:22 190:5,20
Gerry 4:23	100:22 129:16	170:5,5,22	141:10 142:12	greeted 247:22
get-go 82:10	129:21 135:16	171:12 174:9	142:24 143:5,9	grew 96:9
getting 16:8	139:14,19	179:22 180:3,6	143:16,23	241:24 244:9
17:15 56:16	140:6 142:2	205:6 215:16	144:9,11 145:1	grinding 54:14
89:7 91:21	158:2 159:6	216:19,21	145:4,9,15,21	ground 239:5,9
99:9 106:18	173:7 174:11	218:15 219:20	146:7,18	239:14
112:6,7 138:22	179:16 205:2	223:12 231:22	152:16 153:3	group 2:16,19
148:18 154:4	216:14 218:13	244:19 248:20	153:21,24	5:16 104:5
180:19 203:17	218:23 222:23	250:10 251:20	154:9,17,24	178:13,19,21
219:7 229:23	223:3,10	252:5 254:23	155:18 157:17	200:10 227:24
234:19 267:16	224:22 225:1	255:10 257:16	158:18,22	229:6 246:3
gigantic 126:11	227:5 246:12	258:16 264:9	159:8,9,13,16	247:24 249:12
Girl 242:21	246:18 252:14	265:24 266:23		
	256:4 266:4		159:19 160:4,9	grouping 253:24
give 12:7 27:12		267:24 268:15	160:13,18	groups 225:11
36:10 41:18	268:16,17,21	Golden 232:5,6	161:18,24	225:19 226:2
51:5 76:6 84:2	goal 158:3	233:8,11	162:7,23	226:13,24
85:1 91:15	goals 61:24	good 4:7 47:2,4	163:19,24	228:11 229:7
99:13 118:16	God 12:9 36:12	55:16,17 87:3	164:11,17	249:18 263:16
125:19,22	241:2 256:24	90:20 114:3	165:7,14,18	263:19
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

				Page 209
guess 97:18 99:5	120:3	119:9 131:13	102:2 103:15	hearings 5:9,19
103:6 154:16	handling 89:7	176:21 209:1,4	102.2 103.13	18:17 105:15
229:7 262:8	hands 12:5 36:8	209:15,23	104.17,20	125:9 143:12
guesses 156:21	hang 243:5	209.13,23	125:3,4 129:15	144:8,10 187:9
guidance 41:8	happen 223:4,11	228:12 233:22	129:20 130:2,7	225:10 254:15
41:15 42:15	223:13 227:16	233:22,23,24	130:10,24	268:5
43:12 118:11		234:4,10 235:3	,	heart 99:12
136:18,19	happened 63:3 111:15 121:8,9	235:4,7,16	131:22 132:2,9 132:21 133:17	100:8 101:12
137:6 184:11	132:1 144:7	237:8	134:1,12,18	100.8 101.12
	217:3 250:18	healthier 243:19	, ,	
184:15,19 185:2,15,23	happening	267:20	135:7,18 136:8 142:11 143:4	244:11,12,22 heat 24:13 87:22
186:14 187:1	65:20 115:9	healthy 98:15	158:23 159:5	110:11
188:5	240:18	100:10 102:9	158:23 159:3	heaven 96:20
		175:9 177:5	166:2 172:16	98:4
gut 261:24	happens 53:14 54:6 101:18		172:20 173:17	
guys 147:14	110:2 117:14	209:9 241:18 241:19 244:14		hefty 178:5 held 15:4 95:3
148:11 175:20			174:1,4,7,16	neid 15:4 95:3 179:19 181:11
229:18 257:10	117:15 245:4	247:10 248:1	174:20,24 177:12 178:10	
H	happy 23:1	256:24 hear 5:22 7:24		270:10
H 3:8,13,17	141:1,4 147:18	hear 5:22 7:24	178:18,20	hellhole 254:14
11:11 134:4	249:22 253:7	13:16 26:18	179:2,10,21	Hello 34:22
205:8,10 208:7	Harant 98:7,9	36:23 46:20	180:12 182:1	104:7 175:6
H-A-L-L 100:16	98:11 238:13	97:5 99:6	183:17,17	180:14 233:20
H-A-R-A-N-T	hard 15:22	165:9 204:23	195:16 200:12	help 6:6 12:8
98:12	16:20 53:14	240:6 244:19	200:17 201:10	28:14 36:12
98.12 hair 49:24	141:3 162:13	244:22	202:15,16	85:15 118:13
half 65:12 76:9	181:3 240:6,22	heard 5:12,15	204:11,18	236:21 246:9
87:21 89:19	255:20 260:2	99:5 131:3	205:5,12,18,21	helped 177:18
	harder 175:24	135:20 171:17	207:23 211:2	helpful 229:16
105:12 123:1 142:14 237:4	176:1	171:19 232:9	214:18,22	helps 258:15
	HARDIN 2:20	245:5 246:14	216:5 218:14	heralded 247:23
258:3	harm 257:18	hearing 1:13 2:4	219:4 220:20	248:14
halfway 169:20	Harry 100:14	3:3,9 4:1,9	220:23 221:22	heralding
Hall 98:8 100:13	Harvard 237:11	5:21 8:9,24	226:5,21 228:3	249:19
100:15,15	hashed 155:21	9:14,20 10:4	230:20 233:4,9	hesitant 214:13
238:13	Hayes 121:9	10:17 11:3,24	233:12 236:22	hey 250:10,17
hammer 147:15	hazard 50:21	12:12,17,23	238:10 239:16	Hi 177:14
hammered	haze 188:16	13:6,14,19	240:1 243:21	232:11
254:20	190:22	29:1 34:12,19	247:3 255:24	hiding 182:11
hand 4:5 7:4	head 40:10	34:23 35:3,8	256:5 258:8	252:16
34:14	266:2	35:12,18 36:3	259:14 260:14	high 66:2 72:17
handed 180:2,4	heading 69:5	36:5,16,21	260:22 261:2	76:3,5 96:24
handful 19:5	health 98:16	37:5 46:11,16	262:22 263:8	97:4 107:18
22:3	99:3,5,7,10,13	59:12,16 94:9	264:16,19,22	108:4 114:7
handfuls 256:19	99:18 103:3,9	95:5 96:3 98:5	267:22 268:20	123:21 153:18
handle 119:1	103:12,13	100:11 101:21	269:3,8,12,20	156:20 162:15

August 4, 2015

				Page 290
163:10 169:8	hospital 82:3	257:22	177:10 178:7	270:1,9,18
175:12 177:16	240:9	hunky-dory	208:19 220:1,6	Illinois' 255:21
211:6 262:14	hour 43:18 49:4	250:22 253:16	221:19 225:12	illnesses 238:5
262:15 267:17	49:5,9 66:3,9	hunters 257:10	225:16 263:14	imagine 79:6
higher 66:17,23	66:13,15 67:4	husband 241:6	IERG 46:23	impact 38:9
72:3 106:18	67:10,18 68:4	hypothetical	59:22 104:2,6	39:14,16,17,18
108:3 161:23	70:1,4,7,10	225:7	106:24	39:22 150:6
162:1,2,9	97:5 152:2,4	hypothetically	ILL 1:5	152:20 153:19
164:14 210:13	159:15 168:18	236:12	Illinois 1:1,16	153:21 154:22
210:17	168:21,22	hypotheticals	1:16,17 2:2,3,5	161:22 224:9
highest 39:11,14	184:8,8 186:18	63:17,18	2:11,12,14,16	237:24 255:10
39:16,17,19,21	186:19 187:5		2:17,19,22	268:8
39:21 77:11	207:2	I	4:11 5:4,16 8:8	impacted 33:5
78:1 79:4	hourly 26:6,13	I-N-M-A-N	8:13 9:4,5 14:2	155:12 157:16
122:10,19	27:6 30:1 49:7	175:7	14:12 32:21	225:4
123:3 150:8	66:2 70:23	i.e 146:11	42:13,19 68:15	impacting 40:11
163:2 224:14	73:8,19 74:14	idea 50:24 82:9	74:8 79:1	124:6 128:21
highly 163:7	99:24 106:1	94:3 101:16	98:14 101:7	163:7,8 224:13
201:6	145:12 159:17	119:2 123:23	102:8,9 103:11	224:17
hired 260:5	188:6 194:14	147:23 148:15	104:4,9 119:10	impacts 39:11
historic 176:16	210:17 235:10	151:22	120:8 121:4	40:17 99:18
250:15,16	hours 47:23	IDEM 32:22	130:20,21	123:16 146:13
historically	71:5,18 114:11	identification	136:4,11 142:7	153:15,24
87:16 198:22	127:18,21	9:12,18 13:12	146:15 155:11	234:11
history 43:24	128:4 181:1	134:9 205:10	175:9 180:17	impaired 73:15
237:5	210:9 251:10	205:16	184:11 186:9	implement
hit 117:16	251:10	identified 57:24	190:18 191:1	252:17
hold 203:3	house 50:6	58:2 70:2	192:16,22	implemented
236:22	243:6	identify 28:12	195:11,12,19	65:5
holds 198:3	housekeeping	93:8,10 109:17	195:20 199:21	implication 32:8
245:6	268:4	IEPA 56:23	204:5 212:12	implied 71:13
hole 152:15	Hubble 247:6	65:23 69:18,22	221:19 222:5	149:3 180:21
Hollis 246:16	256:2	70:2,13,15	228:12 236:19	195:3
home 50:8	huge 93:19	77:8 78:4,7	237:8,13 238:2	imply 68:6
100:20,20	103:4 106:10	79:13 84:22	244:5,11,12,13	112:10
228:16,18	227:14 234:24	107:24 108:20	246:10 247:10	implying 39:1
hometown	huggers 97:14	109:22 117:9	247:20 248:1	important 54:24
253:19	human 103:12	119:9 121:16	248:10,16,19	62:8 63:13
hope 49:2 98:24	209:15,23	160:8,15 161:3	248:22,23	90:19 107:23
99:15 155:20	hundred 127:21	166:16 179:16	249:6,7 252:13	119:11 131:16
245:14 255:15	hundreds 30:21	209:19 212:8	253:3,16	138:16 149:8
264:19	34:2 78:16,19	214:11 219:12	254:18 255:16	173:13 177:2
hopefully 161:8	79:8,14 80:5	225:17 244:6	256:17 258:3,6	193:3 208:16
263:11 267:19	90:12 92:11,21	244:18 261:7	260:17 250:5,0	228:22 252:2
269:4	162:11 236:18	IEPA's 111:11	266:6 267:14	importantly
	102.11 230.10		200.0 207.11	portunery
		1	1	

August 4, 2015

				Page 291
114:6	236:24 253:14	220:8	inhalers 242:22	instances 114:18
improve 234:7	254:5	inextricably	243:8,10	118:23
improvement	increased 238:4	194:21	inherent 53:8	instincts 261:24
59:6 246:13	increasing 41:24	inform 117:5	inherently 116:2	instrument
255:13	235:5	informally 29:1	initial 172:24	121:3
improvements	incur 92:9,11	29:2	initially 11:20	insufficient
253:21 255:14	incurred 89:2	information	70:1,14	110:8
incident 217:1	Indiana 32:6,19	14:15 15:8,13	inject 54:20 55:5	integrity 20:6
include 19:9	32:22 33:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	117:14	integrity 20.0
26:5 30:1	34:3 37:9	15:16,19,23 16:2,3,4,21	injected 53:17	intelligent 97:9
31:22 37:18	38:14 119:16	17:13,14,22	injected 33.17	intenigent 97.9
38:1 72:21	119:18,21	18:2 24:11	116:24	
137:13 198:24	128:15 129:1	29:1,20 33:12		intended 6:6,7 185:3
200:18 227:24	indicate 14:10	33:17 41:8	injection 46:3	intends 46:2
			52:16,24 53:13	
250:6 263:20 included 24:20	66:8 146:2 indicated 113:13	43:22,23 84:3 84:18 124:19	54:1 55:13	intensively 14:7 intent 249:4
			64:14 65:1,2	
32:2 37:15,23	115:3 140:7	124:20 125:7	65:10,13,19	interchangeable
81:23 85:4	141:1 168:8	125:15,22,23	68:13	146:12
128:6 138:6,15	196:9 225:10	126:13,15	Inman 175:6,7	interest 49:17
184:1 195:7	indicates 81:18	131:5,18,19,20	232:2	230:7 248:21
197:9 225:11	185:8	137:18,21	input 14:22 15:1	258:19
225:23 226:2	indicating 26:19	138:1,4,7,16	24:13 28:8	interested
246:22 263:17	65:4 140:9	138:21,23	110:11 255:10	155:21 188:3
includes 31:23	203:1	139:23 140:8	255:19	229:5 230:1,5
64:14 65:9	indigenous	141:2,22	inputs 17:3,5,10	interesting
71:12,24 184:6	238:19	142:10 143:3	17:23 18:6,8	78:23 81:6
211:23 220:12	indirectly	143:20 147:7	18:10 30:6	187:17 261:15
including 15:20	180:21	147:10,21	128:1	267:18
34:3 54:21	individual 21:17	148:18 156:2	inquired 91:3	internal 252:14
71:6 80:6 89:6	61:1,3 113:18	160:16,20,23	insert 248:23	internals 88:18
135:4,12 148:9	180:5	165:19 181:5,8	install 31:8 46:2	International
148:14 157:21	individuals	181:17,20	68:24 149:23	256:14
164:15 181:17	209:9 245:4,12	182:6 183:12	213:24 219:12	interpretation
198:9 199:15	245:16	186:15 194:2	225:16 235:20	202:8
203:24 221:4	indulgence	215:23 216:1	installation	interpreted
inclusion 119:16	255:23	218:9 221:4	101:5 184:4	202:1
210:23	industrial	229:1 249:14	installed 30:23	interprets
incoming 117:12	104:23 105:3,5	250:7	64:15 184:3	201:18
inconsistent	105:8	informed 228:10	185:10 224:4	interstate
214:1	industry 147:15	228:24	234:22 235:19	190:21
incorporated	156:6 195:9	informs 102:20	installing 101:9	intertie 91:15
20:17 194:12	196:3	infrastructure	259:8	intimately 96:14
increase 73:8	inexpensive	89:6	installs 145:13	intrastate
121:21 165:1,1	158:6	inhaler 203:1	instance 110:19	121:13,14
187:21 202:21	inexplicably	240:11	136:11	intriguing 82:10
-				

August 4, 2015

				Page 292
introduce 10:2	LONES	101.24 102.1 1	242.2	120.21 122.14
introduce 10:2	J-O-N-E-S 102:2	101:24 102:1,1 256:8	243:3 kind 26:24	120:21 123:14 123:17 125:10
10:20,24 11:10			34:14 37:3	
37:13 introduced	J-O-Y-C-E 98:12 244:4	Joyce 98:7,11 238:12 244:2,3	67:1 68:21	126:2 127:6
		,		128:1,2,3,3,3
59:21	Jackie 10:7	judged 182:21	74:7 76:24	129:24 131:7,8
investor 246:2	200:7	judging 121:16	77:4 81:6 84:3	143:23 144:2,6
invited 116:12	January 44:6,15	July 5:10,15	99:6 121:22	144:9 147:16
invoiced 48:2	46:4 217:8	15:12,16,18	147:10,21	149:20 153:9
49:1	247:16 252:18	133:19 140:10	169:13 170:11	156:6 160:7,9
involve 201:5	Jeff 10:14 18:15	140:14,24	172:10 180:23	162:8,23 163:1
224:7	143:10 200:7	166:11,17	206:16	163:1 165:7,14
involved 136:4	200:16	167:4,12	kindly 39:8	169:5 171:10
149:15 261:6	Jefferson 261:10	jump 15:22	kinds 171:8	172:3 173:12
involvement	Jennifer 2:7	16:20 17:16	kitchen 249:11	175:19,23
247:15	4:18	141:3 142:7,13	knew 60:15	176:15 180:23
Iowa 241:24	Jerome 2:7	249:14	223:12 230:5	181:1,2,3
ironworker	Jo 95:22 96:5	June 267:1	265:10	195:4 196:13
241:7	256:8	junk 251:3	know 12:15 16:3	196:15 197:1
ironworkers	job 87:9 171:11	justification	16:22 19:12	203:4 204:22
257:8	jobs 241:5,7,18	41:5 42:13,20	22:24 27:4,4,6	205:23 207:7
irreversible	241:18 246:6,7	43:11	29:8,13,14	207:10,11,11
238:24	joined 4:22		30:23 33:4,7	207:12 216:19
issue 21:24 33:5	Joliet 1:17 5:15	K	40:19 44:9,21	216:20 218:19
43:1 63:6	80:7,11 81:19	K 270:3	45:2,14 48:13	219:5 222:16
72:24 93:15	81:24 83:1,18	K-E-V-I-N	48:18 52:3	222:24 224:23
95:9 116:1,1	83:19,19 84:21	215:5 238:16	53:22,24 55:21	226:16 227:18
117:10 205:24	85:8 88:8 91:1	Keenan 2:8 4:23	56:22 57:21	229:5,21,23
235:11 247:15	91:8 146:5	keep 7:9 111:16	58:2,18 68:18	230:1,6,9
issues 4:4 18:10	150:5 152:13	176:23 231:17	70:21 71:3,11	239:24 240:4
43:7 47:22	152:19 153:13	240:17 241:18	71:15,16 73:12	241:16,16,22
56:5 69:17	153:14 161:17	241:18,22	73:24 74:8	242:10,11,18
73:11 94:16	162:16,20	keeping 99:9	77:10,19 81:2	242:19 243:15
121:14 183:6,6	163:1,2,5,14	kept 147:9,24	81:24 82:1,3	248:7,9,11,14
196:10 232:18	164:1,19,20,21	Kerrigan 232:4	82:23 83:10	249:9 250:20
item 268:4	170:12 171:4	232:11	85:12 86:9	251:5,5,10
items 166:8	190:11 191:4,6	Kerry 101:23	89:6,21 90:24	252:12 253:6
iteration 251:2	194:4,15 204:1	Kevin 215:4	93:20,22,24	253:14,18
iteration 231.2	211:17 212:9	238:14,15	95:8,10,19	254:14,17,21
224:12 225:2	212:20 213:8	key 19:19 54:11	96:2,7,14,21	255:3,5 257:15
265:20	213:23 219:11	107:24 135:3	97:2,12,18,19	257:16 260:11
iterative 146:21	221:8 223:19	144:14 246:10	107:20 108:17	261:16 262:14
1161 411 140.21	224:2,18	Kickapoo 96:15	107.20 108.17	262:20 263:5
J	225:14 255:4	96:16	110:9,10,16,18	264:13,24
$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ 2:23	256:16 256:16	kids 101:1 203:2	110:9,10,16,18	,
J-O 96:5	Jones 100:14	203:3 242:22	110:23 112:13	266:2,7,8,12 266:16,17,23
	Junes 100.14	200.5 2 12.22	114.4 110.19	266:16,17,23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	

August 4, 2015

				1 agc 2 3 3
267:7,8,11	Lastly 237:12	56:19,20,24	23:21,22 24:7	line 91:1 129:9
knowing 112:20	latch 246:8	57:7 58:6,19	24:18 39:24	245:24 253:20
known 52:17	late 160:11	59:5 60:19	44:6,20,22,24	lines 128:17
104:5 187:14	218:20 255:18	61:10,15 78:23	67:10 95:15	159:11
knows 117:13	257:1 260:4	79:13 84:15,23	101:3 104:11	linked 194:21
124:19 199:2	laughingly	85:10 107:6	108:21 109:1	199:19 200:21
Kolaz 59:22	227:3	121:23 122:6,7	111:21 118:16	220:8 222:8
106:23	law 6:1 11:8,12	122:10 146:21	119:18 127:5	lips 240:8
Kolaz's 123:11	11:23 25:19	154:4 203:21	145:18 148:23	Lisa 2:10
Koppers 122:16	51:12,14 97:21	204:15 205:6	154:6,8 183:24	list 28:16 138:7
Koppers 122.10	97:22 133:1,6	204:13 203:0	184:7 185:12	138:14 141:16
	133:13 134:5	length 166:7	185:19 186:8	164:19 230:3,4
L 1:13 2:4	168:20 253:11	lengthy 219:5	186:10 187:4	232:6
L-A-K-O-T-A	laws 25:16	let's 50:10 63:2	187:15 189:4	listed 4:15 26:7
96:6	laws 23.10	89:17,23 91:4	207:1,3,3	30:2 32:6
L-A-R-R-Y		94:20 100:8	207.1,3,3	148:6
102:2	lawyer 51:10			
L-E-E 177:15	lay 124:23 lead 23:13 25:2	109:23 115:10	limitation 28:21	listen 90:6
Lab 122:15		129:21 179:16	41:6 44:14	listening 35:2
labor 89:3	37:2,2	246:8,9 268:17	184:6 186:17	97:3 208:15
laborer 232:12	leader 242:21	268:20	187:21 188:9	literally 79:7
232:15	leads 21:4 81:6 115:2	letter 32:22	222:4	80:23 96:16
lacks 101:8		letters 268:11,14	limitations 1:6	little 26:10
Lakota 95:22,24	leaps 254:12 learned 114:4	level 151:24	4:12 19:9 25:7	67:21,24 75:2
96:5,6 256:8		162:9 163:22	25:12 27:22	98:4 109:22
land 96:17	leave 95:12	164:2 165:2	45:3 136:13	117:22 132:7
landfill 82:4	122:2 174:14	182:17 183:2	195:6 197:21	140:2 164:17
language 67:13	232:8	202:4,11	199:3 224:1	164:22 173:8
71:9,10 131:9	leaving 25:17	251:15,16	limited 206:18	174:10 180:19
221:3,19	Lee 177:14	265:11	limits 19:3 20:11	194:7 217:20
lap 160:21	232:2 242:23	levelized 89:12 levels 202:12	20:16,21,24	218:1 220:7 242:24 252:11
large 1:9 4:13	left 4:20 10:10	234:20 248:13	21:2,9,18	
40:18 55:15	10:12,14		22:10 28:12 32:3 39:13	254:9 261:22
85:17 86:2	100:24 248:13	251:11 253:13		Liu 2:10 4:19
87:15 142:20	252:19 254:3	lied 121:24	41:12,13,14,17	live 97:22
182:9 242:4	256:19 258:10	lieu 158:5,6	41:21 44:9,18	100:16,17,24
largely 153:15	legal 2:9 16:15	life 89:13 175:14	44:18,21 56:20	102:11 130:20
larger 153:8	41:24 51:16,18	176:3 239:15	112:1 118:5,13	130:20 176:1
154:1	67:14 104:4	242:7 244:20	120:22 127:7,9	177:5 232:12
largest 122:22	121:3 149:21	258:17 259:20	147:17 148:6	257:13
122:23 236:16	168:15 169:1 207:20	light 149:24 158:6	151:20 185:5 188:5 192:1	lived 242:7 244:10 256:22
Larry 101:24	Lemont 19:21	likelihood	194:17 201:8	256:23 258:16
102:1 232:3,8	27:14 28:11	151:18,22,23	206:12,14	259:19,20
232:11 256:8	31:24 32:13	likes 179:8	220:12 222:8	lives 176:2,12
Larry's 233:11	33:13,19 39:12	limit 23:19,20	265:5,6	237:10 243:15
	33.13,17 37.12	1111111 23.17,20	203.3,0	257.10 2 T 3.13
	ı	1	1	1

August 4, 2015

				Page 294
living 97:2,21	63:13,20,21	82:2,22 94:15	235:17 237:14	mandate 41:14
103:12 106:14	66:22 76:24	114:4 175:13	lungs 176:10	mandated
175:14,18	79:10,12 80:17	224:7 229:18		193:19 195:23
176:10 177:17	88:24 91:20	240:1 241:19	M	196:14 203:23
242:7	92:3 100:17,18	242:22 243:14	M-A-R-K	Mandates 8:19
LLP 2:20	118:14,15	250:10 252:1	259:18	9:3,9,15
load 72:7,13,14	138:23 142:18	257:10,11	M-I-C-H-A-E-L	manipulated
Local 256:15	155:23 156:14	260:9 262:16	175:7	232:22
locally 176:24	156:23 160:21	262:16 265:4	ma'am 34:24	manufacturing
locate 55:6	165:18 170:24	lots 58:3 109:3	35:3 44:16	251:20
located 55:22	171:1 224:20	111:14 226:15	machine 270:10	map 157:7
123:15 153:14	226:17 234:17	226:16	Mackinaw	marble 100:16
164:3 217:11	238:8 241:2	loud 4:4,5	102:4	margin 42:7
217:14	245:1 247:1	Louis 229:22	magnitude	209:16,24
location 108:2	248:20 252:6	love 97:12	185:16 207:4	Marie 2:9
150:6 153:16	253:6 255:15	loved 97:1	main 124:6	mark 204:15
153:18 163:17	261:20,22	low 30:14 68:6	maintain 33:9	225:8 258:11
173:10 196:8	265:8	72:7,13 110:1	maintained	259:16,17
locations 117:2	looked 14:24	128:8 152:1	87:17	marked 3:14
163:11 261:9	57:1,17 59:1,3	low-sulfur 19:4	maintenance	9:11,17 13:11
267:15	59:10 64:6,10	23:12 25:1	67:23	134:7 205:9,15
lock 265:7	67:16 88:16	26:8 27:18,22	major 72:23	217:17
log 266:24	124:10 224:12	108:21 109:1,2	123:15 234:14	mass 23:20 24:5
log-in 267:1	looking 14:19	109:6 110:17	235:22	24:10,19,23
logbook 266:19	22:17 38:20	111:16,21	majority 30:20	26:4,11,17,20
266:20 267:6	43:6 60:11	112:1 260:5	making 61:14	30:4 69:9
logic 158:13	65:6,18 77:1	lower 54:15 65:4	113:22 120:16	74:16 110:5,8
logistics 16:2	84:4 89:13	68:7,8 70:23	148:13,19	127:3
long 47:11 63:4	100:4 116:24	81:15 85:14	175:24 177:4	massive 247:23
72:10 99:19	118:7 122:3	86:13,24 87:19	177:23 187:18	master's 99:4
161:2 181:1	123:24 147:10	91:21,22 106:8	217:24 219:20	106:19
244:17 248:2	156:22 158:11	128:3 151:23	222:2 223:17	material 63:5
263:6 265:19	160:16 175:20	157:15 164:2	225:7 246:3	math 40:9
long-term	216:20 243:17	165:3,6,6	258:19 265:8	112:18
188:13	248:2	171:12 188:11	malfunction	matter 1:3 4:10
longer 41:12	looks 49:17	191:24 195:18	71:7,12 72:1	18:18 22:17
117:22 142:22	179:8 217:19	200:6 232:19	73:7,18 118:20	31:8 37:23
152:14 174:10	266:21	lowest 100:9	210:4,18	47:24 48:6,24
194:6 220:14	lord 101:16	loyal 228:12	malfunctioning	86:14,24 88:2
227:11 265:1	Lori 1:14 270:6	luckiest 96:1	73:3	121:20 138:24
longstanding	270:17	lucky 243:7	managed 52:7,9	143:13 151:21
253:19 255:11	lose 241:5	lunch 175:2	52:13	154:5,6,11
look 27:13 49:16	lost 99:10	179:14	manager 10:8	160:3 188:15
60:10 62:4,6	lot 16:23 49:18	lung 233:23,24	10:11,15 18:15	190:4 210:12
62:14,23 63:7	77:23 79:9	233:24 235:4	143:10 180:16	221:15 239:11

August 4, 2015

1				Page 293
265:20	119:21 127:16	247:19 248:17	micrograms	112:12 114:10
matters 49:21	196:12,16	memory 65:3	41:1 77:10	131:13 190:16
154:10 199:18	240:1 248:15	mention 75:23	122:24 123:1	221:14 237:13
211:24	270:9	mentioned	144:14,19,21	millions 90:15
max 69:4	meant 25:21	22:22 26:21	144:23 146:3	90:21,23 92:12
maximize 99:16	26:19 31:18	37:6 56:15	161:11 163:23	92:21 131:12
maximum 26:12	41:23,24 65:10	116:15 161:12	164:24 169:22	239:11 257:22
26:13 27:4,5,6	115:5 168:10	165:11 186:17	microphone	mills 105:9
30:5,13 127:12	measure 168:13	187:19 193:6	7:11,13 8:10	mind 12:3 20:8
127:14 128:7	169:3 252:24	195:15 196:9	13:15 34:21	35:9 59:24
152:2 168:16	measured	200:12,16	36:22 59:14	69:9 103:8
	150:22 169:11	200.12,16		
168:18 172:13			95:20 130:16	108:6 112:20
193:14 196:15	measures	212:1,20	159:22 208:5	159:21 188:17
196:21,22	148:14 195:10	216:12 220:7	215:2 231:23	209:2 215:1
mean 16:10	201:13	221:11 222:7	microphones	219:5 264:19
26:17 33:15	mechanical	224:11 234:9	46:19	minded 97:13
37:14 48:2	51:23,24	243:22 257:8	middle 58:16	minds 214:15
62:21 64:8,20	media 247:23	264:20 268:5	65:20 95:20	mine 46:15
64:22 65:14,17	medications	mentioning	103:20 241:24	mined 54:5,6,9
66:12 67:12	245:16	27:23 77:24	Midwest 2:24	mineral 54:8
71:20 72:6	meet 47:6 94:13	Mercury 55:20	13:4 40:4 46:2	minimize 99:17
74:2,7 76:24	145:17 151:20	190:4,17 212:1	68:14,24 88:7	235:15
77:9,19,20	187:15 195:20	221:15 254:22	92:19 93:4	minus 160:5
78:22 80:17	meeting 15:4,10	merit 69:24	149:18 183:24	minute 227:14
81:2,22 82:5,6	member 2:7,7,8	merits 5:7	186:15,23	268:16
82:9 84:24	2:8 4:17,21,23	met 241:11	187:10,15	minutes 79:1
86:20 89:20	6:5 7:7 98:14	meteorology	190:24 191:12	95:16 174:10
96:13 109:5,8	98:15 102:5,10	151:16 168:23	192:3,8,11,15	222:8 231:18
111:12,14	129:4 175:12	meter 41:1	192:17 194:8	mischaracteri
112:14 113:2	216:11 217:9	77:11 122:24	212:18 213:6	31:12
118:6,18 121:8	217:13,16	123:2 144:15	214:2,9 219:10	miscommunic
121:12,13,14	218:2 227:22	144:19,22,23	219:13,19,22	182:20
121:16 124:10	229:1 230:13	146:3 161:11	221:6 223:9	misleading
127:23 129:8	241:9 244:13	163:23 169:3	224:9,19,23	182:16 195:14
146:14 148:20	247:8	169:23	225:6,13,18	missions 91:22
172:3 175:24	members 2:5 8:1	methodology	263:15,21,21	misspoke 86:23
207:3 209:7	10:3 13:20	82:18 186:2	264:1	misunderstand
243:11 245:12	94:15 98:19	methods 188:4	mile 170:16	201:14
251:12 254:11	99:2 132:11,15	metric 81:10	miles 121:11	misunderstan
meaning 23:3	140:11 214:23	Metro 229:22	259:21	211:12
26:17	226:7 256:15	Michael 175:7	milling 89:8	mix 74:6
means 17:17	256:18 269:15	232:2	million 23:15	mixing 55:16
31:19 53:18	memoranda	Michigan 69:11	24:9 60:23	MOA 249:2,16
68:13 86:21	121:1,6	microgram	110:4 111:5,10	265:2,6
111:19 113:16	memorandum	169:3	111:22 112:9	model 19:3,5
111.17 113.10	incinoi andum	107.5	111,22 112,7	1110401 17.5,5
	1	1	1	ı

August 4, 2015

32:15 33:23	14:13,22 15:1	227:8 229:17	monitoring	224:8
40:23 71:19	15:5,12,19,21	237:22 249:10	30:22 57:3	multi-million
73:1 78:5,7	16:7 17:1,4,5	250:1,24 251:2	61:9 107:7,14	191:13 193:20
80:21 82:11	18:12,13,16,19	251:6 252:3,17	113:13 204:24	multi-million
108:18 111:1	19:18,19 20:7	265:4,11,15,17	215:20 261:22	158:15
111:15 128:6,6	21:3 24:21	265:18	monitors 57:3	multiple 181:14
128:20 129:2	27:2 28:8 29:6	models 40:21	131:6 170:17	181:17 225:1
139:8 145:3,22	29:13 30:6	150:18 172:4,5	203:21 208:9	257:7
145:22 146:13	32:12,20 33:7	222:20 249:13	208:12 215:13	multitude
146:16 150:8	33:10,21 37:8	250:3	215:18,18	199:16
150:16 151:9	37:15,16,19,21	modern 142:21	261:9 265:22	municipal 82:4
151:11 152:2	37:24 38:1,5,7	236:20	265:23 266:6	municipalities
153:4 162:13	38:23 40:11,13	modify 188:20	month 65:12	105:1
162:21,24	40:15,24 66:22	modulate	69:12	mushrooms
168:15 169:7	67:1 77:3,10	115:20	month-and-a	96:19,21
169:14 170:7	77:11 83:24	modulated	247:17	mutual 120:24
172:2,6,9	85:4,20 111:11	74:18	months 14:6	mystified 43:1
194:5 223:7	120:6,6,7,11	moisture 55:10	47:22 50:11,15	
224:17 227:15	120:13,13	mom 228:20	50:22 51:1,7	<u>N</u>
250:15,17,20	122:11 123:3	moment 24:24	65:11 68:10	N 2:1 3:1
251:14 267:3	124:11 126:10	95:14 130:1	134:24 160:5	NAACP 102:10
modeled 19:10	135:4 138:11	141:9 152:17	201:6 255:18	NAAQS 55:19
19:23,24 20:15	139:1,2,10,12	174:5 187:19	morning 4:7	144:22 145:7
20:16 21:6	139:16,18,24	220:21	47:2,4 269:5	145:17 147:3
22:10,18 23:8	140:2,21	Moms 228:11	mother 203:2	154:5 155:9
24:5 25:11	141:12 143:10	241:10	240:24	162:6 168:14
28:20 30:12	143:14,21	money 93:6	mothers 240:3	185:18 189:8
31:24 32:16	146:10,24	97:17 106:13	241:11	189:21 194:23
33:18,21 34:5	149:10,11	176:3 246:3	motion 5:5	198:2 202:5,13
34:5 39:11	150:3 151:1,2	260:9	133:21	203:11,13
76:8,11 77:21	152:4,5,9,11	monitor 58:19	Motor 195:18	208:22 221:13
78:22 79:8,13	161:13,19	59:5 60:19,22	move 6:11 7:22	222:4
84:5,22 108:20	162:4,7 168:9	62:17 108:2	10:21,22 11:21	name 4:7 7:6 8:6
109:7,14,16,20	168:9,16	113:20 123:12	34:16 101:19	8:12 11:5 13:3
111:11 112:3	169:18 171:24	123:15,16,19	133:2,13,21	14:1 48:6 93:9
113:17 120:17	172:23 181:9	124:4,6 154:23	177:2,21	95:17 96:4,5
144:13,17	181:17 186:2	155:1 168:13	178:23 180:7	98:11 130:17
146:4 152:14	189:6 191:11	169:11 173:2,8	204:6 217:20	130:19 174:17
152:24 165:12	193:11 194:10	203:17 216:20	240:21 246:9	175:3,6 180:14
185:11 186:19	196:17 198:10	262:10 266:21	263:2	215:3,4 231:24
196:20 206:8	198:18,20	266:21 267:2,7	moved 204:7	232:11 233:20
206:11,13	199:18 200:18	monitored 57:8	moving 12:21	238:15 244:3
222:17 265:14	201:1,7 206:16	58:19 61:16	26:3 39:9	247:7 256:11 258:12 259:17
modeler 250:2	209:20 224:7,8	107:22 108:8	155:3 225:9	258:12 259:17 266:17
modeling 10:15	226:24 227:4,4	203:20	multi-iteration	400.17
			<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

				rage 257
names 103:17	91:16 96:7	32:14 33:6	236:17	NRG 254:19,24
232:2 238:11	99:13,22,23	238:3	non-bituminous	NRG's 175:16
258:10	110:9,10,16,18	nervous 239:20	74:6,10	number 5:12,17
Nation 96:6	110:23,24	239:21	non-operation	22:17,19 24:20
National 59:8	118:5,12,15	net 213:12	211:9	29:15,16 50:21
71:17 122:15	126:8 127:8	neutralize	non-questions	66:11 70:22,23
185:17 203:10	139:5,18	115:23	37:3	118:23 147:18
208:24 209:6	147:11 150:16	never 16:19,20	non-ultra-low	153:8,9 161:8
209:21	151:3 155:13	52:12 96:10	227:10	162:5 165:14
nationally 237:2	158:16,19	103:7 126:24	non-zero 34:3	186:4 202:16
native 239:3	170:7,8 181:21	150:23 162:24	norm 243:10,11	231:4 236:9
natural 54:8	191:12 193:8	182:16,19	243:12,12	238:4 252:23
85:23 86:13,23	201:2,7 202:23	195:4 196:10	normal 63:10	numbers 40:9
153:1 154:16	202:24 216:21	224:20 240:17	68:3,5,10 72:5	67:6 79:16
154:21 192:6,7	217:7 218:18	251:9 261:14	189:22 210:14	103:1 107:19
194:16 216:13	221:21 222:15	new 44:20,24	210:19	123:24 124:2
216:19,23	223:10 224:1	62:2,3 123:17	normally 183:3	165:8 173:12
217:4 219:17	224:21 225:1	126:22 127:1	183:4 197:12	224:6 227:5
nature 34:10	228:7 242:24	145:12 189:3	North 1:17 2:11	234:10,12,16
66:21	246:6,22 251:4	208:6 209:1,14	northeast	236:3 251:4
near 38:8,24	252:13 255:9	212:13 218:8	121:11 163:11	265:11 266:15
95:9 163:12,12	needed 17:19	261:17	notary 1:14	266:15
nearing 198:5	18:2 138:18	newspaper	270:18	numeric 70:15
nearly 237:4	140:9 141:19	97:14	note 6:4 180:1	numerical 70:17
nebulizer 203:4	141:20 147:6	nice 173:11	187:18 193:3	70:19 108:13
242:2,8	147:24 148:22	nighttime 68:7	231:20	numerically
necessarily	157:3 160:24	nine 96:24	noted 184:13	70:22 108:12
143:6 158:19	161:4 193:23	nitrogen 1:7	185:22 187:6	numerous
necessary 23:5	234:16 264:3	4:12 55:10	189:8 192:14	207:12
139:20 147:8	needs 30:1 99:24	190:3	193:10 194:11	
148:7,9 149:4	174:11 175:23	non-attainment	200:15 202:7	0
154:7 183:5	192:12 193:5	31:24 32:12,20	213:6	O 270:3,3
191:9 192:13	246:4	32:24 33:13,18	notes 270:12	o'clock 174:9
193:17,21	negative 175:17	33:19,23 38:9	notice 5:6	O'Leary 2:7
201:1,24 202:4	237:24	56:23 57:7	197:18 198:4	oath 50:20
202:13 206:20	neglected 12:15	58:5,21 62:19	199:10 223:15	Obama 177:1
222:2,13,14	negotiate 147:16	102:18 118:10	noticeably 173:2	obesity 240:20
225:4 239:14	negotiation	120:8 128:21	noticed 141:15	object 178:21
246:21 264:7	263:20 264:10	151:18 153:16	175:15 196:10	objections 9:6
necessitate	negotiations	153:20 162:14	263:13	12:20,24 13:2
199:8	263:23 264:4	163:4,6 177:18	notion 6:8	133:20,23
need 4:5 8:11	neighbor 101:15	189:20 200:14	November 65:3	178:14 179:4
18:11 24:9	neighborhoods	200:21 202:6,9	65:21	204:19 205:6
37:10 38:10,13	255:13	208:12,21	NOx 190:3,3,10	233:13
55:8 56:8	neighboring	217:14 234:15	NPS 263:20	objective 145:21
22.0 20.0	in in grand in g	217.11251.15	1110203.20	U
	1		1	

August 4, 2015

				rage 270
obligation	3:3,9 4:1,9 8:9	260:22 261:2	185:12 188:10	68:3 127:18
168:15 169:1	8:24 9:14,20	262:22 264:16	189:21 208:22	147:20
191:3 212:24	10:4,17 11:3	264:22 267:22	209:1,14,21	operator 92:10
213:3	11:24 12:12,17	268:20 269:8	222:3 244:20	92:19 93:9
observed 66:2	12:23 13:6,14	officially 150:16	245:2,11	operators 267:6
116:6	13:19 34:12,19	offset 225:5	246:13	opinion 139:4
obtain 120:22	34:23 35:3,8	OFS 232:12	one-third 170:2	opinions 51:17
obtained 30:6	35:12,18 36:5	oh 10:4 16:9	170:3	51:19
143:3	36:16,21 37:5	31:16 32:7,22	ones 28:9 37:24	opportunity
obvious 19:20	46:11,16 59:12	35:10,12 49:22	93:5 122:20	6:14 47:6
obviously 23:22	59:16 94:9	227:13	158:6	98:19 131:24
30:17 48:8	95:5 96:3 98:5	Ohio 238:1	ongoing 85:18	198:18 249:13
64:10 68:21	100:11 101:21	oil 153:1	89:4	268:7
77:7 89:2	103:15 104:17	okay 4:1 7:24	online 261:21	opposed 36:19
91:24 130:17	104:20 122:2	11:24 15:17	262:19,20	62:18 72:4
154:12 163:24	123:6 129:15	17:24 24:22	onsite 54:15	78:5 86:3
173:9 266:24	129:20 130:2,7	34:19 35:4	open 130:13	87:10 192:5
occupation	130:10,24	38:16 75:16,18	135:22 182:2	opposes 223:20
232:15	131:22 132:9	95:5 103:6	207:6 214:23	opposite 203:18
occur 55:17 85:7	132:21 133:17	104:17 122:13	opening 3:3 8:2	optimal 81:8
119:4 120:1	134:1,12,18	124:5 126:1,6	8:5,16 9:22	optimization
146:10 238:5	158:23 159:5	129:14,20	10:20 180:8	81:10 157:20
occurred 208:16	159:20 165:22	131:3 136:2	operate 73:13	optimize 157:20
occurring 54:8	166:2 172:16	144:11 146:18	73:14 152:3	optimizing
offer 6:16,20	172:20 173:17	148:10 173:5	192:11 211:4	56:16
7:14 51:16	174:1,4,7,16	173:16 174:7	259:4,5,7	option 135:18
94:18 95:13	174:20,24	179:2,10	operated 51:20	166:15 177:20
125:21 131:24	177:12 178:10	180:12 205:3	52:2,4	order 17:19 32:9
182:9 203:19	178:18,20	205:21 215:11	operating 16:16	32:11 36:18
214:10 233:6	179:2,10,21	216:3 218:2,11	26:12 27:5	40:10 49:20
260:18 263:4	180:12 204:11	220:18,22	30:5 88:21,21	56:9 79:7
offered 15:18	204:18 205:5	221:23,24	88:22 89:4	139:8 140:4
16:6 104:10	205:12,18,21	226:21 227:15	92:1 93:22	141:21 147:6
138:5,9 182:6	207:23 214:18	254:13 267:21	116:22 148:13	148:22 151:4
182:13,24	214:22 216:5	old 102:14 172:3	189:22 192:4,9	169:7 191:14
262:19	218:14 219:4	243:9	192:12 211:5	192:24 197:17
offering 11:7,11	220:20,23	ole 99:16	211:14	235:14 265:13
51:18 178:23	221:22 226:5	once 6:9,12,15	operation 68:5	269:8
offhand 48:2	226:21 228:3	7:5 54:9,17	71:6,19 72:5	ordered 39:18
66:21 74:5	230:20 233:4,9	158:18 164:4	128:4 210:9,14	organization 7:7
91:2 153:8,10	233:12 238:10	188:18 198:10	210:19 211:6	95:18 237:14
154:17 160:10	239:16 243:21	250:16	operational	original 257:12
165:15 267:15	247:3 255:24	one-hour 61:9	259:10	out-of-state
office 50:8	256:5 258:8	71:17 103:3	operations	120:14
officer 1:13 2:4	259:14 260:14	144:22,22	27:20 52:7	outdoors 258:18

August 4, 2015

				Page 299
262.1	21 21 42 16	140 7 100 5	111 22 112 0	256 11 22 22
262:1	31:21 43:16	149:7 189:5	111:22 112:9	256:11,22,23
outer 100:18	60:13 64:3,12	192:23 194:13	112:12 113:1	259:22 261:11
102:11	69:14,16 75:9	194:20,22	221:18 251:23	penalties 89:8
outhouse 97:19	75:10,14 78:11	197:6 201:22	252:6	pending 129:23
output 254:6	79:16 80:23,24	211:13 217:20	pass 233:8	130:6
outraged 249:8	81:2 137:3	218:8 220:2,2	passage 146:20	people 48:12
outreach 14:16	138:7 144:12	220:10,11	146:20 147:23	52:20 95:11,15
15:4 137:22	144:16 145:24	222:5,8,9,9	149:16,17	96:1 99:11
138:1 155:11	155:4 157:12	225:23,23,23	171:2	100:10 112:13
181:12 225:17	161:7 241:14	227:24 228:1	passing 59:24	175:17 176:6
226:15 227:23	251:10	232:10 246:10	path 81:11,13	195:9 202:16
229:4 263:17	pages 3:1 23:11	249:23 252:8	patience 246:24	230:4,6,18
outside 163:5	39:10 41:3	263:19 264:14	patterns 63:9,11	231:4,12,16
overall 77:20	43:7 75:2	participating	pause 174:6	232:1 234:9
81:10 106:19	135:1 136:17	263:7	pay 49:2 97:18	237:13 238:11
117:15 190:19	141:18	participation	97:20	238:19 241:5
219:16 246:13	pagination	199:15	paycheck 178:5	241:19 242:14
overestimated	75:17 161:9	particles 54:16	paying 106:13	243:1,15,18
196:19	paid 48:23 49:3	54:18	PC 219:24	245:15 248:9
overhead 106:10	49:10,13	particular 27:10	peak 39:12	248:11,15
overly 186:4	105:19 159:12	27:11 29:8	40:20,23	255:12 256:7
196:19	159:14	52:19 54:22	144:18,21	256:19 257:6
overnight 141:5	pain 99:11	55:1 56:2	145:7,16 146:3	257:11
142:7,9 166:24	paper 76:1,13	62:16 87:6,8	146:13,16	people's 102:8
overnighted	77:4,23 102:14	87:17 89:16	150:12 158:11	103:8
141:3 167:1	147:3 148:12	110:15 117:17	161:23 162:21	Peoria 96:9,11
overnighting	149:12 171:20	124:13 153:23	163:3 170:16	98:13,16 102:6
166:16	171:23	169:10,11	170:16 193:9	102:7 131:7
oversized 248:7	pardon 126:9	203:8 222:10	193:15 236:17	176:15 232:13
owners 233:2	parenthetical	235:4 240:1	peers 106:11	247:8 252:24
Oxbow 122:16	223:16	267:2,2	Pekin 28:11	253:17 254:13
Oxide 4:12	parents 228:15	particularly	32:13 96:12,24	255:8 261:10
oxides 1:7 190:3	256:24 257:16	163:2	107:8,14,16,20	percent 32:2
oxygen 228:19	part 1:6,6,8 4:11	particulate	107:22 108:10	40:6 50:22
ozone 190:21	4:12,13 8:19	86:13,24 87:3	123:12,15	70:9 79:5,10
235:6	8:20 9:9,15	88:1 91:21	130:21 150:21	79:14,17,23
	14:15 16:24	162:12 188:15	151:7 154:22	80:15,20 81:1
P	19:19 20:4	190:4 211:24	155:1 169:4,23	81:4,21 82:8
P 2:1,1	21:19 45:8	221:15	170:22 173:2	85:23 86:8
P.M 89:10 190:4	51:24 54:11	parties 155:21	175:11,15,20	111:23 112:2
190:17	60:2 66:23	188:3 229:5	177:16 203:21	112:10,16,19
P.O 2:12	83:23 87:15	partner 247:9	204:16,24	113:4 147:2
package 191:1	91:24 92:1	parts 23:15 24:8	205:13 209:22	148:12 149:2
page 14:9 19:2	98:3 111:4,5	60:23 108:11	217:11,14	149:12 157:3
26:5 30:19	112:24 137:21	110:4 111:10	244:10 247:1	185:11,21,22
	112.2.107.21	110.1111.10		100.11,21,22
	1		1	

August 4, 2015

				Page 300
197.1 222.5	normitted	placed 90.5	05.72 06.14 22	149.10 15 24
187:1 223:5 234:19 235:23	permitted 253:13	placed 80:5 215:13	85:23 86:14,23	148:10,15,24
			87:1,3,6,9,20	153:12 161:2,4
237:3 248:5	persist 182:10	placing 188:23	96:18 102:13	189:3 193:3,7 198:7 214:23
251:15,16	person 41:16	plan 68:13 101:8	102:21 106:6	
253:7	52:4 202:23	102:18,24	116:7 176:14	215:14,16
percentage 50:9	228:22	135:3 136:20	209:11 215:21	216:14,24
165:13	personally 48:5	137:9 155:5	232:16,17	227:20 254:2
percentile 66:7	98:18 212:15	169:17 170:22	233:1,2 236:6	261:16,20
66:9,19	243:16 256:22	176:16,19	236:15	264:9 265:10
perceptible	personnel 42:12	177:10,11	play 121:23	267:20
253:1	196:3	178:7,8 192:14	224:7 243:15	pointed 68:19
perform 268:8	persons 103:13	194:22 212:12	played 43:4	91:23 109:4
performed	103:13	214:15 219:16	plays 69:10	pointing 20:13
240:7	perspective	235:20 252:4,6	please 7:4,12	93:18 183:5
period 43:18	208:19 239:3	planned 194:5,9	10:5,24 12:5	points 208:8
58:11,14 59:4	249:15 255:8	239:24	13:15,16 29:4	223:12
64:7,14 65:6,9	pertaining 14:4	planning 10:9	46:19 95:19	Policy 6:1 11:9
72:16 89:13	64:2 69:17	10:11,13,16	107:3 130:15	11:13,23 133:1
116:13 135:17	134:21 161:11	157:19 180:16	159:21 175:5	133:6,13 134:6
135:23 186:11	petroleum 195:9	188:14 190:19	215:2 231:23	pollen 235:5
187:7 198:4,16	195:19	190:23	246:12,22	pollutant 189:15
227:11	Ph.D 2:6 106:19	plans 68:24	pleased 99:1	190:21
periodic 114:19	phone 18:8,21	154:18 192:10	plug 98:1 250:20	pollutants 103:6
periods 68:3	29:15 125:13	plant 51:21 52:3	plume 102:12	193:1 209:10
71:18 73:7,17	125:17	52:5,7,10,11	plus 77:10 96:23	211:20 219:15
118:19 188:2	physical 83:7,20	53:15,20 54:1	111:23 112:2	221:6
210:13,18,24	90:10	64:15 67:11	122:24 160:5	polluted 238:21
permanent	pick 152:10	69:19 74:1	178:6	254:13
62:11 193:2	223:3 252:2	80:14 89:5	PM 188:14	polluter 252:23
permanently	picked 77:8	96:11 98:22	pneumatically	polluting 247:24
192:20	97:21 173:8	99:22,24 101:4	55:7	pollution 1:1,16
permission	picking 119:20	101:4,14,14	pocketbooks	2:2,5 6:23
237:16 267:14	214:5	163:17 164:16	103:14	52:10,13 73:7
267:16	pictures 100:18	175:17 234:18	podium 6:18	73:11,14 98:20
permit 22:12	piece 248:4	234:19 235:18	7:12 95:19	100:23 101:2,6
-	-			
23:4 44:9,12	pieces 181:17	236:1,7,12,18	130:15 175:5	101:9,13
76:4 111:18	pipeline 90:22	236:18,23	point 19:5 20:10	102:12,20
113:23 126:21	91:6,19	237:20 239:10	22:21,23 23:18	103:11 136:4
147:16 148:6	place 5:10,14	246:15 252:22	26:4 28:10	175:15 176:6,9
151:20 206:17	18:18 23:23	252:23 253:5	32:1 46:19	184:3 190:19
206:17,18	64:21 96:14	262:17	48:8 55:13	199:20 210:18
permits 21:10	100:17,21	plants 44:2 52:1	62:17 71:9	234:14 235:1
44:18 78:9	143:13 177:5	52:14 53:21	74:24 116:3	236:11 238:6
248:7,12 253:8	187:13 254:13	62:1 72:3,16	128:23 141:23	244:5,21 245:6
253:9	258:15 266:8	74:8 82:21	146:20 147:22	245:7 246:19

August 4, 2015

				Page 301
248:13 249:7	70:10 97:5	257:4,6 260:1	139:5	186:5
252:13 253:21	114:10 184:8,8	Powerton's 41:5	prepared 47:20	primarily
255:12,13	186:17 187:4	44:9 183:20	48:9 71:1	219:17 234:13
257:23 258:6	190:17 207:1	188:8 257:19	preschool	print 81:3
261:7 266:5	221:15	ppb 169:4	242:21	print 81.5
poor 95:14	pour 160:22	ppb 109.4 ppb's 261:17	prescribed	printed 73.13 prior 14:7 18:17
poorly 87:5,15	powder 55:15	ppm 23:15	220:10	44:15 46:4
populations	power 24:14	25:18 26:24	prescription	136:11,12
209:10	39:20 44:2	87:10,10	244:18 246:20	142:11 143:4
	51:21 52:1,2,5	,	present 2:5	143:12 144:7
portable 15:22 16:20 141:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	104:11,12 112:18 113:1	13:17 15:9	
	52:7,10,11,14			161:15,20 211:2 225:22
portion 64:5	53:15,20,21	169:4 195:18	27:17 89:11	
75:6 152:8	54:1 62:1 72:3	196:1,16	175:4	230:13 238:21
153:16	72:16 74:8	practicable	presentation	priorities 257:19
portions 71:13	80:14 82:21	197:9	230:2	privy 249:12
116:19	85:22,24 86:23	practical 199:10	presented 5:11	probability
position 18:9	86:24 87:6,9	practically	15:9 100:5	151:17,22
34:1 71:21	87:20 89:5	23:12 24:2	134:10 183:17	probably 19:23
188:23 193:16	93:5 106:6	247:18	188:4 250:4	38:17 47:19
220:7	110:11 115:11	pre-file 6:1	262:5	60:11 83:9
possibility 178:1	116:7,15	11:14	presenting	104:20 105:12
182:6 192:12	175:16 176:14	pre-filed 11:13	180:10 194:2	149:8 175:22
235:15	176:16,19	11:15 12:16	Presents 3:3,4,6	218:23 229:17
possible 30:13	215:21 232:16	13:9 47:20	3:8	243:19 267:12
36:3 72:6	232:17,23	132:23 133:4,7	president	problem 75:22
90:14 100:9	233:1,2 236:23	134:4 146:1	176:17,20	100:19 151:5
127:14 174:15	237:1,2,20	189:9 200:3	177:1	170:20 236:21
182:13 235:13	powered 86:7	precede 55:1	Presiding 4:17	problems
235:14	87:3	preceded 58:14	press 252:14	202:19 241:23
possibly 38:8	Powerton 43:17	58:15	presumably	251:24
218:5 242:5,6	43:20 44:1,5	precious 96:22	124:19 127:17	procedural
258:20 262:20	44:13 45:3	precisely 77:3	135:23	16:15
269:5	46:4 64:4,6,21	preconceived	presumption	procedure 7:23
post-hearing	67:11 68:13	6:8	57:2	procedure-wise
269:11	69:1,7,19 74:1	predicted	pretty 140:13	252:15
potential 38:7	99:23 102:13	163:14	170:4 216:17	proceed 13:16
184:2 202:21	102:20 118:2	Prednisone	217:21 256:16	197:18 231:11
Potomac 250:10	183:18,24	240:12 242:2,9	257:2,11,20	proceeded 5:5
pound 170:13	185:10,20	predominately	262:14,14	197:17
170:15 171:5	186:18 188:20	54:7	preventative	proceeding 4:10
186:19	188:23 206:24	preferred 156:9	240:13	4:15 11:15
pounds 43:18	211:4 232:23	preheater 55:21	preventing	186:13 220:3,5
66:3,13,15	234:17 235:10	preparation	188:1 233:24	proceedings
67:4,10,18	244:17 250:12	54:12	previously 74:17	1:12 95:3
68:4 70:1,4,7	250:19 256:15	prepare 48:5,24	184:13 185:24	179:19 225:3
		=		

August 4, 2015

				Page 302
248:21 269:21	propose 191:1	181:4,8 183:4	231:3,9,11	putting 98:1
270:10	223:15	188:12 189:18	233:14,17	124:7,7 139:15
process 112:5	proposed 44:13	198:8 202:5,13	234:2 245:17	158:1 206:13
121:15 129:13	45:7,7 69:18	221:3 255:19	247:15,21	214:6 232:22
135:4 151:23	69:22 70:13,14	provided 7:13	249:16,19	233:1,2
155:24 158:8	71:4 75:24	14:10,15,20,22	250:7 251:17	233.1,2
181:7 183:14	76:16 77:15	15:1,12,16	255:10,19	0
	80:8 81:20	, ,	,	quality 10:9,11
197:7,10 198:21 199:22		16:4,20 32:3 39:8 42:23	260:18,23	10:13,15 51:23
200:23 201:4	82:15,19 83:2		262:19,24	59:6,8 71:17
	83:6,21 92:13	43:23 48:13,13	263:4,8 269:11	154:10 158:3
206:16 230:10	92:22 102:18	84:19 96:17	270:18	178:1 180:16
230:14 264:14	145:18 147:5	137:21 138:5	public's 99:7	185:18 188:13
process-wise	155:5 160:8	140:20 143:15	publically 43:23	188:14 195:12
252:15	164:8 184:1,6	181:16,24	57:14	203:11,15,16
product 238:9	186:6,16	182:5 211:19	publicly 264:13	208:24 209:6
profile 88:19	188:19 189:14	237:4	pull 8:10 59:13	208.24 209.0
profits 99:17	189:14 190:14	provides 24:19	177:21	237:24 238:4
Programs	192:18,19,22	221:20	pulmonary	266:16
233:22	194:12,13,14	providing 36:19	232:18	
Progressive	194:17,20,22	182:7 209:23	pumping 239:13	quantifying 221:4
102:7	202:10 209:20	237:3	purchase 25:24	
projected 237:2	210:5,8 211:9	provision	purely 36:24	queried 93:17
projecting	211:16 212:19	136:19 137:9	67:13 91:14	question 9:24
250:17	213:15 214:14	provisions 137:6	purpose 5:21	10:2 19:14
projects 194:9	220:2 221:19	public 1:14 3:5	19:13 189:9	20:9,10 21:5
promised 142:8	222:1,4 224:1	3:7,8 5:9,13,18	208:18	33:15 34:15,17
promises 248:19	225:12 227:24	5:22 6:17,19	purposes 154:3	36:23 37:7
promoted 246:8	235:20 263:15	6:20,22 13:21	197:9 199:10	45:10 57:20
promoting	proposes 135:23	30:23 39:8	201:19 202:2	59:19,20 62:8
233:24	197:11	44:2 94:16,18	pursuant 5:1	71:1 72:24
proof 19:14	protect 103:3	95:13 99:3,18	push 8:11	81:6 83:13,15
properly 117:23	protection 2:11	103:3,21 119:9	138:21	84:10 86:17
119:1 181:9	2:15 5:2,4	125:12 129:4	put 4:5 7:4	90:1,6 92:18
property 91:18	10:13 176:14	131:5 135:17	16:21 73:11,13	92:18 104:8
266:1,4	176:18 209:2	135:18,22	100:6,6,7	111:21 117:8
proposal 5:7,23	protective	174:14 175:2	103:12 128:17	119:15 122:1
145:11 191:21	185:17,23	178:23,24	148:21,21	125:10 126:5,7
199:7 213:9	209:15	179:3 180:5	153:11 154:5	126:18 129:23
214:2 221:7	proven 193:7	181:11 183:16	156:2 157:23	129:23 130:6
223:8,15	provide 7:19	198:4,4,7,13	158:4,10,19	131:4,21 132:8
225:14 234:7	15:18,22 16:6	198:16,16	162:5 168:22	132:8 136:17
263:15 268:9	18:2 29:4 41:7	199:2,9 215:24	176:3 199:1	137:1 143:20
269:1,7,10	47:14,18 48:11	228:9,21,24	202:23 206:21	148:3,3 149:14
proposals	48:16 105:20	229:8,24	207:19 239:5	150:20 152:21
225:18	138:6 141:2	230:11,13	254:23 265:6	154:13 160:1

August 4, 2015

				Page 303
161 6 160 24	122 17 124 14	202.2	210 17 211 6	261.24
161:6 168:24	132:17 134:14	202:2 RACT 201:12	210:17 211:6	261:24
169:2 170:11	143:13,14,24		ratio 250:21	really 20:14
171:5 172:18	159:2,4,11	201:15,18,20	rationale 17:9	21:23 32:18
187:3 206:6,23	161:10 165:21	201:21 202:2	reach 139:11	33:8 53:17
207:10 210:3	166:5 171:9	236:14	148:23 151:15	65:10 76:12
215:12 216:4	172:15 173:18	raise 12:5 36:8	152:6 171:7	78:2 89:19
216:10 218:5	173:21 180:11	247:15	193:17,21	96:20 97:15
218:22 219:3,5	181:4,15,24	raised 186:13	263:18 264:5	108:1 150:20
219:9 221:2,17	182:2,3 183:1	196:24 259:21	reached 158:18	151:14,17,17
225:8,9 227:19	183:12,16,23	ran 139:8 172:4	186:1	157:3 176:2
228:8 245:13	184:17,19	Ranajit 11:21	reaches 102:11	177:18,21
260:21 263:10	186:13 200:2	Randolph 2:2	158:13	195:4 197:1
263:14 265:21	205:24 206:2,3	randomly	react 56:1,14	225:7 229:9
questionable	206:5 207:22	126:22 127:1	reaction 110:5	240:22 241:19
69:9	208:3 214:17	range 41:2	117:15	244:6 245:21
questioned 41:5	214:21,24	67:22 68:1	reactions 55:17	249:3 251:21
113:9 114:23	216:7,9 218:16	106:4	reactivity 252:7	252:9,14,16
118:1 119:15	219:8 220:17	rank 40:10 79:7	read 12:21 13:1	253:18
166:6	221:1 226:8	Rao 2:9 4:19	131:6 133:14	reason 7:8 16:10
questioning	228:4 230:21	218:4,11	133:21 141:14	22:13,15 43:2
20:24 114:23	230:22 265:23	226:22 227:21	147:23 149:1	69:4 143:22
129:10	269:2,8	rapidly 117:14	219:2 232:10	160:14,19
Questionnaire	quick 94:12,13	rate 22:2,2,24	248:17 250:9	194:7 202:21
8:19 9:3,9,15	117:17,23	24:5 26:13	262:10	207:20 215:12
questions 3:4,5	263:12	27:6 31:23	reading 141:13	215:24 224:24
3:6,7 5:12,17	quickly 142:18	66:2,9 70:12	151:19 219:8	228:23 239:6
6:3,5,10,12 7:3	174:15,21	70:14 73:19	261:15	267:9
7:23 8:17	237:7,7	76:1,1,4,7,8,11	readings 170:21	reasonable 62:7
13:20 18:5,7	quite 21:22 53:8	82:19 106:7	reagent 52:19	89:13 108:1
18:19 34:10,16	95:8 142:15	109:15,16,20	53:16 68:20	124:20 156:15
35:5,13,14,20	222:18 248:2	110:5,6,8	117:13	186:8 207:7
36:4,11,18	265:4,19 266:9	111:11,16	reagents 52:21	250:12
37:1,2 39:1	quote 137:5	113:17 119:1	116:16 117:1	reasonably
42:24 43:4	146:2 185:2	145:13 159:18	real 93:6 107:21	124:23 199:3
46:9,12,14,15	195:3 202:3,7	194:14 210:22	150:18 171:12	201:11,12
46:17,23 64:2	202:10 219:13	rates 22:5 23:7	172:23 207:13	235:21
72:19,23 77:1	219:24 248:22	24:23 26:4,6	255:19 257:5	reasoning
88:11 94:7		30:2,12 33:2	realistic 77:5	219:10
104:1,2,18,21	R	66:23 67:4,17	168:19 169:18	reasons 26:22
117:8 123:5,9	R 1:6 2:1 4:16	68:4 71:4	reality 172:6	35:22 37:6
125:12 129:5	R-O-B-I-N	72:20 73:1,8	239:12	61:21 201:17
129:11,12	130:21 204:22	84:5 106:2,4,5	realize 38:21	recall 154:17
130:3,14 131:1	228:7 239:20	117:1 118:21	realized 142:1	162:3
131:11 132:5	RACM 201:13	127:2 196:21	148:10 161:4	receive 222:11
132:12,14,16	201:15,18	196:22 210:5,8	realizing 194:4	received 16:19
132.12,17,10	,	170.22 210.3,0	Tourizing 174.4	100110.17
		1		1

August 4, 2015

				Page 304
		l	l	
133:18 140:8	175:1 179:16	248:5 253:1,7	refined 74:10	104:5
166:7,10	179:22 200:6	reductions 21:7	refineries 25:17	reinforces
167:20 198:13	204:8 210:6	26:24 30:20	105:8 196:4	193:16
receiver 267:4	217:10 218:7	31:2 77:5,6,17	refinery 114:9	reiterate 18:23
receptor 39:12	268:16,17,19	77:24 78:3	195:9	189:6
40:20,23 77:11	268:21,22	79:9,10,24	reflect 30:13	reject 102:17
122:10 144:14	recorded 266:15	81:18 82:24	74:16 194:15	177:10 178:6
144:18,21	266:20	85:6 86:8 89:3	220:13 248:13	rejecting 214:7
145:2,5,7,17	records 48:3	93:19,24 100:1	reflected 206:11	related 35:17
146:4,17 150:8	165:17,19	108:18,19	217:17 218:1	127:3 161:9
150:12 153:23	redo 139:14	109:9,10 112:2	reflecting 185:5	183:18 247:15
158:12 161:23	reduc- 79:11	112:19,22	reflects 61:4,8	relates 64:3
162:21 193:10	reduce 76:21	146:9 150:1	70:9 128:7	relating 18:10
193:10,12,15	79:15 80:2	154:22 155:7	194:18 222:9	relations 230:4
194:8 224:17	81:1 83:20	155:16 157:10	refresh 71:10	230:18
receptors 38:9	99:10 103:1	157:11 162:19	regard 225:8	relationships
146:13 153:20	147:12 157:3	186:21 189:17	239:2	184:20 185:4
153:24 154:1	169:24 190:19	189:19 190:2	regarding 42:12	relative 163:15
170:16 224:14	199:20 201:2,8	190:10,15,18	56:19 61:14	relatively 90:19
224:15	234:16 236:10	191:2,8,18	90:2 101:8	release 87:22
recession 58:17	245:11 258:1	192:24 202:1	161:21 168:8	237:17 247:18
recite 64:5	260:3,7	203:23 206:7,9	183:18,20	released 27:20
	reduced 37:11	206:10,11	189:13 198:17	190:20 227:6
60:10 177:1	85:13 88:20	211:20 214:10	202:17 219:10	247:21 249:10
228:15,20	103:7 221:13	219:14,15	221:5 265:15	relevant 131:11
recollection	235:23 258:23	221:6 225:6	265:21,23	reliability 62:9
58:14 71:10	reducing 26:23	248:24 264:7	regards 69:8	68:22
196:10	88:1 147:3	265:9	136:20 137:13	relied 57:8,12,20
recommend	154:24 237:8	refer 80:4	Region 42:12,21	58:3
	reduction 38:11	referenced	regional 121:9	relief 253:4
recommendati	38:13 70:9	40:20 140:21	188:15 190:22	relies 199:17
171:23	76:1,12,14	141:9 182:18	245:10	reluctant 250:14
recommendati	79:14,17 80:16	204:7	regularly 25:17	rely 121:5
200:14	80:20 82:8	references 71:13	25:23 199:16	220:12 229:6
recommending	94:1 107:21	referencing 31:2	regulate 25:4	relying 24:11
113:10,14	108:5 111:23	146:5 200:16	regulated 77:15	43:3 82:7
reconstruct	113:4 139:9,10	referred 64:21	regulation 22:11	remain 18:9
226:18	146:22 147:2,2	97:13 152:9	196:16 234:8	19:15
record 6:6 7:9	146:22 147:2,2	218:5		remains 235:8
7:20 11:1 39:8			regulations	
	149:2,12	referring 59:19 64:19 70:3	19:11 21:9	Remarks 3:3,9
59:21 60:3	150:12 153:2		192:16 195:23	remember 14:5
94:21 95:6	171:20,23	74:17 75:10	200:22 234:6	44:23 58:8
113:11 129:17	185:11 223:11	78:20 149:5	246:9	66:20 71:8
129:19,21	224:22 225:5	152:12 158:7	Regulatory 2:16	96:20 125:11
174:21,23	234:20 247:23	221:3	2:19 5:16 10:8	140:15 153:7
ļ			<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

•				Page 305
154 10 015 00	105.00 105.04	1 40 10 20 21	20010	10442
154:19 217:22	185:20 195:24	149:19,20,21	268:10	194:13
226:14,18	reproduced	149:22 161:20	response 14:17	review 5:5 14:4
267:15	172:6	171:16 207:9	14:20,22 34:11	38:23 64:4
remind 25:9	request 102:17	207:19 212:13	128:23 137:22	134:21 138:20
reminded 240:6	138:17 191:5	213:24 225:16	148:2 200:16	139:1,19 140:2
240:8,10	213:7 231:17	requirements	218:21,24	142:10,22
reminding 47:12	268:6	19:4 21:19	219:1,9 264:20	143:1,4 155:24
removal 234:21	requested 8:18	56:20 71:23	265:2	167:22 168:3
removed 150:11	15:13 16:3	77:16 80:7	responses 3:4,5	184:20 185:3
renege 254:19	18:3 95:12	161:16 189:18	3:6 183:22	reviewed 48:8
renegotiating	141:20 144:1,2	190:22 192:19	269:2,7,10,13	65:11 66:10
263:22	182:19,22	197:21,24	responsible	162:18 186:14
renewable	183:12 198:5	198:24 199:4	195:12 258:1	207:18
154:15 237:1	231:5 254:24	200:24 201:14	259:6	reviewing 129:4
repeat 4:6	255:1 268:24	202:3 214:5	responsive	142:19
221:10	requesting	requires 41:8,20	117:6	revised 190:21
repeatedly 41:4	36:17 143:20	41:23 195:20	rest 120:13	224:2
repeating	147:22 148:16	requiring 79:24	176:11	revision 222:2
201:18	149:18 219:11	193:20 235:21	restarting 199:9	263:15
repercussions	requests 14:17	reread 221:21	restricted 236:7	revisions 48:20
238:24	14:23 137:23	rescue 203:1	restricting 132:4	225:12
rephrase 144:15	144:4,7 161:1	research 234:1	result 85:7,15	right 4:17 10:7
replaces 223:18	161:2 219:23	researchers	86:7 93:20	12:5 27:9 36:8
report 39:8 44:2	require 21:7	237:11	150:1 154:21	39:2 40:10
102:19	-			
	31:7 89:5 94:1	resident 98:12	187:21 189:16	46:8 51:5
reported 60:19	101:3 126:21	102:4 175:8	190:2,15	54:14 58:16
65:19,22	147:19,19	resource 157:21	191:18 226:12	66:5 67:12
101:11 270:9	158:14 235:13	resources 38:14	227:12 232:16	72:12 82:10
reporter 7:9	required 41:11	199:11 219:21	244:21	98:3 103:11
12:1,4 36:7	43:20 44:5,13	respect 58:6	resulted 237:17	105:11 113:15
96:4 231:24	73:3 76:20	60:19 66:10	resulting 187:3	116:11 126:1
270:7	78:4,7 80:2,15	69:1,18 70:15	results 15:6	126:21 127:11
Reporter's 3:10	81:15,20 82:14	71:19 88:7,12	40:15 146:24	135:19 141:15
represent 13:4	83:2,7,19 92:9	92:6 202:9	186:20 188:10	146:1,6 149:24
229:7 256:13	92:11,20 93:19	208:6 209:13	213:12 251:13	158:22 160:12
representative	99:23 119:7	respective	251:14,15	164:16 176:14
63:10 114:19	128:19 129:2	208:10	retain 192:12	177:6 220:17
164:8	135:3 148:2	respirator 100:7	213:24	226:4 246:20
representatives	151:12 156:15	respiratory	retained 47:13	261:16
15:3	162:19 185:12	99:12 228:14	47:17	right-sized
represented	189:19 192:16	233:22 234:4	retired 232:12	253:8,9
200:11	206:9 213:16	235:7 241:23	retirements	risk 199:6 233:3
representing	220:14 236:15	respond 129:9	192:15	risks 188:22,22
11:6	requirement	218:15,17	return 179:15	river 245:6
represents	88:9 108:22	responded	reversions	248:16
1		1		
	•	•	•	•

August 4, 2015

rivers 96:15 road 157:7 256:5 258:8 259:14 260:14 22 261:2 260:22 264:16 82:15 83:3.6 69:5 71:5,14 77:15 197:23 199:16 81:5,10,16 31:5,10,16 82:24 41:18 8:9 262:22 264:16 82:15 83:3.6 197:23 199:16 31:5,10,16 32:7,11 33:14 33:20 37:12 32:7,11 33:14 33:20 37:12 32:7,11 33:14 33:20 37:12 32:7,11 33:14 33:20 37:12 32:11:24 12:12,17 84:12,12,17 84:12,12,17 84:12,12,17 84:12,12,17 84:12,12,13 83:12,18 36:5 36:21 37:5 121:22 199:12 206:8.9 13:22 135:15 103:15 104:17 103:18 104:17 103:18 104:18 10					Tage 500
road 157:7 259:14 260:12 261:2 262:22 264:12 80:8 81:20 264:21 88:9 262:22 264:12 82:15 83:3,6 rules 25:3 69:10 33:20 37:12 33:20 30:13 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:13 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:13 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:13 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:13 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:13 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33:20 30:10 33	rivers 96·15	256.5 258.8	69.5 71.5 14	rulemakings	29:21 30:7 15
Robertson 1:13 260:22 261:2 262:12 80:8 81:20 214:11 22:4 (1.8 89) 214:11 22:53 69:10 32:7,11 33:14 33:20 37:12 2:4 4:1,8 8:9 260:22 264:16 8:29 10:4,17 11:3 268:20 Robin 130:19 268:20 10:218 108:16 22:23 93:7 10:22 13:19 34:12,19 Robin 130:19 20:22,24 29:15 10:18 10:18 41:15,22 42:16 11:21:11 19:12 13:29 20:13:53.8 23:12,18 36:5 36:21 37:5 121:22 19:12 20:68.9 26:12 17:12 29:20 137:5 121:22 19:12 20:68.9 26:51 28:31 118:8 199:12 206:8.9 20:12 16:22 16:5 129:15 10:18 10:18 20:12 10:11 10:12 1 20:11 02:18 108:16 10:12 12:10 10:12 10:11 10:12 1 20:13 10:11 10:12 1 20:13 10:13 10:14 10:12 1 20:13 10:13 10:14 10:12 1 20:13 10:13 10:14 10:12 1 20:14 10:14 10:12 1 20:14 10:14 10:12 1 20:14 10:14 10:14 10:12 1 20:14 10:14				_	
2:44:1,8 8:9					
8:24 9:14,20 264:22 267:22 268:20 83:11 92:13 76:17,22 83:21 38:12,20 39:17 11:24 12:12,17 12:31:614 12:33:614 12:33:614 12:33:614 13:19 34:12,19 34:23 35:3,8 36:21 37:5 204:21 228:6 239:18,19 134:22 135:10 139:20 164:9 42:22 43:13,22 35:13,8 36:21 37:5 116:10 19:12 139:20 164:9 42:22 43:13,22 44:8,16 45:9 42:22 43:13,22 226:23 44:8,16 45:9 42:22 43:13,22 226:23 46:5,9,10 47:3 48:16,7,12,17 15:10 11:10 11:21 103:15 104:17 101:21 103:15 104:17 123:6 129:15 213:22 133:17 129:20 130:2,7 130:10,24 131:22 132:9 132:21 133:17 174:1,4,7,16 159:20 165:22 159:20 166:21 172:16 66:21 172:20 173:17 174:1,4,7,16 174:20,24 174:20,24 177:12 178:10 178:18 179:2 179:10,21 1				· ·	*
10:4,17 11:3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11:24 12:12,17				,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12:23 13:6,14 13:19 34:12,19 239:18,19 134:22 135:10 139:20 164:9 149:20 139:20 164:9 139:20 164:9 149:20 149:20 139:20 160:8 139:20 164:9 139:20 164:9 149:20 149:20 139:20 160:8 139:20 164:9 139:20 164:9 149:20 149:20 139:20 160:8 140:20 139:20 160:8 139:20 160:8 139:20 160:8 160:8 170:20 1	*				, ,
13:19 34:12,19 34:23 35:3,8 63:1 118:8 197:12,14,15 226:23 226:23 226:23 237:5 46:11,16 59:12 42:8 63:23 206:12,15,20 47:4,7,11,15 47:18,24 48:1 42:8 63:23 206:21 210:6 145:22 153:4 48:6,7,12,17 42:8 63:23 206:21 210:6 145:22 153:4 48:6,7,12,17 42:8 63:23 206:21 210:6 145:22 153:4 48:6,7,12,17 42:8 63:23 206:21 210:6 145:22 153:4 48:6,7,12,17 49:9,12,15,18 169:61 70:7 49:9,12,15,18 19:13,19 6:62 20:42 20:40 20:40 20:40 2	,				-
34:23 35:3,8 35:12,18 36:5 63:1 118:8 199:12 206:8,9 46:11,16 59:12 59:16 94:9 95:5 96:3 98:5 118:17 211:10 212:5 100:11 101:21 rolling 69:17,23 214:7 225:21 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 227:15 229:22 23:3,4,7 236:12 23:13:17 248:17 266:24 266:10 266:25 277:10 200:7 212:9,10 136:5 266:28 279:10,21 266:24 267:13 266:22 57:1,9 266:24 266:10 266:25 279:10,21 266:24 266:10 266:25 279:10,21 266:25 279:10,21 266:25 279:10,21 266:25 279:10,21 266:25 279:10,21 266:25 279:10,21 266:25 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:10,21 279:23 214:18 279:22 279:13 279:23 214:18 279:22 279:13 279:23 214:18 279:22 279:13 279:23 214:18 279:22 279:17 279:17 279:10,21 279:23 214:18 279:17					
35:12,18 36:5 63:1 118:8 197:12,14,15 226:23 46:5,9,10 47:3 36:21 37:5 121:22 roulings 260:12 47:18,24 48:1 46:11,16 59:12 roulings 260:12 47:18,24 48:1 59:16 94:9 42:8 63:23 206:21 210:6 145:22 153:4 48:67,12,17 95:5 96:3 98:5 118:17 211:10 212:5 162:24 168:23 48:22 49:1,4,5 100:11 101:21 roll 255:3 213:15,20 169:6 170:7 49:9,12,15,18 129:20 130:2,7 235:8 229:24 230:8 250:24 253:1 50:11,13,18,19 130:10,24 Ron 11:7,7 13:9 236:24 258:4 250:24 253:1 50:11,13,18,19 132:21 133:17 roof 266:24 263:17 264:14 267:13 267:13 158:23 159:5 room 46:20 rulemaking 4:24 267:13 52:24 53:3,4,7 174:1,4,7,16 rotis 177:21 40:14 42:17 46:69:28:10 58:23 159:5 179:10,21 65:5 76:6 139:6,7,15,15 5aley 34:4 58:29:25:1 58:23 59:10,19 180:12 204:11 87:14 270:6 139:23 140:1,4 1		,		*	,
36:21 37:5	T				
46:11,16 59:12 59:16 94:9 robustness 42:1 42:8 63:23 206:12,15,20 20:20:21:21:6 run 87:6,7,16 145:22:153:4 47:18,24 48:1 48:6,7,12,17 95:5 96:3 98:5 10:11:10:121 118:17 rolling 12:5 rolling 69:17,23 211:10 212:5 160:24 168:23 49:2,15,18 48:6,7,12,17 49:9,12,15,18 48:6,7,12,17 49:9,12,15,18 49:9,12,15,18			, ,		, ,
59:16 94:9 42:8 63:23 206:21 210:6 145:22 153:4 48:6,7,12,17 95:5 96:3 98:5 118:17 211:10 212:5 162:24 168:23 48:22 49:1,4,5 100:11 101:21 rolling 69:17,23 213:15,20 169:6 170:7 49:9,12,15,18 123:6 129:15 210:22 211:3 227:5,9,13 222:20 227:15 50:11,13,18,19 129:20 130:2,7 Ron 11:7,7 13:9 235:8 229:24 230:8 250:24 253:1 50:11,13,18,19 132:21 133:17 roof 266:24 258:21 259:11 running 68:6 51:17,18,22 134:1,12,18 roof 266:24 263:17 264:14 267:13 52:24 53:3,4,7 159:20 165:22 93:15 95:20 14:4,11,16 safe 258:20 53:13,21 54:2 174:1,4,7,16 roots 17:21 40:14 42:17 safe 258:20 57:10,16,19 177:12 178:10 700th 19:22 45:10 99:1 safety 35:22 60:12,16,20,24 179:10,21 65:5 76:6 139:23 140:1,4 11:21 12:10 62:20 63:19 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 144:8 17:16 12:21:210 66:4,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
95:5 96:3 98:5 100:11 101:21 roll 25:3 213:15,20 162:24 168:23 48:22 49:1,4,5 49:9,12,15,18 49:22 20:011,13 22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,22:10,23:10,23 40:14,18 40:12,21,21 40:14,11,16			, ,	, ,	
100:11 101:21 103:15 104:17 103:15 104:17 123:6 129:15 129:20 130:2,7 139:20 130:2,7 139:20 130:2,7 139:20 130:2,7 139:20 130:2,7 139:20 130:2,7 139:20 130:2,7 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 139:21 133:17 148:17 139:21 133:17 148:17 139:20 166:22 148:17 149:20 123:18 148:17 149:20 123:18 148:17 149:20 123:18 149:21 139:6 149:21 123:18 159:20 166:22 148:17 149:20 123:18 149:20 123 149					
103:15 104:17 123:6 129:15 210:22 211:3 227:5,9,13 222:20 227:15 50:11,13,18,19 229:24 230:8 229:24 230:8 229:24 253:1 51:3,8,9,11,14 239:16 243:21 239:16 243:21 239:24 230:8 236:24 258:4 258:21 259:11 258:21 259:11 266:24 263:17 264:14 266:24 270:26 270:27 270:17 201:17:21 201:17:21 204:10 248:10 204:10 248:10 204:10 248:10 200:7 121:9,10 136:5 179:10,21 200:7 121:9,10 136:5 139:6,7,15,15 207:23 214:18 207:21 207:23 214:18 207:23 2					
123:6 129:15 210:22 211:3 227:5,9,13 229:24 230:8 250:24 253:1 51:3,8,9,11,14 258:21 259:11 263:17 264:14 265:7 204:10 248:10 204:10 248:10 174:20,24 200:7 200:17:18:18 179:2 179:10,21 200:17 205:12,18,21 207:23 214:18 207:23 214:18 207:23 214:18 214:22 216:5 218:12,14 207:23 214:18 214:22 216:5 218:12,14 207:23 23:12 238:10 22:33:12 238:10 22:37 23:4 239:16 243:21 223:37 23:4 239:16 243:21 223:37 23:4 239:16 243:21 223:37 23:4 239:16 243:21 266:6 28:10 Rulemaking 267:13 50:11,13,18,19					
129:20 130:2,7 130:10,24 130:10,24 131:22 132:9 148:17 roof 266:24 258:21 259:11 263:17 264:14 265:7 rule walking 4:24 159:20 165:22 166:2 172:16 96:1 116:24 20:4,7 21:14		0 /			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Table Tabl			, ,		
131:22 132:9	,				, , , ,
132:21 133:17				U	· · ·
134:1,12,18					
Table 19				207.13	
159:20 165:22 93:15 95:20 14:4,11,16 20:4,7 21:14 20:4,7 21:14 26:6 28:10 20:4,7 21:14 20:4,7 2				<u> </u>	-
166:2 172:16 96:1 116:24 20:4;7 21:14 safe 258:20 57:10,16,19 172:20 173:17 204:10 248:10 20:9 38:18,22 266:8 58:1,8,12,15 174:1,4,7,16 roots 177:21 40:14 42:17 safeguard 119:9 58:23 59:10,19 174:20,24 Rory 10:12 45:10 99:1 safety 35:22 60:12,16,20,24 178:18 179:2 roughly 32:1 136:14 137:22 257:20 259:5 62:20 63:19 179:10,21 87:21 160:4 139:6,7,15,15 11:7,14,16,19 64:2,8,16,17 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:2,8,16,17 205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:4,8,11,16 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 220:23 221:22 26:3 20:21 20:24 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:5,21 228:3 rubric 52:21 208:19,20 21:11 22:15 71:8,20 72:6 233:12 238:10					
172:20 173:17 204:10 248:10 22:9 38:18,22 40:14 42:17 45:10 99:1 25:7:20 259:5 58:23 59:10,19 58:23 59:10 58:23 59:10 58:23 59:10 58:23 59:10 58:23 59:1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
174:1,4,7,16 roots 177:21 40:14 42:17 safeguard 119:9 58:23 59:10,19 174:20,24 200:7 121:9,10 136:5 37:6 209:16,24 60:12,16,20,24 177:12 178:10 200:7 121:9,10 136:5 37:6 209:16,24 61:6,11,18 179:10,21 65:5 76:6 139:6,7,15,15 Sahu 3:4,5 11:7 64:2,8,16,17 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:20 65:14,24 205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:19,20 67:5 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 rubber 252:18 200:9 201:15 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 220:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·
174:20,24 Rory 10:12 45:10 99:1 safety 35:22 60:12,16,20,24 177:12 178:10 200:7 121:9,10 136:5 37:6 209:16,24 61:6,11,18 178:18 179:2 roughly 32:1 136:14 137:22 257:20 259:5 62:20 63:19 179:10,21 65:5 76:6 139:6,7,15,15 Sahu 3:4,5 11:7 64:2,8,16,17 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:20 65:14,24 205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:19,20 67:5 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 220:5,21 228:3 226:5,21 228:3 208:19,20 21:11 22:15 71:8,20 72:6 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:11 75:8,9,14,18					
177:12 178:10 200:7 121:9,10 136:5 37:6 209:16,24 61:6,11,18 178:18 179:2 65:5 76:6 139:6,7,15,15 5ahu 3:4,5 11:7 62:20 63:19 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:2,8,16,17 204:18 205:5 170:2 144:8 171:16 13:2,121:2 13:2,121:0 66:4,8,11,16 205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:19,20 67:5 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 15:7,15 16:1,9 68:5,12,15 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 265:3 20:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 220:23 221:22 265:3 20:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 22:3,7 23:4 20:13 20:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18				U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
178:18 179:2 roughly 32:1 136:14 137:22 257:20 259:5 62:20 63:19 179:10,21 65:5 76:6 139:6,7,15,15 8hu 3:4,5 11:7 64:2,8,16,17 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:20 65:14,24 204:18 205:5 170:2 144:8 171:16 13:9,17,20 66:4,8,11,16 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 68:5,12,15 219:4 220:20 rubber 252:18 200:9 201:15 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 22:3,7 23:4 200:9 201:15 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18					
179:10,21 65:5 76:6 139:6,7,15,15 Sahu 3:4,5 11:7 64:2,8,16,17 180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:20 65:14,24 204:18 205:5 170:2 144:8 171:16 13:9,17,20 66:4,8,11,16 205:12,18,21 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 214:22 216:5 RPR 1:14 270:6 197:6,10,22 15:7,15 16:1,9 68:5,12,15 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 220:23 221:22 265:3 20:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 226:5,21 228:3 rubric 52:21 208:19,20 21:11 22:15 71:8,20 72:6 230:20 233:4,9 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18					, ,
180:12 204:11 87:21 160:4 139:23 140:1,4 11:7,14,16,19 64:20 65:14,24 204:18 205:5 170:2 144:8 171:16 13:9,17,20 66:4,8,11,16 205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:19,20 67:5 207:23 214:18 RPR 1:14 270:6 197:6,10,22 15:7,15 16:1,9 68:5,12,15 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 220:23 221:22 265:3 20:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 20:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18		0 0			
204:18 205:5 170:2 144:8 171:16 11:22 12:10 66:4,8,11,16 205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:19,20 67:5 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 rubber 252:18 200:9 201:15 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 220:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18			, , ,		
205:12,18,21 routinely 105:19 181:2,6 183:4 13:9,17,20 66:19,20 67:5 207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 214:22 216:5 RPR 1:14 270:6 197:6,10,22 15:7,15 16:1,9 68:5,12,15 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 rubber 252:18 200:9 201:15 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 220:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18					· ·
207:23 214:18 row 39:19 183:22 189:10 14:3,5,18,24 67:12,15,19 214:22 216:5 RPR 1:14 270:6 197:6,10,22 15:7,15 16:1,9 68:5,12,15 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 rubber 252:18 200:9 201:15 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 208:19,20 21:11 22:15 71:8,20 72:6 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18					
214:22 216:5 RPR 1:14 270:6 197:6,10,22 15:7,15 16:1,9 68:5,12,15 218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 20:23 221:22 265:3 20:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 226:5,21 228:3 20:20:23 23:4,9 20:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18				, , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
218:12,14 270:17 199:5,7,18 16:22 17:21 69:2,14,15,20 219:4 220:20 20:23 221:22 265:3 20:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 226:5,21 228:3 20:23:4,9 20:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18					, , ,
219:4 220:20 rubber 252:18 200:9 201:15 18:5,14,20 69:22 70:3,6 220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 208:19,20 21:11 22:15 71:8,20 72:6 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18					
220:23 221:22 265:3 202:11 203:24 19:7,12 20:22 70:11,16 71:3 226:5,21 228:3 rubric 52:21 208:19,20 21:11 22:15 71:8,20 72:6 230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 220:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,		, , ,
226:5,21 228:3				, ,	· ·
230:20 233:4,9 rule 21:7,20 220:4 230:10 23:6,10,16 73:9,10,21 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 23:6,10,16 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 75:8,9,14,18				,	,
233:12 238:10 22:3,7 23:4 230:13 24:3 25:5,20 74:2,5,15 75:4 239:16 243:21 26:6 28:10 Rulemaking 26:1,15 27:8 75:8,9,14,18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		· ·
239:16 243:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,	
253.10 2 15.21 20.0 20.10		,			, ,
24/:3 255:24 42:6 44:13 1:/ 26.0 29.2,11 75:22 76:2,17			_		
	247:3 255:24	42:6 44:13	1:/	20.0 27.2,11	75:22 76:2,17
				<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

76:18,23 77:14	189:8 195:1	scenarios 27:2	20:20,23 26:7	self-reported
77:18 78:6,7	196:24 210:2	121:23 222:17	32:4 44:15	236:18
78:12,13,18,21	218:5	scheduled 5:9	45:7 71:4	sell 237:16 238:2
79:21 80:9,13	sale 104:10	SCHIFF 2:20	79:17 145:13	seller 195:23
81:18,22 82:13	Sanders 34:18	school 51:13,15	180:16 194:14	senior 177:15
82:16,20 83:4	34:21 35:1,1,6	96:24 175:12	202:3 210:5,8	sense 41:24 42:2
83:9,13,16,22	35:10,15	177:16 228:16	222:2 223:16	67:13,14 88:13
84:7,8,13,14	Sandy 35:1	228:18 259:24	224:1 225:13	91:11 119:5
84:17,24 85:3	sat 147:15	schoolers 267:17	Sections 5:1	154:13 157:7
85:11 86:1,6,9	155:21 254:24	science 203:12	see 4:3 20:9	241:11 243:13
86:16,20 87:2	satisfactorily	scientific 151:4	21:21,23 22:7	252:16 254:7
87:21 88:3,4	150:23	169:2,15	23:1 24:16,18	senses 117:12
88:12,15 89:19	save 46:15	170:19	28:19 30:8,16	sensible 155:5
90:2,3,6,13,17	244:20	scientist 139:21	60:16,18,21,23	155:13
			, , ,	
91:1,2,7,10,13	saved 237:10	155:23	60:24 64:16	sensitive 209:9
91:19,20 92:7	saw 20:14,23	Scout 242:21	78:17 79:3	sent 32:22
92:14,23 93:1	27:1 34:14	screw 251:23	80:19 97:5	125:23 142:6,8
93:11,13,15,16	42:23,24 65:3	scrubber 53:16	98:19 99:1	225:21 230:3
94:3,8 104:1,7	67:3,17 68:3	53:17 115:19	107:9 108:1	sentence 64:13
104:12,14,22	71:11 124:3	185:9	116:17 118:24	sentences 146:9
104:24 105:6	146:19,20	scrubbers 53:9	124:2 156:2	separate 115:8
105:17,21	148:1,5 149:1	117:21 184:24	175:21 214:12	135:17 139:6
106:3,9,17	171:2 218:20	185:1	215:15,24	178:24 198:5
107:1,4,10,12	saying 22:16	scrubbing 53:6	225:2 226:17	199:21 204:13
107:16 108:10	32:22 37:14,20	53:8,12 235:19	228:18 242:16	204:17 220:3
108:23 109:21	37:22 40:16	236:20	251:11 254:18	separately 254:2
111:12 112:4	44:21 45:2	se 109:7	seeing 9:8 10:22	September
113:3,7,15,19	57:21 63:19	seasons 68:9	132:13,17	269:14
115:7 116:7,23	79:23 89:21	second 5:14 8:17	134:3 173:20	series 63:17
117:7 118:6	90:18 91:24	22:24 24:5	179:4,11 205:5	serious 176:21
119:20 120:24	110:24 119:6	64:13 80:19	216:9 227:13	serve 4:9
122:8,19,23	119:13 121:17	110:6,20 111:1	230:24 231:10	serves 65:4
123:10,13,17	123:22 146:4	117:16 122:22	233:16 238:8	service 175:14
123:24 124:9	146:15 148:11	122:23 123:3	268:3,15	set 8:17 39:13
124:17,22	156:13 227:13	125:4 129:16	seek 103:1	41:11,17,20
125:8,16,19	263:7	149:7 183:17	176:13	42:6 95:7
126:1,6,16,24	says 121:4 137:9	187:8 195:15	seen 21:15 30:10	108:14 157:13
127:6,17	149:24,24	197:17 199:13	34:6 38:24	200:4 224:6
128:10,22	168:20 221:19	200:12,17	39:7 40:12	250:21 253:23
129:7,14,22	221:21	201:10 202:15	58:1 60:12	sewage 97:18
130:4,5,15	scaffolders	223:15 249:24	85:20 106:4	shake 242:9
131:2 132:5,12	257:9	secondary 53:16	112:23 161:12	Shame 101:19
132:14,18,20	scenario 63:24	209:11	162:24 218:7	101:19
132:22 140:13	153:4 162:24	section 10:9,11	261:14 264:7	shape 90:20
159:12 182:18	222:21 253:15	10:14,16 19:6	seeping 176:10	shape 36:26 share 16:14
107.12 102.10	222.21 233.13	10.11,10 17.0	556ping 170.10	
	1		1	ı

August 4, 2015

				Page 308
	1	1	l	l
230:12 236:24	118:20 210:4	176:20 189:17	242:15 243:4	110:5 124:21
242:13	210:14	190:2 192:24	situation 118:15	126:19 127:3
shared 15:5	sick 236:9	211:19 234:12	164:18 168:19	145:12 154:4
226:23 227:22	side 232:13	significantly	171:2 216:16	162:19 184:21
230:7	242:4	37:9,17 38:3	263:24	186:21 189:17
shareholder's	sides 243:17	39:4 69:24	situations	189:21 191:19
103:14	Sierra 3:4,6,19	106:5,8 120:11	119:21 120:1	191:22 194:23
sheet 231:6,6	3:20 5:24 6:10	129:1 163:8	six 237:19	195:4 196:20
258:11	9:21 10:23	signup 6:17	sixth 81:2	202:1,17
sheets 6:17	11:6,8,12,22	similarly 73:6	size 54:14,15	203:20 204:16
231:3	13:10,10,11	simple 20:22	110:10,18	204:16 205:7
shelf 267:3	14:17,23 15:3	26:10 56:12	113:24 114:21	205:13 208:22
short 95:1	15:13,19,21	97:8 110:23	127:9 128:3	209:14,21
179:17 220:6	16:7,8,18	112:5 124:23	sized 54:10,13	211:20 213:15
220:15	28:24 46:1,7	simplistic 120:4	54:18	219:14 222:4
short-term	47:15 48:2,15	simply 19:20	sizing 54:11	234:6,13,14,21
235:15	48:17,20 49:14	29:18 33:6	55:6	235:16,19
shorter 99:24	49:20 50:12,24	44:1 63:19	skin 81:9 242:10	236:16,20
184:17 251:3	68:16 125:7,10	66:12 93:17	slightly 66:16	237:16,23
shortest 235:14	132:24 133:5	111:2 112:20	slum 101:16	244:21 248:24
shorthand	133:12 134:5,6	119:6 122:9	small 30:21 31:3	253:2 254:6
270:10,12	134:7 137:19	124:23 141:3	31:8 86:3	255:2 258:22
shortly 95:12	137:23 138:1,5	143:2 181:9	87:11,12	solar 241:6
shoulder 155:24	138:6 140:12	182:3 183:6,13	105:10 152:23	sold 195:20
156:22,24	141:1 143:19	190:6 192:11	152:24 153:14	solicited 225:24
show 19:13	144:1 160:3	211:4,7 223:2	163:15,22	solid 56:13
107:17 124:24	166:17 181:7	235:12	164:23 217:2	207:20
139:11 152:5	181:13,14,16	Sims 10:7	249:11	solution 243:16
236:4	181:20,24	single 24:20	smaller 70:6	243:17,18
showed 33:24	182:5,8,14,20	39:21 52:3	78:16,20 80:6	solutions 177:8
149:2 194:1	191:15 193:4,6	77:9 78:1	80:11 105:11	somebody 100:6
230:6	197:5 229:2,6	114:9 127:7	123:2 151:24	100:8 111:13
showing 59:6,7	244:11,12	176:2,20	153:7 163:20	262:8 267:13
203:20	263:16	236:16	165:9 229:15	somebody's
shown 149:10	sign 6:19 231:4	SIP 135:3,11	smog 235:6	176:3
shows 22:3 33:7	sign-in 231:3	SIPS 202:9	smoke 235:5	someplace 28:10
37:9 39:11	258:11	sir 78:13 215:1	so-called 74:16	75:10
81:14 120:7,12	signatures 178:6	sit 157:18	121:10	somewhat 43:1
150:3 203:17	signed 103:22	site 54:10	SO2 30:20,24	121:15 217:24
239:23 258:24	231:12,13	137:18	31:7 58:7 61:9	221:18
shut 61:23 62:1	260:17	sitting 7:10	65:4 71:17	son 257:1
63:3 67:20,20	significant	22:21 44:8	73:19 74:13	soon 240:15
67:23 101:19	37:14,17 38:3	45:2 50:16	85:14,18,22,24	sooner 10:7
shutdown 71:6	72:16 89:3	71:9,14 95:9	86:7 88:1	98:10
71:12 73:18	108:5 121:18	123:19 170:14	100:2 109:23	sorbent 46:3
, 1.12 , 5.10	100.0 121.10	120.17 170.11	100.2 107.23	20120110.0
	1	•	1	•

August 4, 2015

T				Page 309
52.24 52.15	160.24 170.2 0	120.20 120.1	07.15 110.12	arr arrya 102.12
52:24 53:15	169:24 170:2,9	128:20 129:1	87:15 118:12	spews 102:12
64:14 65:10,12 115:19	173:14 188:23 191:19.23	144:20 150:2,9 150:24 151:5	specialist 228:14	spike 73:16 244:20 245:2
sorry 9:23 12:13	200:6 207:19	150:24 151:5	specific 7:18 23:3 49:24	spikes 103:5
•		,		1
35:4 36:22	224:16 227:16	155:14,15	83:14,18 88:17	115:21 118:20
46:18 86:19	234:24 235:23	157:2,13	104:9 126:14	119:3 207:4
96:3 101:24	236:16	162:15 163:15	137:6,23	235:15 245:11
108:18 121:24	sources 1:9 4:14	168:16,17	160:18 162:24	spinned 56:2
129:15 131:1	19:3,5,22,24	169:5,8,13	183:1,11,12	spirit 98:24
144:15 152:9	20:10,15 21:6	170:6,7,9,10	201:23 202:18	spoke 182:23
159:20 174:5	21:13,16,17,18	173:13 189:24	206:12 215:10	196:8 232:3
174:17,18	22:10,20 25:11	195:5,6 196:18	215:14 252:21	238:13,13
185:4 188:22	25:23 26:6,15	196:21 200:4	specifically	240:5 241:13
190:3 202:12	27:9,9,14,24	200:19 201:2,7	29:19 37:10	242:12 256:8
204:12 224:13	28:2,7,11,11	201:19 202:18	46:1 47:18	spoken 42:11,16
226:19 229:2	28:17,20 29:8	206:7,15	75:5 125:14	103:23 222:19
sort 68:22 99:16	29:12 30:2,21	224:12,20	130:14 131:1	232:1 238:12
113:23 117:2	31:3,23 32:1,2	227:10 234:14	132:4,4 182:19	sports 240:18
120:9 217:1	32:18,23 33:8	237:1,23,23	215:7,15 223:8	Sprague 10:14
222:15 229:4	33:12,13,18,21	sources' 214:9	227:15 231:8	18:15,21 22:22
250:7 253:21	33:22,24 34:2	south 2:21 96:9	specification	36:2,14 143:10
sound 160:12	34:3 37:9,15	153:15 232:13	132:7	144:3
sounds 245:21	37:16 38:1	259:21	specifications	spread 239:6
250:12	39:3,11,13	southern 153:15	195:21	spreadsheet
source 22:1,2,23	40:3,7,11	southwest 163:4	specificity 68:16	34:1 124:24
24:6,10,12,21	56:20 61:22	163:9	68:17	125:3 126:8
27:16 28:16	76:16,20 77:14	sovereign 121:2	specifics 253:23	128:11
29:15,16 31:8	77:21 78:16,20	space 100:18	specify 29:7	spreadsheets
31:15,20,20	78:21 79:2,4,8	speak 4:5 6:21	126:14 157:11	142:20 156:16
38:7 39:15,23	79:12,12,14,18	7:12 25:13	speculate 203:7	181:18 218:6
40:1 63:3 78:2	79:22 80:1,6	30:17 66:24	speculation	spring 96:21
83:18 84:12	80:12,14,15,24	69:6 77:3	203:9	Springfield 2:12
92:9,10,10	81:14 82:1,3	92:16 95:15	spell 7:6 95:17	2:17 5:10
108:17,19,20	82:22 83:10,23	98:10,17	96:4 130:18	SS 270:2
109:19 110:15	92:15 93:15	159:21 182:22	175:3 215:3	SSM 210:24
110:18 111:10	108:24 109:2,3	228:11 263:5	228:7 231:24	St 229:22
114:13,16	109:5,13,14,24	266:12	spend 92:20	stable 240:22
115:4 120:22	110:2,22 113:4	SPEAKER	106:18 141:13	stack 178:12
123:15 124:6	113:11,18	256:3	245:16 257:21	254:1,3,5,10
124:11 126:19	114:1 118:23	speaking 7:7	257:22	254:11
127:7 148:5	119:16,19,23	23:12,17 28:22	spending 93:6	stacks 253:24
154:6 156:19	120:8,14	95:18 98:13	93:21 260:9	staff 8:3 16:13
157:1,2 158:15	121:18,21	157:16,17	spent 47:24 48:3	18:23 26:19
162:8,9,16	122:6,9 124:12	244:12	55:3 181:2	29:3 42:3 43:2
163:2 164:3,4	126:22 128:15	speaks 86:1	248:2	46:6 65:16

August 4, 2015

				Page 310
72.10 77.1 9	113:12	22:14 16 79:15	stanned 27:14	13:3 16:15
72:19 77:1,8 82:11 84:4	start 13:22	22:14,16 78:15 80:3 151:4	stopped 27:14 story 63:21	17:15 20:20
114:19 132:15	75:13 205:2	statements 8:2,5	story 65:21 straightforward	21:8,17,18
180:24 226:8	231:12 255:20	9:22 10:20	20:9	28:2,20 69:5
269:16	267:24	25:1 71:11	strategies 81:8	71:23 108:21
staff's 122:11	started 4:2	180:8 201:17	146:21,22,24	116:11 122:12
staffing 89:3	72:15 160:3,22	268:1	140.21,22,24	133:24 145:12
0	162:10 241:23	states 21:15 33:6	strategy 155:7	184:11,20
stage 104:18 130:12 131:2	261:24	41:11,20 105:2	0.0	201:19,20
		120:24 185:2	157:19,19,21 169:23	submit 198:17
132:3,12	starting 65:3			
134:14 178:15	69:16 260:3	219:13 238:3	stream 56:14	249:14,22
180:6 207:8	starts 240:15	241:12	Street 1:17 2:2	submits 198:12
223:3	startup 71:6,12	statical 108:12	2:16 261:10,11	submittal
stakeholders	72:4,7,10,10	stating 19:20	strengthened	225:22
225:19	72:17,22 73:2	station 91:1,9	102:19	submitted 8:16
stamp 265:3	73:17 118:19	232:23,24	strict 234:14	42:19 133:5,6
stamped 252:18	210:3,13	249:1 254:8	stricter 99:22	133:7 136:22
stand 32:11	state 1:15 7:6,16	257:13,15,21	strike 53:23	184:12 218:9
52:23 62:20	8:19 9:3,9,15	stations 256:17	66:7 94:6	submitting
standard 24:2	19:2,17 23:19	statistical 188:4	142:5 213:5,21	200:13 226:1
28:5 41:1	25:3,15 31:8	status 33:10	stringency 70:17	subpart 45:8
61:10 71:18	31:22 32:14,21	197:14	70:18 185:13	201:20,21
103:2,4,4	32:23 33:1	statute 202:9	188:7	206:12 220:12
108:3,11,12	41:11 67:1	stay 42:5 62:10	stringent 69:24	Subsection
144:22,23	79:23 88:17	stays 111:9	70:13,24 185:7	64:13 75:12,18
145:20 149:13	95:17 101:7	240:9	188:10 249:1	75:19
151:19 168:14	119:22,23,24	steel 105:9	strip 96:17	subset 28:20
170:4 186:3	120:7,21,23	step 7:12 38:23	strong 107:17	109:24
189:15 196:6	121:12 130:3	54:12 63:14,14	stronger 101:3	substantial 92:5
208:24 209:1,2	130:17 174:17	130:15 156:10	177:11 178:8	100:1 120:10
209:14,14,22	175:3 182:12	156:17 215:2	struggle 176:11	substantially
210:24 220:4	189:17 196:7	231:23	176:23	207:17
235:16	200:8 211:19	STEPHEN 2:23	struggled	substantive 5:6
standards 23:12	212:12 215:3	steps 56:15	240:24	substitutes
25:1 26:8 28:3	231:23 245:9	stereo 266:22,22	struggling 208:4	111:13
29:24 59:8	255:14 270:1,7	267:4	student 175:11	substitution
107:21 185:18	270:9	steroid 240:12	students 97:1	112:7
190:21 195:19	state's 19:21	242:3,9	98:2	suffered 253:4
203:11 209:6	85:9 120:6	Steve 13:3	studies 215:7,10	suffering 99:11
232:24 233:1	stated 9:3 85:22	stockholders	study 117:2	sufficient 111:2
247:19	149:15,17	264:3	184:22 185:8	143:6 162:5
standpoint	183:20 190:8	stoichiometric	236:4 268:8	198:1 222:6
118:8 169:2,16	221:2	26:18	stuff 76:24	sufficiently
170:19 199:11	statement 8:16	stop 99:20 191:3	261:18 262:16	138:20 185:17
stands 85:17	14:19 20:23	239:6	subject 11:23	suggest 182:14

August 4, 2015

•				Page 311
224:23	 summarize	222:19 227:19	table 31:22 32:6	193:11 228:15
suggested	216:18	229:20 231:18	39:10,15,18	263:14
128:16 181:7	summary	241:1 246:22	40:4,7,21	talking 23:14
182:17 197:6	198:12 250:5	258:19 259:5	66:24 79:2	24:7 27:13
207:11 211:3	supplemental	261:1,8 262:9	80:17,19 81:17	28:2,4,7 44:11
		264:4 265:16	94:5 119:16	44:12 54:22
suggesting 19:8	15:19 41:11,14	267:5	120:5 122:4,8	
31:6 139:13	41:21 118:5,12		,	66:21 75:11
170:18 182:1	207:1,3	Surely 50:23	148:5 249:12	78:10 84:14
182:10 183:7	supply 90:21	surprise 50:1,3	tackled 176:21	112:17 117:21
187:12 202:20	138:10	58:12 68:8	tackling 255:20	142:19 148:17
203:16	support 17:9	249:20	tailor 114:14	150:15 152:23
suggestion 168:8	39:7 41:9 42:1	suspect 265:17	take 35:14 41:17	215:17 224:8
195:13 197:1	42:23 98:21,22	sustain 239:15	43:14 58:9	229:18 248:22
suggests 185:15	121:19 128:24	swap 152:18	76:6 83:7,19	265:16 267:6
187:1 188:5	135:5 138:19	swear 12:1,6	88:9 93:19	tank 227:14
Suite 2:3,21	139:10,18,23	36:1,9	94:12 109:24	target 139:11
sulfur 1:6 4:11	140:1,3,4	switch 85:13	110:14 120:4	targets 139:9
21:19 24:2,8,9	147:11 160:24	95:14 126:22	120:12 125:22	Task 101:11
24:17 25:4,7	183:21 248:24	127:1 154:20	128:18 160:21	234:10 236:4
25:12,16,17,24	258:5,6,21	173:1 213:7,14	163:21 174:9	taught 96:23,24
26:11,23 27:4	259:11 260:12	217:5,24	174:15 175:2	taxes 106:13
27:11 28:3,4,9	supporting 89:6	219:11,16	179:14 182:8	Tazewell 175:8
28:13,17,21	94:4 139:4,7	switched 260:4	209:5 210:22	244:9
29:9,24 30:4	168:4 184:12	260:5	242:2,2 245:10	Tazwell 102:5
30:14 31:14,17	supports 62:18	switching	250:19 254:8	teacher 242:21
31:18 74:13	supposedly	154:15	265:24	team 251:2
101:5 103:5	78:15 193:5	sworn 35:21	taken 1:13 18:18	teams 251:13
104:10 110:1,3	Supreme 69:6	36:18,24	38:17 143:13	technical 2:9,10
111:3,8 112:6	69:11	system 52:13	166:22 180:3	4:19 22:17
112:9,21	sure 11:2 27:21	53:15,20 54:1	194:5 220:3	34:10 39:6
113:24 114:3	46:14,17 47:1	54:22 55:20,21	224:20 270:13	41:8,16 42:1
114:11,24	48:12,22 59:15	56:9,12,16	takes 111:13	42:23 47:21
115:2,13	67:19 69:3	69:1 74:22,24	126:3 142:22	118:8 119:12
117:12 124:16	70:6 75:4,12	115:23 116:18	197:21 222:20	121:19 128:23
127:15 128:8	81:10,22 83:4	117:3,11	222:21 240:12	139:21 140:3
171:16 195:1,2	83:16 84:9	232:22 266:16	240:12 250:24	147:10 183:21
195:5,17,24	85:3 90:3,13	266:22,22	265:19	199:17 235:11
196:1,5,7,11	91:7,10 113:19	systems 30:22	talk 4:4 75:1	250:2
196:13,14,18	119:10 120:16	52:20 54:19	230:17 247:12	technician
197:2 206:14	126:24 128:4	55:9 87:17	250:14 262:8	244:24
227:11,17	134:23 136:24	88:23 113:13	talked 18:21	technology
232:20 237:9	140:13 141:10	118:22 201:24	43:13 57:12,21	185:9 201:12
237:18 244:19	146:14 160:6		88:6 123:11	215:13 232:19
245:3,10 248:4	160:20 164:24	T	147:14 150:21	235:22 246:21
254:23	170:4 217:2	T 3:13	150:21 158:12	teleconference
	•		•	

August 4, 2015

г				Page 312
261:6	6:9,11,15,19	thank 4:2 7:24	233:18 234:2	40:23 41:22
telephone	7:2,15 10:23	8:24 10:16,17	237:15 238:7,9	53:2 58:15
140:11	11:7,11,13,15	11:3 12:3 13:6	239:16,22	61:11 62:4,13
tell 29:16,16	11:18,21 12:6	13:16,23,24	241:2 243:21	62:20 63:14
33:14 48:1	12:24 13:9	16:17 34:20	243:24 244:5	65:15 76:8
50:16,20 63:6	14:8,10 17:20	35:9,20,22	246:24 247:1,3	77:24 80:13,22
65:2 76:23	18:3 19:2	36:6 37:4,6	255:22,22,24	82:1 83:22
84:1,17 86:11	23:11 26:2	45:23 46:9,10	256:5,24 258:8	90:7,8 97:8,9,9
93:1,3 108:7	31:11,22 36:4	46:11 47:12	258:14 259:13	99:12,21 103:2
110:19 114:2	36:9,19 39:10	59:15,16 60:1	259:14 260:14	106:11,19
122:3,6 123:18	41:4 43:8,12	60:4,8 75:20	261:2 262:22	114:3 117:7
150:19 228:17	43:17 47:14,19	86:22 94:9,20	263:3,8,11	119:6 124:12
telling 84:11	48:5,9,24 53:2	95:6,10,23,24	264:15,16,23	126:2 129:8
139:24	59:22 64:3,5	98:4,5,9,20,23	267:23 268:17	141:23 142:1
tells 250:23	65:9 66:9	100:10,11	268:21 269:18	142:14 160:1
temperature	69:14 75:2,6	101:20,21	269:20	160:13 161:7
54:24 88:19	99:7 104:1	103:14,15,18	thanks 102:2	161:12 162:5
temporary 63:2	105:20 106:23	104:2,15,19	258:7 260:13	172:18 174:13
ten 79:5 104:20	109:5 111:20	113:6 123:4,6	Theoretically	207:6 208:17
114:6 196:15	117:5 119:17	128:13 129:17	73:21	221:22 223:22
ten-minute	122:5 132:5,19	130:7,10,16,18	thing 26:2 97:10	227:18,21
94:13	132:24 133:5,7	132:9,18,20,21	97:16 103:11	229:10,12
tend 66:22	133:10,14,18	133:2 134:1,3	115:16 127:8	230:10,14
tenth 76:6	133:21 134:4	134:16,18	148:17 157:22	232:1,5 238:11
term 41:12	134:13 135:2	143:8 145:10	176:4 249:24	245:13 246:4
terminology	136:18 137:18	158:21,23	251:8 253:22	252:9,12 253:8
53:2	138:8 144:12	159:6,23	things 38:21	255:9 262:18
terms 37:18,22	144:16 146:1	165:20,22	58:3 62:12	third 5:8 187:8
61:4 69:23	152:8 155:4	166:1,2,5	63:12 70:20	202:16
94:17 100:5	161:7 167:12	172:16,20	77:4 106:12	thought 35:10
112:14 127:3	167:18,23	173:22 174:8	107:23 111:15	57:19 78:24
138:22 142:16	168:4,8 171:15	177:11,12	121:21 142:15	97:14 112:5
146:12 148:6	171:17,20	178:8,10,12	169:20 209:12	120:3 141:13
156:18 171:6	179:7,15 180:7	179:5,9,12,16	216:21 227:8	250:9 254:12
202:2 239:5	180:10 183:16	180:13 204:2	229:12,18	thousand 77:21
testified 22:9	189:9 195:1	205:3,20,22	238:24 251:24	thousands 76:16
49:19 109:12	200:1,3 205:19	207:23 208:14	252:2 254:24	77:14,19 90:12
125:13 127:12	208:15 218:6	214:16,18	255:2,7,18	92:12,21
testify 6:13	221:10 231:6	215:2,3 216:4	261:21,22	113:11 141:18
49:11 159:12	232:9 249:23	216:5 218:2	264:20	162:11 241:11
231:8	252:16 264:6	219:5 220:24	think 16:12	threat 176:21
testifying 49:18	testing 65:18	221:23 226:5	17:18,21 18:6	three 5:8 36:1,7
175:8	114:19 116:8	226:20 227:21	18:23 24:4	58:7,9 64:10
testimony 3:7	116:13 267:8	230:19,24	26:1 31:18	76:9,9 88:16
5:11,15,22 6:1	tests 196:6	231:10 233:4,9	33:1,2 38:4,17	107:20 122:15
			<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

				Page 313
191:4 204:1	217:6 218:18	182:17 200:12	topics 199:24	tried 261:20
212:20 213:23	222:18,19,22	202:16 208:17	total 40:6	Trona 52:13,16
231:18 252:2	223:2 225:24	216:12 218:16	144:20 162:11	52:19 53:11,13
254:2,3,5	227:3,11 231:1	231:1,8,19	162:12 224:6	54:1,5,12,16
254.2,3,3	231:13 240:5	232:19 234:3	245:3	55:3,6,9,12,14
three-year 58:10	241:2,22	234:12 237:21	totality 62:5	56:1,6,9 68:12
60:22 61:7	241.2,22	240:3 241:19	totals 146:23	68:19 116:15
	245:18 248:2		touch 257:5	116:16 189:23
thriving 177:5	255:23 258:7	243:4,10,12 244:7 246:14	town 240:19	
throw 97:19	259:13 260:5			250:11
183:13 255:11		247:1,11	township 217:11	troopers 242:20
thumb 166:16	261:13 263:9	256:13,19	246:16 Tarria 247:9	trouble 262:7
166:24 167:3	265:19 267:17	257:5 258:15	Toxic 247:8	true 38:10 41:13
167:21	267:23 268:14	258:18 259:12	Tracy 247:5,7	72:2 84:20
tie 20:7	269:19	260:17 268:2	trade 171:3,3	85:21 140:10
tied 21:22	timeframe	269:19	tradeoff 171:1	142:9 210:7,16
tight 157:18	181:21,22	today's 5:21	training 52:2	212:17 262:1
time 6:16 7:17	235:14	142:11 176:24	transboundary	270:11
8:23 9:7 13:1,8	timeline 101:8	269:12	121:13	truly 123:22
23:23 24:13,15	246:20	told 46:6 68:16	transcript 1:12	trust 172:4
27:15 29:4	times 18:22	138:18 141:20	178:19 269:3	truth 12:7,8,8
34:8 35:9 36:1	29:22 67:19	143:17 150:9	270:12	36:10,11,11,12
42:9,10 46:18	68:9 71:23	196:4 262:6	transcripts 5:19	50:20
47:12 48:3	76:9,9 107:20	tolerance 251:21	transfers 16:2	truthfully 93:3
56:1,14 58:11	184:22,23	251:22 252:1	transition 177:7	try 71:10 81:11
60:11 63:4	185:6 237:19	Toll 102:19	translate 115:17	82:11 120:22
64:14 65:6,6,9	252:1	tonight 256:20	translated 78:9	151:6 156:16
65:12 68:17	timing 140:6	tons 186:21	transparency	159:23 172:2,5
70:19 72:8,17	166:7	187:22 190:12	156:1 183:9	trying 37:8
89:13 94:8,16	Tipsord 2:9	190:16,16	215:12	62:21 116:4
103:21,24	Title 201:21	191:19,23	transparent	139:22 152:21
105:7,23	titled 184:20	221:12,14,14	148:18 180:22	155:23 229:12
107:15 116:1	today 4:16 6:2	237:18 238:1	transport	241:17 251:23
120:2 123:5	6:21 7:1,23	244:18 245:3	121:10 188:15	262:13
132:18 138:19	10:3 11:6,18	245:10	190:22	TSD 41:7
138:24 139:1	13:21 18:16	tool 19:18	transported	140:21 166:10
142:1,5,16,17	22:22 25:15	151:11 172:8	54:10	167:16 168:3
143:3,6,7	47:14 49:11	tools 114:16,20	trees 97:6	183:22 189:16
148:1,24	61:15 67:11	150:18 172:7	trend 61:4,21	190:8 200:13
167:15 168:3	79:11 103:19	top 31:23 37:21	62:7,9,9	206:17
173:23 174:11	127:12 132:19	40:5,17 122:9	107:14,17	tube 266:23
175:13 179:12	133:10,16	124:12 137:3	124:1,2	turn 69:14
184:5,18 187:8	138:22 142:3,5	257:19 266:2	trial 116:19,20	139:12 194:22
197:19 204:20	143:11 159:12	topic 189:11	116:22	turned 102:13
205:22 206:24	173:23 176:16	194:24 197:4	trials 105:16	turning 106:15
214:17 216:15	177:5 180:24	201:11	116:14	106:22 108:16

August 4, 2015

				1 age 314
109:6	ultra-low 24:1	15:14 16:18	165:9 186:22	unwilling
two 21:13 22:24	25:16 28:3,4,9	40:22 46:6	191:2 192:11	250:14
29:3,16 64:15	28:13,17,21	105:19,21	192:13 210:9	upcoming
64:18,22 65:1	29:9,24 104:9	106:1 111:8	219:24 250:12	190:20
70:17 76:9	124:16 171:15	112:1 115:1	250:19	update 101:10
88:16 95:16	194:24 195:5	117:5 121:1	unit's 26:12	updated 248:12
107:20 125:9	195:24 196:14	140:14 178:22	73:19	268:24 269:10
134:24 137:6	196:18 206:13	212:16 213:5	United 259:22	upgrades 246:21
138:10 140:17	227:16	215:6	units 19:10	upheld 202:8
140:17 141:13	unable 188:24	understandings	20:19 30:5	uploaded
142:10,15	268:10	121:1	32:6 46:4 62:3	215:22,23
144:7 160:5	unaware 124:6	understands	64:15,18,21,22	upper 66:23
166:23,23	215:9	82:11	64:22,23 67:20	upsets 249:4
189:19 201:9	unclear 123:21	understood	67:23 68:6,6	Urbaszewsi
204:7,17 206:5	123:22 155:4	35:16 161:15	71:19 72:3	233:19,20,21
206:15 208:21	uncommon	211:7 248:3	81:19 83:2	urge 99:8
239:14 244:10	114:8	unenforceable	84:21 85:24	235:12 246:12
253:24 254:4	uncontrolled	24:2	86:3,4,13,18	URL 266:17
254:11 256:7	165:3 184:24	unfortunately	87:5,16,19,24	usable 217:4
257:19 258:10	234:20	201:13 252:19	92:6 127:13	use 7:13 13:15
268:5	underlying	253:11,12	128:8 146:2,4	18:12 19:17
type 27:16 31:20	14:12 62:24	unhappy 200:8	146:10 161:22	26:10 27:21
52:19 53:9	63:21,24 119:2	UNIDENTIFI	161:22 162:20	36:22 37:13,18
54:21 74:1,2,4	119:12 199:5	256:3	164:9,12 184:1	41:14 46:19
110:16 112:15	understand	union 232:12	185:9,11,20	52:20 66:24
117:20 120:1	19:15 21:23,24	241:7,7	186:18 190:7	95:19 100:7
187:2 236:2	22:18 23:2,6,7	unit 2:9,10 4:19	190:11 191:4	109:3 116:6
265:18	26:16,21 28:6	10:8,15 18:16	194:7,16 204:1	127:14 130:16
types 27:17,24	28:19 32:8,15	24:13,15 26:14	204:2 210:12	172:7 175:5
29:10 71:24	33:15,20 67:9	27:5,6 30:14	210:15,17	188:19 189:23
74:9 110:22	68:11 82:14,17	39:20,21 40:5	211:17 212:10	198:23 200:17
172:12	91:5 108:24	40:17 54:22	212:19,20	227:10,16
typical 87:13	110:24 113:8	55:19 65:4	213:1,10,14,23	234:18 248:23
185:3,4,9	131:10 135:10	67:20 68:10,13	219:16 223:10	250:10 251:20
187:2	135:15,21	71:6 73:12,14	234:22	useful 169:12
typically 49:6	137:12 139:22	75:7 76:3	University 82:4	172:8
55:8 57:4 72:8	151:4 194:2	77:12 79:5	237:11	usually 74:18
121:2 167:2	203:6 217:7	82:19 83:6	unknown 189:2	111:17 157:22
185:12 251:12	241:17 244:15	86:7 87:14	unnecessary	197:15 251:19
	244:23 250:8	88:13,17 90:10	145:19	utilized 56:23
U	250:13 251:22	90:12,16	unpaid 247:11	
U.S 54:6 176:17	253:23 258:16	110:10,10	unsupported	V
Ultimately	understandably	122:20 127:14	182:15	V-O-U-G-V-T
119:8	87:11	143:11 164:14	untrue 181:10	256:12
ultra 128:8	understanding	164:20 165:4,9	unusual 63:9	validate 250:15

August 4, 2015

				rage 313
valley 245:6	Vetterhoffer	viewed 254:16	218:13 219:2	162:14 169:21
248:16	2:13 8:6,7,12	violate 235:16	226:9 231:19	218:22 230:12
value 23:22	8:13 10:1,6	violates 25:18	234:2 239:22	232:21 234:23
69:24 70:15,19	13:24 14:1,9	violation 151:19	240:21 242:3	236:10 238:23
107:18 108:2,8	14:21 15:2,11	168:13 169:19	252:17 255:2	250:3 251:19
108:13 150:6	15:17 16:5,17	violations 163:9	257:5 258:14	253:3,11
156:20 163:13	17:18,24 18:14	163:12 236:19	259:5 260:1,11	254:16 259:22
values 70:18	19:1,8 20:18	vis-à-vis 17:23	262:7,8,12	ways 31:17 81:9
107:22 108:4	21:4 22:8 23:3	visit 96:12	263:2	120:2,21
172:10	23:10,24 24:22	volumes 91:15	wanted 16:14	151:12,14
variability 23:18	25:14,22 26:3	voluminous	29:8,14 35:11	186:4 251:7
73:18,23 74:13	27:3 28:1,23	15:23	35:15 76:24	we'll 34:15
74:21,22,23	29:7,18,23	voluntarily	94:18 98:23	150:14 170:4
115:16,17,24	30:11,19 31:6	191:1 212:18	126:14 129:24	we're 4:2 35:4
116:3 118:24	31:13,21 32:10	voluntary	132:6 156:1	99:16 130:3,9
119:3 118:24	33:11,17 34:8	214:10 224:19	180:1 204:22	130:12 132:3,4
210:23 251:12	35:24 39:9,23	255:7	208:8 218:7,24	150:12 132:5,4
variable 188:21	40:2,19 41:3	volunteer	229:11 231:8	170:12 174:9
variables 188:22	41:19 42:11,18	245:20 247:12	232:9 238:2	207:6 216:19
variance 98:22	43:9,16 44:4	volunteers	265:7,22	216:21 222:23
98:23 220:5	44:11 45:5,11	175:13	wants 34:9	233:23 234:19
variances	45:14,17,20,23	vote 98:21	97:10 265:1	239:13 240:19
254:24 255:1	46:8 56:19	Vougvtas 256:9	warm 95:8	241:14,17
variation 67:17	60:6,8 134:16	256:10,11	warming 235:1	254:16 265:8
114:24 115:2	134:20 135:1,9	vulnerable	warned 238:22	we've 47:5 96:9
variations 74:12	135:15,21	102:15	washed 211:7	99:18 100:20
variety 50:14	136:3,7,10,16		wasn't 78:24	114:4 222:19
105:8 209:8	137:2,5,8,12	W	132:7 156:11	238:22 240:17
various 20:15	137:17 138:3	Wacker 2:21	157:3 162:13	245:7 256:19
21:16 25:7	140:5,19,23	wait 7:4 227:13	206:20 241:2	weather 235:2
27:1,17 64:20	141:8 142:4,24	waited 255:12	Waste 247:9	web 266:17
182:24 184:21	143:8,18 144:6	waiting 4:3 35:9	watched 240:24	website 5:20
vast 30:20	144:11 145:2,5	183:10	water 97:7	268:12
venue 255:19	145:10,16,24	walk 96:10	238:20,20	week 16:4
verifiable	146:8 152:7	walked 228:14	242:17	138:17 141:8
111:19	154:3,14,20	want 10:2,19,20	way 15:8 16:8	141:11 142:14
verification 20:5	155:3 157:12	28:8 42:3,4	31:14 32:23,23	142:14,22
verified 109:16	158:21 161:10	54:16 75:1	61:1 63:1 67:8	167:9 222:22
128:11	180:9 204:3,4	88:20 97:15	72:11 73:13	227:18 250:24
verify 172:6	204:14 205:20	98:20 101:17	75:15 80:22	261:19
version 137:2	vice-president	141:24 151:15	81:7 83:24	week-long 240:9
268:24	259:23	157:15,21,23	93:21 107:19	weekend 141:14
versus 108:13	view 80:11	166:8 169:4	115:20 120:19	167:8
170:12	118:4 119:18	171:3,10 172:1	129:13 150:7	weekly 96:13
vested 258:19	120:4	173:22 176:24	156:11 158:1,7	weeks 14:7

August 4, 2015

1				Page 316
47:19 50:15	witnesses 6:3	256:18 258:2	66:16 68:5	zone 177:18
59:2 138:10	11:1 12:2	260:2	70:6 71:20	
140:18 142:10	105:15 125:4	works 129:13	75:18 81:22	0
142:15 148:3	182:4,10,14	world 98:3	82:20 84:17	
160:5	197:5	100:23 150:18	112:4 124:10	1
weight 99:13	wonder 35:2	171:13 177:23	130:5	1 44:6,15 46:4
weights 195:10	245:22 246:17	178:4	year 73:5 102:23	135:1 201:20
welcome 7:20	262:11	Worldwide	108:8 127:21	201:21 217:8
35:19 173:24	wonderful	240:19	151:15,16,16	1,200 191:23
174:3 231:20	155:19	worse 251:24,24	168:21,22	1,300 102:22
243:23 256:11	wonderfully	worsen 235:3	169:20 181:12	157:2
well-aware	42:9	worsened 238:3	187:22 191:24	1,500 93:14,17
141:6 232:18	wondering	worth 77:24	217:23 241:21	93:18 112:12
well-maintained	216:15 262:4	wouldn't 18:24	247:17 258:3,4	113:2
87:8	word 37:13	57:23 59:24	259:9,10 260:6	1,788 78:21
went 77:2 111:1	41:23 43:14	68:8 99:6	year's 58:7	1,789 28:7,11
141:15 144:2	58:9 172:23	109:6 215:24	year-and-a-half	1,800 19:22
201:6 241:12	265:24	224:24 243:15	217:23	1,900 190:16
261:13 265:4,6	words 74:20	264:19 267:12	years 25:6 47:7	221:14
West 2:2	76:11 81:9	wrapping	58:9 64:11	10 3:3 5:1 41:3
wet 53:16	110:14 155:6	267:24	76:5,10 96:23	43:7
184:24	158:10 195:22	wrench 183:13	96:24 102:14	10:40 1:18
whatsoever	223:17	write 167:23	105:7 106:18	100 2:2 147:12
250:6	work 25:6 34:22	writer 250:2	114:5,6 116:8	240:13
wide 50:14	49:23 50:5,7	writing 58:2	170:22 175:14	1021 2:11
67:22 106:3	56:9,10 94:17	248:20	199:14 201:5	103 3:5
209:7	99:10 105:7	written 6:22	232:14 236:2	104 3:5
WiFi 215:14	106:6 116:17	64:3 218:23	236:20 238:17	11 3:4
wild 235:5	147:13 158:1	243:24 253:12	238:21,22,23	11-500 2:3
willingness	201:1 228:13	258:5,22	239:11 240:14	11,000 186:21
214:9 255:6	232:17 238:7	263:18	243:9 244:10	11th 269:14
258:24	255:16,20	wrong 139:12	253:5 255:12	12 41:4 43:7
wind 63:9,10	256:15,15,20	139:14 156:14	255:12 256:23	51:7
241:6	256:20 257:6	183:8 264:2	257:5,15	12:15 94:12
wish 6:20,21 7:2	257:13 259:3,3	Wyoming 54:7	258:24 260:10	12:25 94:14
9:22 218:15	264:5		261:23 267:10	12:27 95:7
233:6 241:4,5	worked 52:1	X	yesterday	120 102:22
243:15	181:4 232:15	X 3:1,13 22:2,2	205:24 212:6	13 3:4,4,19
wished 260:18	250:5,22 251:2		218:20	43:16 64:3,12
wishes 6:13,16	251:13 257:4	Y	younger 175:22	130 178:6 257:6
237:15	Workers 256:14	Yamaha 266:22		131 178:24
witness 7:3	working 72:9	yard 88:22	Z	132 3:5
36:19 59:24	118:22 142:16	97:20	Zalewski 2:8	133 3:6
116:12,19,21	160:3 164:13	yeah 58:15	4:22 226:9,20	134 3:6,6,20
191:15 193:7	212:15 240:3	60:16 65:14	zero 33:24 87:12	14 40:6 75:2
1,1.10 1,0.,				
	•	•	•	•

August 4, 2015

				Tage 317
196:15	191 40:24	2015 1:18 63:4	167:12	184:8 186:17
145 79:22	191.5 144:21	64:9 269:8	25 25:6 169:3	207:1
14th 97:13	19276 2:12	2015-021 1:6	258-5646 2:22	30 49:21 50:2
269:10	195 162:6	2017 44:6,15	264 3:8,9	114:5 174:10
15 23:15 24:8	196 41:2 162:6	45:22 46:4	268 3:9,9	184:9 211:13
25:18 26:24	196.32 144:23	112:8 191:24	269 3:9	236:2
27:15 75:3,9	1983 205:2,7,13	217:8	27 5:1 237:3	30-day 41:6,9
75:14 78:11	1995 250:18	2018 149:23	270 3:10,10	42:13,20 43:3
104:11,12	1775 250.10	2020 237:10	28 5:1 147:2	43:21 44:6,14
110:3 111:4,9	2	2030 237:10 2030 237:2,4,10	148:12 149:2	44:22 69:17,23
111:22 112:9	2 135:1 136:17	205 3:8,8,17,18	149:12 257:5	70:22 103:2,4
195:18 196:1	137:3 138:7	20th 167:5,21	257:15	118:1,4,16
196:14,16	2,000 19:10	214 1:6 4:11	28.2 5:1	119:1 183:18
251:22 256:15	2,100 237:9	8:19 9:4,9 45:8	28th 269:13	183:20,23
15-21 4:16	254:10	194:22 220:11	29 101:11 211:5	185:13,19
15-21 4.16 15,000 112:18	2.5 188:14	222:5,9 225:23	29 101.11 211.3	186:6,16
113:1,5	2:00 174:9	214.603 19:6	29th 5:15	187:13,19,23
150 49:9 77:10	2:30 174:14	20:20 26:7	49tii 3.13 	188:6,8,19
122:23 144:14	2:52 179:23	30:3 32:4	3	189:7 198:3
144:18 145:9	20 40:17 175:22	39:24 44:15	3 80:7,11 81:20	207:2,16
150:8 153:9	200 150:22,24	71:4 145:13	82:14,20 83:18	210:21 211:3
159:19 164:4	151:8 170:21	194:14 210:5,8	84:21 85:8	235:8
165:12	2000 21:13	224:1	88:8 136:17	
163.12 15th 140:10,14	2005 250:18	215 2:16	144:12,16	30-minute 179:14
16 15:12 61:2	2006 254:20	213 2.10 217 1:7 2:13,17	145:24 146:5	300 238:21
16 13.12 61.2 16th 15:16	2007 254:21	4:12 8:20 9:5	152:13,19	300 238.21 302 1:17
	2010 189:20		153:13,13	31 149:23
166:11 17 175:14	2010 163:26 2011 267:1	9:15 220:2,11 225:23 227:24	161:7,17	
177:24 240:11	2012 43:21		162:21 163:16	312 2:4,22 345 28:11
	58:14,16,22	21st 167:5,21 22 32:5	164:15,18,20	
17,000 67:18 17,518 66:2	59:4 60:21	22 52.3 225 1:8 4:13	164:21 165:3	35 1:5 4:11 9:4,4 221:19 222:5
,	61:1,8 62:17		165:13 191:4	350 19:23
172(c)(1) 202:3	64:7 107:18	169:3,22 170:1	191:18 192:4	
173 3:6	2013 43:19,21	170:21 192:23	192:10 194:3	36 50:10,22 51:1
174 3:7	2013 45:17,21 2014 15:5 43:19	194:13,20	194:15 204:1	65:11
179 3:7	43:21 47:10	220:2,10 222:9	211:19 212:11	360 19:24
17th 15:18	58:22 59:4	225:23 228:1	212:21 213:23	37 185:11
140:24 166:17	60:22 61:2,2,8	225.296 221:20	221:8 224:2,18	3rd 269:7
247:19	62:18 63:4,10	225.296(b)	225:15	4
18 231:16	64:7 65:3,21	225:13	3,000 190:12	43:3 5:1 14:9
241:12	101:10 102:19	22nd 167:10	221:12 245:3	39:21 40:5,17
180 3:7	101:10 102:19	23 199:14	3,100 191:19	75:7,10,24
19 79:2,4 187:1	191:20 205:2,3	231 3:7,8	3,300 245:15	75.7,10,24 76:3,12,20
190 77:11	205:7,13	233 2:21	3,452 67:4,10,18	70.3,12,20 77:7,12 79:5
108:10,13	203.7,13	24 /7 256:20	68:4 70:4,8	85:16 94:5
145:9	411.41	24th 133:19	00.4 /0.4,0	05.10 74.5
			<u> </u>	

August 4, 2015

				Page 316
122:20.24	238-17 22	6600 2:21	800 238:22	
122:20,24	238:17,23 240:15	67 221:18		
144:13,18 145:12 149:3	500,000 114:10	68 102:13	814-6983 2:4 8th 5:10	
150:7,7,13	50th 66:18	69 225:9 263:14	otii 5.10	
155:4 157:12	51 64:22	09 223.9 203.14	9	
163:17 164:3	52 64:22	7	93:3,15,16	
164:16 165:4	522-5512 2:17	731:21 80:7,11	39:10 69:14,16	
	56 243:9	81:19 83:1,19	90 79:22 81:4	
165:10,12	562 22:23	84:21 85:8	248:5 253:7	
171:5 189:12 189:12 191:7,8	302 22.23	88:8 146:5	90th 66:7,9	
191:14,17	6	152:13,19	95 3:4,5	
193:5,7,13,17	6 23:11 26:5	161:17 164:20	97 81:21 82:1	
193:3,7,13,17	64:23 68:13	194:4,15 221:8	86:10	
193:19,23	80:7,11 81:19	224:2,18	98 86:11	
214:1,8 219:12	83:1,19 84:21	225:14	99 79:10,17,23	
222:11 223:19	85:8 88:8	7.5 190:16	81:1 86:8,11	
223:23 224:3	146:5 152:13	221:14	111:22 112:2	
225:15 264:8	152:19 161:17	70s 257:1 260:4	112:10 157:3	
4's 145:6,17	164:20 191:6	260:4	251:15	
193:12	194:4,15 213:8	73 61:1	99.5 82:8 251:15	
4,000 254:6	219:11 221:8	75 108:11,13	99.9 112:16	
4,860 187:4	224:2,18	170:1	113:4	
4.6 146:3 150:10	225:14	78 261:20	99.97 80:15	
150:11 161:11	6,000 43:18 70:1	262:14	9th 247:16	
161:23 164:1,5	70:7,10 97:5	782-5544 2:13		
164:7,15	184:8 186:19	79 102:21		
40 31:23 32:5	187:22	7th 5:3 269:4		
37:21 70:9	6,000-pound			
400 190:17	70:14	8		
221:15	6,400 66:13,15	8 3:3 30:19		
42 185:21	60 261:16	39:10 80:8,11		
45 101:12	60,000 237:18	81:19 83:2,19		
47 219:9	238:1	84:21 85:9		
490 101:12,12	600 79:12	88:8 146:5		
4th 1:18	600,000 114:10	152:13,19		
	603 108:21	161:17 164:20		
5	60601 2:3	194:4,15		
5 19:2 23:11	60606 2:22	219:24 221:8		
42:12,21 64:23	61 64:22	224:2,18		
219:24 223:19	62 64:23	225:14		
50 121:11	62701 2:17	8/4/15 9:13,19		
256:23	62794-9276 2:12	13:13 134:9		
50-plus 253:5	63 218:22	205:11,17		
500 28:17 79:12	64 221:1	80 32:2 147:12		
79:17 127:21	66 60:23	234:19 235:23		
			•	